ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE MANAGEMENT

OF POLICE INFORMANTS

Held in Melbourne, Victoria

On Monday, 9 September 2019

Led by Commissioner: The Honourable Margaret McMurdo AC

Also Present

Counsel	Ass	isting:		Mr	Α.	Winneke QC Woods Tittensor
Counsel	for	Victoria	Police	Mr	S.	Holt QC
Counsel	for	State of	Victoria	Mr	C.	McDermott
Counsel	for	Nicola Go	obbo			Collinson QC Nathwani
Counsel	for	DPP/SPP		Ms	Α.	Martin
Counsel	for	CDPP		Ms	R.	Avis
Counsel	for	Police Ha	andlers		-	Chettle Thies
Counsel	for	AFP		Ms	Ι.	Minnett
Counsel	for	Evangelos	s Goussis	Mr	Т.	Antos

COMMISSIONER: Yes, we continue in closed hearing as we 1 09:35:58 were on Friday. 09:36:00 2 3 09:36:01 MS TITTENSOR: Thank you Commissioner. 4 09:36:02 5 09:36:03 COMMISSIONER: Yes Ms Tittensor. 6 09:36:03 7 09:36:05 <JAMES MICHAEL O'BRIEN, recalled:</pre> 8 09:36:07 09:36:09 9 MS TITTENSOR: You've got your diaries there, 09:36:09 10 Mr O'Brien?---Yes. 09:36:12 11 09:36:12 **12** 09:36:12 13 And you've got a copy of your statement there?---Yes. 09:36:15 14 I might just quickly clarify something. 09:36:15 **15** If you could have 09:36:20 16 a look at paragraph 98 of your statement. You'll see this relates to one of the matters I was asking you about on 09:36:37 17 Friday, Ms Gobbo calling to request a 09:36:40 **18** reporting for and ?---Yes. 09:36:45 **19** 09:36:49 20 What you say in the statement is that she called you 09:36:51 **21** directly in her capacity as a lawyer for 09:36:56 **22** and the associate, who I understand was 09:37:01 **23** You 09:37:04 **24** go on. "I told her that I agreed in principle but she would need to speak to the informant in the matter"?---Yes. 09:37:08 **25** 09:37:11 26 09:37:12 **27** I just want to ask you about the entry in your diary, if 09:37:20 **28** you can have a look at that for 5 January 2006. Do you 09:38:01 29 agree that the entry in your diary doesn't refer to the fact that she would need to speak to the informant in the 09:38:05 **30** matter?---No, it doesn't. 09:38:08 **31** 09:38:09 32 What it does go on to say is that both persons were 09:38:10 **33** and 09:38:18 **34** travelling to . the 09:38:25 **35** were aware?---Yes. 09:38:27 **36** And that Ms Gobbo was to forward an email in relation to 09:38:27 **37** the same?---Yes. 09:38:30 **38** 09:38:31 **39** police service - what does 0 dash C That 09:38:33 40 mean?---Officer-in-charge. 09:38:41 **41** 09:38:42 **42** 09:38:42 **43** So the police service officer-in-charge, are to be advised and it and 09:38:45 **44** 09:38:51 45 was to be approved by Detective Burrows?---That's correct. 09:38:54 **46** That's right, okay. Before the weekend we were dealing 09:38:55 47

.09/09/19

09:39:01	1	with the progression of the, I was asking you some
09:39:04	2	questions about the Milad Mokbel matter?Yes.
09:39:07	3	
09:39:09	4	I think you'd agreed that Ms Gobbo had a conflict, serious
09:39:13	5	conflict in relation to any representation of him?Yes, I
09 : 39:18	6	believe so.
09 : 39:18	7	
09:39:22	8	Do you recall her being quite concerned or there being
09:39:26	9	quite some concern about her role coming out in the court
09:39:29	10	proceedings in relation to Milad Mokbel?Look, I'm only
09 : 39 : 41	11	supposing she would have been, I can't exactly recall.
09:39:44	12	Unless there was a diary note or information received in
09 : 39 : 48	13	relation to it.
09:39:49	14	
09:39:49	15	You've got a diary entry on 6 March 2007. If I can take
09:39:55	16	you to that?Yes.
09:40:07	17	
	18	There's an entry at 16 something, I'm not sure if it's
	19	16:45 or ?16:46, yes.
09:40:22		
09:40:22		You're having a meeting with the SDU?Yes.
09:40:26	22	
09:40:26		In relation to registered human source handling. Mr White
09:40:33		is there, a number of other members of the SDU, along with
09:40:38		members of Purana, Kelly, Baulch, Flynn, Hayes, Hantsis and
09:40:44	26	Robertson, is that right?Yes.
	27	There's a discussion in relation to 2020 and encoifically
09:40:46		There's a discussion in relation to 3838 and specifically
09:40:56		Milad Mokbel?Yes, that was after the deactivation of another informer that's mentioned there.
09:40:59		
09:41:01 09:41:02	32	Yes. I just wasn't going to take you ?Sorry.
	32 33	les. I just wash t going to take you !soiry.
09:41:05 09:41:06		That's fine. Clearly there's a discussion in relation to a
09:41:08	35	number of informers there with the SDU. There was a
09:41:11	36	discussion about a deactivation about another informer and
09:41:19	37	then there's a discussion in relation to Ms Gobbo, 3838, is
09:41:22	38	that right?Yes.
09:41:23	39	
09:41:23	40	That's in relation to Milad Mokbel?It may have been.
09:41:28	41	
09:41:29	42	It's got, "Discussion 3838 - Milad Mokbel"?Yes, but then
09:41:35	43	after that it's got, "Discussion re strategic direction of
	44	
09:41:44	45	
09:41:45	46	That's in relation to a further informer?I believe so,
09:41:49		yes.
		•

1 09:41:49 Do you have any idea what that might have been in reference 09:41:49 **2** to, the discussion of 3838 at that stage?---No, I don't 3 09:41:53 09:41:57 **4** specifically. 09:41:59 **5** Perhaps if we can go to bring up the following document. 09:42:00 **6** it's Mr White's diary VPL.0100.0096.0572. 09:42:03 **7** 09:42:14 **8** 09:42:15 **9** COMMISSIONER: And the date is? 09:42:16 **10** MS TITTENSOR: Same date, 6 March 2007. If we can go to, 09:42:17 **11** if we can scroll up just so we can check that we've got the 09:43:04 **12** 09:43:09 13 correct date. So you see 6 March there?---Yes. 09:43:16 **14** Mr O'Brien, if we go to the next page. You'll see at 16:35 09:43:16 **15** 09:43:20 **16** at roughly approximating the entry in your diary, Mr White records a meeting with Purana, yourself, Kelly, Flynn, 09:43:26 17 Hayes and someone else?---Yes. 09:43:32 **18** 09:43:34 **19** 09:43:36 **20** As per your diary there's an entry in relation to another informer, ?---Yes. 09:43:40 **21** 09:43:44 **22** 09:43:44 **23** Then we've got an entry in relation to the second informer 3838 which is Ms Gobbo?---Yes. 09:43:48 **24** 09:43:50 **25** It indicates there that Ms Gobbo has been approached by 09:43:51 **26** 09:43:56 **27** re a plea?---Yes. 09:43:59 **28** 09:43:59 **29** The initials of the handler, "To speak to Ms Gobbo re Purana requirements for a deal, i.e. intelligence re 09:44:05 **30** and year plus ". 09:44:14 **31** Do vou see 09:44:17 **32** that?---Yes. 09:44:17 **33** Underneath that there's a notation that Ms Garde-Wilson had 09:44:19 **34** been committed for trial that day and then there's another 09:44:25 **35** notation at 9 am Friday, I'm not sure exactly what that -something about the brief, do you see that?---Yes. 09:44:29 36 09:44:44 **37** 09:44:48 **38** I think it's meant to be the 9th or something. Bearing 09:44:48 **39** that in mind, can you recall now that you were having a 09:44:54 **40** discussion with the SDU about Ms Gobbo having been 09:44:58 **41** approached by in relation to dealing with him in 09:45:01 **42** 09:45:08 **43** relation to his matter?---Yes, I see what that says, yes. 09:45:13 **44** That's something that both you and the SDU would have 09:45:15 **45** appreciated was a significant conflict?---Yes. 09:45:20 **46** 09:45:24 47

But nevertheless there were, there seems to have been 1 09:45:25 discussions about Ms Gobbo nevertheless representing 09:45:28 **2** ?---There may have been a discussion but I knew 3 09:45:35 would never plead. 09**:**45**:**38 **4** 5 09:45:39 That may be the case. I think ultimately he did plead 09:45:40 6 though, didn't he?---I'm not sure. 09:45:43 **7** 09:45:45 **8** 09:45:48 **9** But nevertheless there was an acceptance that Ms Gobbo was going to involve herself in the representation of 09:45:51 **10** ---Going by that note, yes. 09:45:55 **11** 09:45:57 12 ?---Going by that note, yes. 09:45:57 **13** Sorry, 09:46:00 14 09:46:05 **15** It appears to be the case that the plan was in fact to deal 09:46:09 **16** with Ms Gobbo knowing that she was working for the police at the same time that she was going to be purporting at 09:46:14 17 least to represent ?---No, there was no plan. 09:46:19 **18** She was just, she provided the information, I received it. 09:46:23 **19** 09:46:27 **20** There here appears to be a plan, doesn't there, for the 09:46:31 **21** 09:46:35 **22** handler to speak to her about what Purana would require in ?---Whether relation to any deal reached with 09:46:39 **23** it's a plan or willingness by her to pass on information. 09:46:45 **24** 09:46:49 25 This is a meeting at which Purana and the SDU appear to be 09:46:52 **26** and there's a 09:46:59 **27** discussing her representation of and tell her plan there for the SDU to go back to 09:47:02 **28** 09:47:09 **29** what Purana expect if he is to come to any arrangement?---As I say these are not my notes, I didn't 09:47:13 **30** have any direct plan. I was receiving information. 09:47:15 **31** 09:47:17 **32** If we can have a look at your diary for the next day, 7 09:47:23 **33** 09:47:28 **34** March?---Yes. 09:47:30 **35** 09:47:35 **36** At 12.50?---Yes. 09:47:41 **37** What's recorded there?--- "Receive telephone call from 09:47:43 **38** solicitor Gobbo re , wants discussion re plea 09:47:47 **39** offer. Arrange meeting for 8.30 on 8 March". 09:47:51 **40** 09:47:55 **41** That's an entry that on the face of it might appear 09:47:55 **42** 09:48:01 **43** normal?---Yes. 09:48:01 44 Anyone else reading that wouldn't know Ms Gobbo was in fact 09:48:03 **45** 09:48:07 **46** a police agent?---Well I don't suppose, no. 09:48:11 47

Further down that page, do you see right down the bottom of 1 09:48:17 the same page in your diary at 8.45 you're with Flynn and 09:48:22 2 you attend the chambers of Ms Gobbo?---Yes. 3 09:48:28 4 09:48:30 And have a discussion re plea issues re 5 09:48:30 ?---Yes. 6 09:48:35 7 09:48:35 09:48:40 **8** You were advised that there's to be full cooperation re 09:48:43 **9** and murders, over a period of years?---Yes. 09:48:48 10 09:48:49 **11** And then there would be, you say, "Speak to director OPP re 09:48:49 **12** ", is 09:48:59 13 imprisonment re to pav re that right?---Yes, in other words if he was willing to, if 09:49:04 **14** 09:49:09 **15** he was going to cooperate that's what I would require and 09:49:12 **16** then I'd have to go back to the Director of Public Prosecutions in relation to any matter for any indication 09:49:14 **17** of what likely sentence he may be offered. 09:49:18 **18** 09:49:20 **19** As per the plan with SDU a number of days earlier, you'd 09:49:20 20 had this meeting with Ms Gobbo and explained what would be 09:49:27 **21** 09:49:31 **22** required for to come to an arrangement?---As I say I don't think it was a plan. She was passing on 09:49:36 **23** information in relation to 09:49:40 **24** All I did was merely follow it through in an attempt to resolve this 09:49:44 **25** issue in relation to and his outstanding charges and 09:49:45 26 what I thought was required for him before I would bother 09:49:48 **27** 09:49:51 **28** to go to the Director of Public Prosecutions to seek an 09:49:53 **29** indication on sentence. 09:49:54 **30** Did you know who the solicitor was on the record at the 09:49:54 **31** time?---No. I don't. 09:49:56 **32** 09:49:57 **33** Did you take any steps to find out?---No, I did not, and I 09:49:58 **34** wouldn't have done that before I had an indication from him 09:50:02 35 09:50:07 **36** in any event. 09:50:07 **37** 09:50:08 **38** But that indication was coming through Ms Gobbo?---I didn't accept that as an indication until there was pen on paper 09:50:12 **39** with what he was prepared to do or not do, there wasn't an 09:50:16 40 indication. 09:50:24 **41** 09:50:24 **42** 09:50:24 **43** She was it seems attempting to facilitate a plea deal for ?---She may have attempted to do that but that 09:50:28 44 09:50:29 45 didn't transpire. He was never going to come to the party. 09:50:38 46 were non-negotiable. Everybody else was a 09:50:40 47 commodity.

1 09:50:40 If you go to your diary on 9 March at 9.05?---Yes. 09:50:41 2 3 09:50:51 Did you speak to the Director of Public Prosecutions, 09**:**50**:**52 **4** Mr Coghlan, along with a number of OPP solicitors?---Yes, I 09:50:57 **5** did. 6 09:51:01 09:51:02 **7** 09:51:02 **8** You were with Mr Flynn and Detective Sergeant Coghlan?---That's correct. 09:51:05 9 09:51:05 10 And you had a discussion as to what would be on offer for 09:51:06 **11** ---That's correct, and as it says there, 09:51:10 **12** 09:51:20 **13** "Charges being contemplated against , full assistance in an 'I can say' statement, including murders 09:51:25 **14** 09:51:32 **15** of and 09:51:35 **16** There was also some discussion at that stage, was there, in 09:51:35 17 ?---That's correct. 09:51:37 **18** relation to 09:51:40 **19** He was particularly concerned to assist 09:51:40 **20** if he could?---Yes, he was getting a bit of heat because both he 09:51:43 **21** 09:51:47 **22** and were in custody and were beina 09:51:50 **23** by other of 09:51:53 **24** 09:51:53 **25** Did you raise any concern with the DPP at that stage in relation to the fact that Ms Gobbo was involved in these 09:51:57 **26** 09:52:00 27 discussions?---I don't believe so. 09:52:01 28 09:52:10 **29** If you go down a bit further in your diary or over the page at 14:12, is it the case you updated Deputy Commissioner 09:52:14 **30** Overland in relation to what negotiations?---Sorry, just -09:52:20 **31** are we still talking about 9 March? 09:52:29 **32** 09:52:32 **33** 09:52:33 **34** Yes at 14:12?---Right. 09:52:35 **35** 09:52:36 **36** Does the entry there indicate you spoke to Deputy Commissioner Overland and advised - - - ?---That's correct, 09:52:39 **37** negotiations re 09:52:43 **38** 09:52:45 **39** You spoke to him about a number of matters, including 09:52:45 **40** Yes. negotiations re ?---Yes, that's correct. 09:52:48 **41** 09:52:51 42 09:52:54 **43** Did you speak to him about the problem of Ms Gobbo representing ---I don't believe so. I don't 09:52:57 **44** 09:53:05 **45** have a note of it. 09:53:06 46 Further down at 16:20 you record another conversation with 09:53:06 47

09:53:12	1	barrister Nicola Gobbo for?That's correct.
09 : 53 : 15	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09:53:16	3	And I assume is it that she advised that he wanted to speak
09:53:21	4	to you?Yes.
09:53:22	5	Further down the nega at 18:00 do you record a
09:53:30	6 7	Further down the page at 18:09, do you record a conversation with the SDU handler providing you with
09:53:37 09:53:40	8	information from registered human source 3838?That's
09:53:44	9	correct.
09:53:44	10	
09:53:48	11	Part of that information included that a particular
09:53:52	12	solicitor was attempting to backdate a document in relation
	13	to the resignation of Renata Mokbel from a Mokbel company
	14	in order to defeat a perjury charge that she was
	15	facing?Yes, that's correct.
09:54:09	16	These would also be a latter whitten to Davil Cashier
	17 18	There would also be a letter written to Paul Coghlan
09:54:13 09:54:22	18	stating that Gobbo and Chiodo, another solicitor, will not make any statements so there won't be any case against
09:54:22	20	Renata Mokbel?That's correct.
09:54:20		
09:54:28		In that entry you used the provider of the information as
09:54:32		registered human source 3838?Yes.
09:54:33	24	с С
09:54:34	25	Within the entry you refer to Ms Gobbo by name?Yes.
09:54:39		As though the information didn't actually come from
09:54:43		her?That's what it may appear, yes.
09:54:45	29 20	If we can go to the ICRs at p.683, ple <u>ase. You note</u> there
09:54:59 09:55:31		that, there's a note under the heading that he
09:55:36		wants to talk to Ms Gobbo following his meeting with
09:55:40		you?Yes.
09:55:41	34	
09:55:41	35	And there appears to be some further discussion in relation
09:55:45	36	to that letter that we've just mentioned in your diary
09:55:49	37	notes?Right.
09:55:50	38	
09:55:50		If you can go to p.686. Do you see about point 4 down the
	40	page under the heading ", "Ms Gobbo states
09:56:08 09:56:14	41 42	that Jim O'Brien must be firm with in relation to the plea negotiations, O'Brien needs to rattle his
09:56:14 09:56:19	42 43	cage"?Yes.
09:56:19	44	ougo . 100.
	45	Do you know if you received that information?This is on
09:56:23		9 March, is it?
09:56:24		

Yes?---No, I don't appear to have a note of that. 1 09:56:25 09:56:57 2 Is that the type of information though that you wouldn't 3 09:56:58 have recorded in your diary?---Look, I would have paid 4 09:57:01 scant regard to that sort of comment. 5 09:57:04 6 09:57:06 So if you had have, you may have been told it but you 09:57:07 **7** wouldn't have given it much regard?---No. As it turned out 8 09:57:12 for about eight minutes. 09:57:22 9 I spoke to 09:57:30 10 If we can go, please, back to Mr White's diaries for 13 09:57:30 **11** March 2007. Do you need the code? VPL.0100.0096.0486, 09:57:42 **12** 09:59:04 **13** 0580. Over the left-hand side you'll see the 10, 11, 12. We had a little bit of confusion during Mr White's evidence 09:59:26 14 09:59:29 **15** about that, it looks like a 16 but we ultimately discovered 09:59:32 16 it should be a 13 there on the left-hand side?---Yes. 09:59:36 17 And you'll see the days of the week are Friday, Saturday, 09:59:37 18 Sunday, Monday and then Tuesday. Over the right-hand side 09:59:46 **19** at 14:30 there's a recording of a meeting of Mr White with 09:59:51 20 Detective Sergeant Flynn?---Yes. 09:59:57 21 09:59:59 22 In relation to a number of matters. Again, a different 09:59:59 23 informer first?---Yes. 10:00:04 24 10:00:06 25 If we go further down over the page, at the top of the next 10:00:06 26 10:00:14 27 page you'll see there's discussion there between White and Flynn in relation to 3838. There's discussion in relation 10:00:18 28 10:00:23 29 to the upcoming committal of and how to protect the human source re non-declaration of involvement in the 10:00:29 **30** arrest, do you see that?---Yes, I do. 10:00:37 **31** 10:00:40 32 She's concerned that Dale Flynn's notes will reveal her 10:00:40 **33** attendance at St Kilda Road?---Right. 10:00:44 **34** 10:00:47 35 10:00:49 **36** She has denied attending St Kilda Road in relation to 10:00:53 **37** There's a note to check if this is true. . And then they go through a number of options about how this 10:00:58 **38** dilemma might be dealt with. The first one being, "Delete 10:01:03 **39** all references - under privilege. Admit that the human 10:01:07 40 source attended and what does she then say to 10:01:13 41 " and reference to being scared for her safety. There being an 10:01:17 42 10:01:21 43 expectation that she should have warned and | if she had have known that. An excuse that how could she have 10:01:27 44 10:01:34 **45** known that and were working together. The Mokbels had never told her. And then there's discussion re 10:01:38 46 the possibility of a plea by 10:01:42 47 because it seems as

10:01:46	1	though, well, if it resolves and the disalection pleads guilty
10:01:51	2	then there's going to be no need for disclosure which might
10:01:54	3	cause some problems, do you accept that?Yes, I see that,
10:02:00	4 5	yes.
10:02:00 10:02:00	6	It's then agreed, and it's the initials of a handler, is to
10:02:00	0 7	speak to Ms Gobbo in relation to the situation. And
10:02:04	8	outline the issues and the options available. It's noted
10:02:12	9	that if all material is deleted under PII, and then if it's
10:02:12	10	contested, Ms Gobbo's involvement will be revealed to the
10:02:21	11	Magistrate. And there's reference then to a confidential
10:02:25	12	affidavit and then that being the same problem, i.e. if
10:02:30	13	there's any contest of the matter, Ms Gobbo's involvement
10:02:33	14	will be revealed to the Magistrate in any case?Yes, I
10:02:37	15	see that.
10:02:38	16	
10:02:38	17	And then there's, it's adjourned for further consideration
10:02:42	18	and discussion with Ms Gobbo, do you see that?Yes, I see
10:02:47	19	that.
10:02:47		
10:02:49		If we go to your diary in relation to that date. And
10:03:05		specifically ?Sorry, which date?
10:03:07		Communication on 42 March 20072 Therefore Ver
10:03:07		Sorry, we're on 13 March 2007?Thank you. Yes.
10:03:13	25	If you go to the time of 12:40. Do you goo that's the date
10:03:19 10:03:25	26 27	If you go to the time of 13:40. Do you see that's the date that you've gone out to the prison with Detective Trichias
	28	to speak to?That's correct, yes.
10:03:29		
10:03:32		And you record what he told you as complete fabrication of
10:03:38	31	lies?Yes.
10:03:38	32	
10:03:40	33	It seems you're in there with him from 15:04 to
10:03:46	34	15:42?Yes.
10:03:46	35	
10:03:46	36	You say at 15:42, "Conversation ended" and there's
10:03:50	37	reference about the conversation being taped?Yes.
10:03:53	38	
10:03:54		Do you know if there's any transcript of that
10:03:58		conversation?Not that I know of. I've certainly not
10:04:02		seen one.
10:04:02		
10:04:03		Where would the tape have gone?Again, that would have
10:04:06		gone back to Purana and I'd imagine it would be, the usual
10:04:10		practice was burn it on to a CD and file it in the filing
10:04:16		cabinet I mentioned a few days back.
10:04:18	4/	

10:04:19	1	Was there any discussion in relation to Ms Gobbo during
10:04:22	2	that conversation?Not that I recall.
10:04:23	3	
10:04:23	4	Is it likely there would have been at least some reference
10:04:26	5	to her?I can't say now. It's 14 or 15 years ago. I
10:04:31	6	mean
		lineart
10:04:31	7	
10:04:31	8	I take it there was no indication to that his
10:04:37	9	legal representative was conflicted?I don't believe so.
10:04:42	10	
10:04:47	11	We'd ask for some inquiries to be made, Commissioner, in
		•
10:04:51	12	relation to any recording and transcript in relation to
10:04:54	13	that conversation.
10:04:56	14	
10:04:57	15	COMMISSIONER: All right then, thank you.
		oonniooionek. Airi right thon, thank you.
10:04:59	16	
10:04:59	17	MR HOLT: It's already underway, Commissioner.
10:05:01	18	
10:05:01	19	COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
	20	conniccionent manic jour
10:05:03		
10:05:07		MS TITTENSOR: The following day, 14 March, at 18:10 in
10:05:15	22	your diary you have another conversation with Ms Gobbo in
10:05:25	23	relation to ?Yes.
10:05:27		
		You advise her that there's no deal?Yes.
10:05:28	25	YOU SOVIED HER THAT THERE'S NO DESIZE YES
10:05:32	26	
10:05:32 10:05:33	26	
10:05:33	26 27	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams
10:05:33 10:05:41	26 27 28	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44	26 27 28 29	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams
10:05:33 10:05:41	26 27 28 29 30	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to second and and others?Yes.
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44	26 27 28 29	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44	26 27 28 29 30	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to services and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:45 10:05:54	26 27 28 29 30 31 32	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to services and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:45 10:05:54 10:05:57	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to services and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:45 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to service and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes.
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:45 10:05:54 10:05:57	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:45 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to service and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes.
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No.
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:45 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:05	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:05 10:06:10	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:05 10:06:10	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:05 10:06:10	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:05 10:06:15 10:06:15	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	<pre>And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't believe so.</pre>
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:05 10:06:15 10:06:15 10:06:18	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't believe so. If you had have had those discussions you wouldn't have
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:10 10:06:15 10:06:15 10:06:18 10:06:21	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't believe so. If you had have had those discussions you wouldn't have recorded them at that point in your diary?As I say, I
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:15 10:06:15 10:06:18 10:06:21 10:06:26	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't believe so. If you had have had those discussions you wouldn't have recorded them at that point in your diary?As I say, I didn't go out of my way not to record things in my diary,
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:10 10:06:15 10:06:15 10:06:18 10:06:21	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't believe so. If you had have had those discussions you wouldn't have recorded them at that point in your diary?As I say, I
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:54 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:58 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:15 10:06:15 10:06:18 10:06:21 10:06:26	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't believe so. If you had have had those discussions you wouldn't have recorded them at that point in your diary?As I say, I didn't go out of my way not to record things in my diary, that seems what you seem to be saying all the time. I
10:05:33 10:05:41 10:05:44 10:05:44 10:05:45 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:05:57 10:06:02 10:06:02 10:06:05 10:06:10 10:06:15 10:06:15 10:06:18 10:06:21 10:06:29	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 And that you believe he was colluding with Carl Williams and fabricating lies in relation to and others?Yes. You discussed with her the impending arrest of Renate Mokbel and the activities in relation to Zarah Garde-Wilson?Yes. Do you know whether she was providing any advice to Renata Mokbel?No. Did you have any discussions with her about concerns of her being compromised if the matter didn't resolve?I don't believe so. If you had have had those discussions you wouldn't have recorded them at that point in your diary?As I say, I didn't go out of my way not to record things in my diary,

10:06:36	1	
10:06:36	2	It would have looked a bit odd to have a discussion with a
10:06:36	2	solicitor in relation to a client who was potentially going
10:06:44	4	to plead and then her concern for her own welfare?As I
10:06:49	5	say, I don't think I had that discussion with her. As I
10:06:54	6	say, I didn't - I took notes as best I could.
10:06:59	7	
10:07:01	8	The following day on 15 March in your diary at 8.28 you had
10:07:09	9	a conversation with Deputy Commissioner Overland and
10:07:11	10	provided him with a <u>n update in r</u> elation to a number of
10:07:14	11	matters, including and Renata Mokbel?That's
10:07:20	12	correct.
10:07:20	13	
	14	Later that morning at 11.34 you're advised by Detective
10:07:28		Coghlan that Renata Mokbel was in custody?That's
	16	correct.
10:07:34	17	
	18	I just want to ask you about - there's an entry in your
10:07:55		diary at 17:00. It's the second line of the entry.
10:08:08		There's a reference to a telephone call you're having with
10:08:11		Shane Kelly, Corrections?That's correct.
10:08:14		
10:08:16		And Zarah Garde-Wilson had Mokbel sign two
10:08:21		documents?Yes, that's correct.
10:08:22	25	
10:08:22	26	Was there some surveillance going on of Ms Garde-Wilson's
10:08:27	27	attendance on people in custody?Not as far as I know.
10:08:33	28	
10:08:33	29	That seems to indicate that you were getting some
10:08:36	30	intelligence from Corrections in relation to what had
10:08:40	31	occurred as between Ms Garde-Wilson and presumably Milad
10:08:47		Mokbel?It was information that was passed to me from
10:08:49		Corrections, yes.
10:08:49	34	····, , ,
10:08:50	35	That indicated that there seemed to have been some
10:08:53		surveillance going on of a conference between a lawyer and
10:08:55		a person in custody?I'm not sure if it was surveillance.
10:08:59		I know Corrections were - this was a serious crime
10:09:07		offenders unit as far as I know, they were monitoring what
10:09:12		happened there. This was merely information passed to me.
	41	Ver were active come information that a solidite body
10:09:15		You were getting some information that a solicitor had
10:09:17		attended on Milad Mokbel and had him sign a number of
10:09:22	44	documents?That was the information I received from
10:09:24	45	Corrections, yes.
10:09:25	46	
10:09:25	47	Was there any warrant or legal advice at that stage in

.09/09/19

10:09:29	1	relation to whether such surveillance of lawyer's
10:09:36	2	activities with persons in custody could occur to your
10:09:40	3	knowledge?I don't know there was any surveillance of
10:09:40	4	lawyer's activities. In fact generally any information in
10:09:46	5	relation to anything to do with lawyers visits was under
10:09:49	6	legal professional privilege. We didn't get that
10:09:53	7	information.
10:09:53	8	
		That's why I'm acking about this? Veah I den't helieve
10:09:53	9	That's why I'm asking about this?Yeah, I don't believe
10:09:56	10	so. Who knows how he got that information. I mean it
10:09:59	11	might be something Mokbel said later on or something - he
10:10:04	12	signed two documents, I mean
10:10:05	13	5
		All right. The fellowing day on 16 March at 0.10 year
10:10:06	14	All right. The following day on 16 March at 9.10 you
10:10:15	15	receive some information from the handler that there would
10:10:18	16	be documents tendered in court that date in relation to the
10:10:23	17	Renata Mokbel company resignation?Yes.
10:10:27	18	
	19	If we can go to the ICRs at p.713, please. You'll see
10:10:29		o
10:10:53	20	about halfway down the page, this is 17 March 2007, there's
10:10:57	21	an entry there under the heading second second , that he no
10:11:01	22	longer wanted to negoti <u>ate with you.</u> He was claiming that
10:11:04	23	you'd made it personal once have had been locked up and
		that he wanted Ms Gobbo and Con Heliotis to make an offer
10:11:09		
10:11:14	25	directly with the Director of Public Prosecutions, do you
10:11:17	26	see that?Yes, I do.
10:11:18	27	
10:11:25	28	Ms Gobbo is reporting that Milad had acknowledged that, "He
	29	did say a bit of bullshit to you", do you see that?Yes.
10:11:29		and say a bit of building to you, do you see that?fes.
10:11:33	30	
10:11:33	31	I take it you'd agree with that?Yeah, there's no
10:11:36	32	surprises there.
10:11:37	33	
	34	If we could go to Mr White's diary please for 19 March
10:11:38		
10:11:42	35	2007, VPL.0100.0096.0486 at 586. You'll see over the
10:12:33	36	right-hand side of the page, this is 19 March 2007.
10:12:41	37	There's a star with briefing and some initials of people,
10:12:48	38	I'm not 100 per cent sure who the initials belong to, might
10:12:53	39	be Tony Biggin the second one, re the committal. Rob Hardy
10:13:01	40	and Tony Biggin by the looks of it, re Milad committal. If
10:13:07	41	we scroll further down and keep on going to - there's
10:13:11	42	various informers clearly being discussed, do you see
10:13:14	43	that?Yes, yes, I see numbers there.
10:13:16	44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	45	And we get to over the page, and the next page. If get to
10:13:16		
10:13:31	46	down over the right-hand side down the bottom, at 17:10
10:13:36	47	that day there's a meeting with Detective Sergeant Flynn in

10:13:40	1	relation to 3838 notes. And it's agreed, "Hand over only
10:13:47	2	notes that relate to MM arrest, Milad Mokbel arrest, not
		?Yes.
10:13:55	3	?Yes.
10:13:56	4	
10:13:56	5	And in brackets it says, "Relevance". So it appears to be
10:14:02	6	that there's an agreement at that stage that when,
10:14:08	7	presumably, there's disclosure that's to be made, Mr Flynn
10:14:13	8	would hand over only the notes relating to Milad Mokbel's
		·
10:14:19	9	arrest, no notes relating to arrest, do you see
10:14:22	10	that?I can see that. I can see the notes, yes.
10:14:25	11	
10:14:26	12	There's a note under that, "Have not been specifically
10:14:29	13	asked re managed notes" and underneath that, "Plea best
	14	option"?Yes, I see that.
10:14:36		option ?les, i see that.
10:14:38	15	
10:14:38	16	It seems to be that there's a continuing concern as to the
10:14:43	17	revelation of Ms Gobbo's role if the Milad Mokbel matter
10:14:48	18	didn't resolve, do you accept that?I see what you say,
10:14:53	19	yes.
		ycs.
10:14:53	20	
10:14:53		If we can go to the ICRs at 734, please. You'll see there,
10:15:33	22	this is 26 March 2007, at 15:46, there's, unde <u>r the hea</u> ding
10:15:39	23	of, is reporting that
10:15:47	24	doesn't want to talk to Ms Gobbo for her safety reasons.
10:15:54	25	Then there's a reference to
		6 II.
10:15:57	26	the advice he'd received from Ms Gobbo and that he should
10:16:01	27	not have rolled over. He blames Ms Gobbo for the
10:16:04	28	year sentence that he'd just received. Here and a set of a set of
10:16:10	29	provide further details over the phone and Ms Gobbo is
10:16:13	30	reporting that she's very unhappy and in tears stating that
10:16:17		she had paid for his legal fees and meal money?Right.
		she had pard for this regar rees and mean money?Right.
10:16:20	32	
10:16:23	33	Then Purana Task Force, through Detective Flynn, is advised
10:16:28	34	in relation to that?Right.
10:16:29	35	
10:16:29	36	If we go to 7.45. We're on 30 March. You'll see down
10:16:49		towards the bottom there's a face-to-face meeting, there's
		5 ·
10:16:53		a summary of a face-to-face meeting?Sorry, what time's
10:16:59	39	this?
	40	
10:16:59	41	Down the bottom, 16:51?Yes.
	42	,
	43	Ms Gobbo has a meeting with White and Smith?Yes.
		no ooboo has a meeting with white and Smith?165.
10:17:07		
10:17:13		If we go to, over the <u>page. Th</u> ere's a discussion down the
10:17:24	46	bottom in relation to
10:17:28		specifically in relation to Milad Mokbel's committal
10.1/.20	••	

10:17:32	1	mention and the possibility of material being subpoenaed.
10:17:38	2	And down the bottom, "3838 expressed concerns regarding
10:17:42	3	Purana recordings on the night of the 22nd. Flynn and
10:17:49	4	O'Brien wanted him to commit to a course of action.
10:17:52	5	Massive inconsistent statements, heaps of lies". Do you
10:17:55	6	see that?Yes, I see that.
10:17:56	7	
10:17:57	8	And then there's further references really indicating
	9	Ms Gobbo's concerns about her dual agent role, if you like,
10:18:05		
10:18:11	10	being discovered and what might be done about it?Yes.
10:18:14	11	
10:18:16	12	If we go to 7.48. You'll see under the heading "Milad
10:18:28	13	Mokbel" Ms Gobbo will see him on Monday in relation to a
10:18:31		plea. She'll tell him that he has to think about the
		•
10:18:37		bigger picture i.e. charges involving a longer period of
10:18:43	16	trafficking. Underneath that she indicates that Milad is
10:18:49	17	getting advice from Lethbridge, that's his solicitor, and
10:18:54	18	Livermore, his barrister, his other barrister, saying to
10:18:58	19	
10:19:01	20	states that she's already done so and they are fine. Do
10:19:06	21	you see that there might be an issue in, or there's
10:19:12	22	certainly a difference between the advice that Milad Mokbel
10:19:16		is receiving by his independent impartial legal
10:19:20		representation as opposed to Ms Gobbo?Going by this
10:19:25		document, yes.
10:19:26	26	
10:19:36	27	On about the seventh dot point down, "Ms Gobbo intends to
10:19:41	28	say to Milad things from the past where she has been right
10:19:46		re this matter. He said on the night of the arrest he
		•
10:19:50	30	wanted to plead guilty. Has said to Ms Gobbo that she was
10:19:56	31	right in relation to that. She will tell him that the
10:20:06	32	longer you leave something, the more they will have, those
10:20:10	33	kinds of common sense things". She's indicating the kind
10:20:13	34	of conversation she'll have with Milad Mokbel?Yes.
10:20:17	35	To and an fam has alread and liter data and the (A. T.
	36	In order for him to plead guilty, do you see that?I see
10:20:21	37	that, yes.
10:20:21	38	
10:20:22		And then following that, "Ms Gobbo hopes that Renate
		doesn't get out on Tuesday", that's presumably out of
	40	
10:20:29	41	custody, and that will solidify her position. She seems to
10:20:34	42	think that will be of some advantage to her?Yes, that's
10:20:39	43	what that document says.
10:20:41	44	
		That is Ms Gobbo?Yes.
	45	mat 13 m3 000001103.
	46	
		- 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
10:20:43	47	Then over the page at 749. About the fifth dot point down

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

5826

there's a reference to Mr Richter being involved and that 1 10:21:01 he won't be looking for human source involvement but she 10:21:07 **2** believes that Milad and Carl Williams will look at the 3 10:21:10 material and that's of concern for her?---Yes, I see that. 10:21:13 **4** 10:21:18 **5** There's a suggestion underneath that that it might be 10:21:21 **6** 10:21:24 **7** better if she was overseas at the time of the committal, 10:21:27 **8** there's options being discussed, and the reason for was not talking to her. 10:21:34 **9** mentioning this is that It seems their relationship was a bit strained at that 10:21:38 **10** point in time. Do you have a recollection of that?---No, I 10:21:43 **11** don't. 10:21:46 **12** 10:21:46 **13** Further down the page, a little bit under halfway, it says, 10:21:56 **14** 10:22:01 **15** "No notes from will be handed over at this stage to any outside person under 8A", that's the committal 10:22:05 **16** disclosure process, you recall that?---Yes. 10:22:10 17 10:22:13 **18** "Subpoenas have not been issued at this stage. Effectively 10:22:14 **19** things are simply being deferred at this time, a plausible 10:22:17 **20** response needs to be considered. The time to consider an 10:22:22 **21** 10:22:26 **22** appropriate response. If no appropriate response can be formulated" there are other considerations that they then 10:22:30 **23** go on to mention. Public interest immunity is discussed. 10:22:35 **24** It's not an option because that would mean information 10:22:39 **25** 10:22:42 **26** would be unable to be kept secret and that presumably 10:22:47 **27** means, "Well we can't keep it secret from the court"?---I 10:22:53 **28** don't think anybody's is saying keep it secret from the 10:22:58 29 court, I don't see that. 10:22:59 **30** What they're saying is that claiming public interest 10:23:00 **31** immunity, that option is discussed but they're saying it's 10:23:02 **32** not an option and the only reason it wouldn't be an option 10:23:05 **33** is, they go on to say, "It's not an option because that 10:23:09 **34** means we're unable to keep it secret". Whilst you might be 10:23:13 **35** 10:23:18 **36** claiming public interest immunity, you understand you have 10:23:20 **37** to at least inform the court as to what underlies 10:23:24 **38** everything? --- Right. 10:23:25 **39** So they're saying that's not an option presumably because 10:23:26 **40** the court would then have to be informed. 10:23:30 41 10:23:33 42 10:23:34 **43** MR CHETTLE: Commissioner, it's what Ms Gobbo is saying, it's not they are saying. These ICRs are a record of what 10:23:35 44 10:23:40 **45** she says, not what - I'm being sensitive, but the assertion 10:23:44 **46** that they were saying that is not correct. 10:23:46 47

COMMISSIONER: Yes, the note speaks for itself. 1 10:23:46 10:23:51 2 MS TITTENSOR: It may well be that this is Ms Gobbo talking 3 10:23:59 or it may be the other way around. This might be clarified 10:24:03 **4** with a listening - I think this is an audio recording, I'm 10:24:09 5 currently reading out the summary. We might get a more 10:24:13 6 accurate idea from the actual transcript. 10:24:16 **7** 10:24:20 **8** It says it's discussed. Yes, the transcript 10:24:20 9 COMMISSIONER: would be the best evidence. 10:24:23 10 10:24:27 **11** MS TITTENSOR: Underneath that - - -10:24:27 **12** 10:24:29 13 COMMISSIONER: Sorry, the tape would be the best evidence. 10:24:29 **14** 10:24:32 **15** 10:24:33 16 MS TITTENSOR: Underneath that reference to public interest immunity being discussed, it says this, "Bateson - blackout 10:24:35 17 references - re "?---Yes. 10:24:42 **18** 10:24:46 **19** "They got away with not having to produce", do you see 10:24:46 20 that?---Yes. 10:24:51 21 10:24:51 **22** "Discuss the possibility of human source representing one 10:24:52 **23** of the co-offenders. She suggested the only person that 10:24:55 **24** could be is ---Yes. 10:24:59 **25** 10:25:03 **26** 10:25:04 **27** Do you <u>remember</u> there was another person arrested by the 10:25:08 28 name of _____?---Yes. 10:25:17 **29** Down the bottom Ms Gobbo suggests that if Renate matter 10:25:17 **30** refused, she believes it will change Milad's attitude, that 10:25:22 **31** he might be more receptive to her suggestions and influence 10:25:28 **32** and it would be good if he got more charges?---Yes, I can 10:25:33 **33** 10:25:37 34 see it. 10:25:37 35 10:25:47 **36** I'll take you to a slight bit of the audio transcript. 10:25:51 **37** Unfortunately I don't have that public interest immunity in my notes, but we can go to the audio transcript, 10:25:55 **38** VPL.0005.0127.0720. If we can go to p.209, please. You'll 10:25:58 **39** see there that there's some discussion about the disclosure 10:26:32 **40** problem?---Yes. 10:26:36 41 10:26:38 42 10:26:41 **43** And that just under halfway, or about halfway Ms Gobbo is referring to police notes from the night?---Yes. 10:26:47 **44** 10:26:53 **45** 10:26:54 **46** Following that Mr White says, "Well we've also addressed the issue of what other notes there are in existence and 10:26:57 47

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

5828

we've been told there are no others that cause a problem 1 10:27:00 but we're actually going to sit down with those people and 10:27:03 2 work our way through all their notes". Ms Gobbo says, 3 10:27:06 starts listing people who it would be, "Like O'Brien, 4 10:27:13 obviously Paul Rowe, Jason Kelly, da, da, da was there on 5 10:27:17 the night, everyone was there"?---Yes. 6 10:27:21 7 10:27:24 And Mr White says, "There's a lot of people we need to sit 8 10:27:24 down and as a ... go through all the notes so that's been 10:27:28 9 considered and taken care of". Do you know if there was a 10:27:33 10 time when the SDU sat down with all the investigators that 10:27:39 **11** were present on the night of s arrest to go 10:27:44 **12** 10:27:48 **13** through their notes?---Not to my knowledge. All this material that you've, a lot of this material you've just 10:27:52 14 10:27:55 **15** gone through, I make this observation, I wasn't even at 10:27:59 **16** work when this occurred. I wasn't at these meetings. This is the very sort of thing, materials and foibles why we 10:28:03 17 outsourced this human source along with other human 10:28:09 18 sources, dealing with all this sort of material and their 10:28:12 19 worries and their concerns. That wasn't of concern to me, 10:28:15 **20** I was about information, investigating criminality. 10:28:18 **21** 10:28:23 22 I accept your weren't present at some of these meetings, 10:28:25 **23** but clearly the SDU were having meetings with investigators 10:28:29 24 and the last few have been with Detective Flynn and these 10:28:33 25 concerns in relation to disclosure and how we're going to 10:28:36 26 10:28:40 27 deal with these issues were discussed as between the SDU and the investigators?---No, but none of this was ever 10:28:43 28 10:28:47 **29** discussed with me. Knowing Dale Flynn as I do, I doubt whether it probably took place with him, I'm not sure, but 10:28:52 30 Dale would be able to answer that. At all stages we would 10:28:55 **31** have had to produce material to the court. 10:28:59 **32** 10:29:03 **33** 10:29:11 34 If we can go to the ICR p.767, please. You'll see here 10:29:42 **35** this is 4 April 2007, Mr O'Brien?---Yes. 10:29:47 **36** This is a discussion again with the handlers in relation to 10:29:48 **37** Milad Mokbel and Ms Gobbo is telling them that Mr Mokbel 10:29:54 **38** has been wrongly convinced by Wilson and Lethbridge that 10:29:58 **39** Purana will not be serving additional charges. She'd lost 10:30:03 40 her temper with Milad regarding this as she'd already told 10:30:07 41 him he would be. He wanted Renee released. She'd been 10:30:11 42 10:30:16 43 discussing another brief of evidence against him in relation to a threat to kill, which he seemed to take 10:30:19 44 He didn't think that you, Mr O'Brien, would come 10:30:23 45 lightly. 10:30:31 46 back to see him but Ms Gobbo said that you would return once his wife was refused leave to appeal and she tells her 10:30:36 47

handlers that Milad is desperate to resolve matters and 1 10:30:42 ensure his wife is released. Do you see that?---Yes. 10:30:45 **2** Again, her opinion. This is what this says to me, her 3 10:30:49 10:30:55 **4** opinion. 5 10:30:56 <u>It goes on that she be</u>lieved, or she indicated that 6 10:30:57 in relation She said that 7 10:31:05 both she and Milad Mokbel believed that 8 killed 10:31:11 and that he'd made a false statement and that 10:31:17 9 had not been involved and there's a notation 10:31:20 10 there that Purana had previously been advised of that. 10:31:24 **11** Do vou see that?---Yes, I do. 10:31:28 **12** 10:31:30 **13** She indicates to the handlers that she's frustrated with 10:31:31 **14** 10:31:34 **15** the bullshit advice that Mr Milad Mokbel's other lawyers 10:31:42 **16** were providing to him. She stated that Milad Mokbel would not deal with, it should be Peter Trichias from Purana, and 10:31:46 17 10:31:50 **18** that stealing \$100,000?---Yes. 10:31:55 **19** 10:31:56 **20** And there's an indication there that you were advised 10:31:57 **21** 10:32:02 **22** verbally of that information?---Right. Which date was this? 10:32:06 **23** 10:32:06 24 This is 4 April 2007?---Yes, I was on a day off and was 10:32:06 25 10:32:23 **26** involved in a presentation with the Australian Football 10:32:31 27 League. 10:32:31 28 10:32:40 **29** It might be that some of your recording of that information was on a different date. If I can take you to 10 April 10:32:45 **30** 2007?---Yes. 10:32:48 **31** 10:32:55 **32** At 14:50 do you have a conversation with an SDU 10:33:00 **33** handler?---Yes. 10:33:09 34 10:33:09 35 And are you given the information that Milad Mokbel will 10:33:11 **36** still broker a deal to plead guilty?---Yes. 10:33:18 37 10:33:21 **38** And that he didn't like Peter Trichias?---Didn't like 10:33:21 **39** either of us apparently. 10:33:26 40 10:33:27 **41** I don't know that that's specifically mentioned there, but 10:33:30 42 10:33:34 **43** certainly you've noted there that he didn't like Peter Trichias?---Yes. 10:33:38 44 10:33:39 45 10:33:39 **46** Then you've given some other information in relation to other people?---Yes. 10:33:41 47

10:33:42	1	
10:33:53	2	If you go over the page in that entry, you're given some
	3	information about Ms Gobbo having reported on a
10:34:05		conversation that she'd had with barrister Steven
10:34:09	4	
10:34:18	5	Shirreffs?Have you got a time at all? Sorry.
10:34:21	6	
10:34:21	7	It's the same entry I think, it's part of that longer
10:34:30	8	entry. You might see about five lines down she's talking
10:34:35	9	about speaking with Steven Shirreffs? Sorry, five lines
10:34:49	10	down on I think it would be p.5 of your diary?That's
10:35:04	11	correct, yes.
10:35:04	12	
10:35:05	13	Now, it se <u>ems at the time that Shirreffs</u> was engaged in
10:35:10	14	defending in relation to the trial for the
10:35:15	15	murder of PYes.
10:35:17	16	
10:35:18	17	And was giving evidence against
10:35:23	18	?That's correct.
10:35:24	19	
10:35:24	20	And there was a discussion between Ms Gobbo and
10:35:24		Mr Shirreffs in relation to the evidence in the
10:35:31		trial?Yes.
10:35:31		
10:35:31		And he was conveying to her various matters that he
10:35:35		believed to be weaknesses in the prosecution case?Yes.
10:35:38	26	That constul analysis of the CCDs slong with the syddense
10:35:39		That careful analysis of the CCRs along with the evidence
10:35:43	28	of a particular witness would show that was not
10:35:47		in a particular suburb?That's correct, that's what the
10:35:51		information says.
10:35:51	31	
	32	And clearly that information's been relayed to you?It
10:35:56	33	has been, yes.
10:35:57	34	
10:35:57	35	Was there any concern that there might be some breaching of
10:36:03	36	confidential privileged information in receiving that
10:36:09	37	information?I didn't consider it at that time. I noted
10:36:13	38	the information. Wrote it down as it was given to me and
10:36:16	39	did nothing with it.
10:36:17	40	
10:36:18	41	Did you not pass that information on to anyone?Not as
10:36:21	42	far as I know.
10:36:22		
10:36:24	44	Could you say 100 per cent you didn't past that information
10:36:28	45	on or?As I say, I don't believe I did pass it on.
	46	I didn't involve myself in stuff that was on foot, already
10:36:35		ongoing. I was concerned about doing investigations.
10.00.07		sige ing i i nue concerned about doing involtigationer

10:36:40	1	
10:36:40	2	If you had some information that there might be a
10:36:43	3	particular attack on a particular case in a particular way,
10:36:46	4	would you discuss with your investigators how to cover off
10:36:49	5	on such matters?It depends. If it was stuff that was
10:36:53	6	public knowledge not something that was information between
10:36:56	7	what one barrister was going to do on behalf of his client.
10:36:59	8	I wasn't going to use that, no. It would be a matter of
10:37:09	9	public record in any event.
10:37:11	10	
10:37:12	11	Depends what stage the trial was at, I presume?My
10:37:16	12	understanding was that Example went on to give evidence
10:37:18	13	in the trial fo <u>r some leng</u> thy period of time and as a
10:37:26	14	result I think was convicted of the murder.
10:37:29	15	
10:37:29	16	You're right, yes. If we can go to the ICRs at p.799,
10:37:39	17	please. If we can just scroll up to confirm the date.
10:37:55	18	This should be 18 April, do you see that?Yes.
10:38:00	19	
10:38:03		Under the heading, ' at 13:48 do you see that
10:38:10	21	he's furious about Example and the statements that he'd
10:38:15	22	made and was asking Ms Gobbo if she visits or sees
10:38:20		?Yes.
10:38:20	24	
10:38:21	25	She expresses concern that upcoming subpoenas will reveal
10:38:24	26	contacts that she'd had with Example and it's indicated
10:38:29	27	that the issue was discussed with you and you advised that
	28	there's an agreement in place with the prison to advise of
10:38:35	29	all subpoenas, do you see that?Right.
10:38:35	30	arr subpochas, do you see char Right.
10:38:37	31	If you have a look at your diary for that date?Sorry,
10:38:50		the date again?
10:38:50	33	
10:38:52		COMMISSIONER: 18 April 07?Thank you, Commissioner.
10:39:12	35	Yes.
10:39:12		
10:39:12		MS TITTENSOR: At 14:20 you record a discussion with the
	38	handler in relation to 3838 information?Yes.
10:39:25	39	
10:39:23		I <u>nsofar</u> as it refers to, it indicates that,
10:39:27		"I is very annoyed re second evidence"?Yes.
10:39:36		
10:39:45		Doesn't refer to any discussion about subpoenas?No.
10:39:43		
10:39:48		Do you accept that you had a discussion about subpoenas
10:39:49		with the handler?No, I don't. I don't - I've no memory
10:39:52		of that. I'm not saying it didn't happen, but I don't have
TO:2A:30	71	or chack is in not saying it urun t nappen, but i uon t nave

10:40:00	1	a memory of it and I don't have a note of it.
10:40:02	2	
10:40:03	3	Was there an agreement in place with the prison that they
10:40:06	4	would advise investigators in relation to all subpoenas
10:40:08	5	that were issued?I don't believe so.
10:40:10	6	
10:40:11	7	Was there an agreement in place specifically to, out of
10:40:18	8	concern in relation to Ms Gobbo?At the prison?
10:40:24	9	
10:40:24	10	In relation to subpoenas being issued that might reveal her
10:40:28	11	attendances on particular prisoners?I don't believe so.
10:40:35	12	There may have been something the Source Unit put in place
10:40:41	13	but certainly not something I'm aware of.
10:40:44	14	
10:40:44	15	It seems to be from a note made by the handler, they
10:40:47	16	discussed it with you and you advised that there was an
	17	agreement in place with the prison?I don't believe there
10:40:53	18	was an agreement in place with the prison.
10:40:55		5 1 1
10:40:56	20	If we can go to p.801, please, 19 April 2007. You'll see
10:41:16	21	just under halfway again there's discussion in relation to
10:41:20		Milad Mokbel. Ms Gobbo would like, this is 19 April
10:41:26		2007?Yes.
10:41:26		
10:41:26		Sorry if I didn't say that. "Ms Gobbo would like to see
10:41:30		Milad and assist him to plead to all charges. She states
10:41:34		that Renee needs to stay in gaol to enable her to be able
10:41:38		to convince Milad"?Yes.
10:41:40		
10:41:41		And she had some general conversation with the handler
10:41:44		about not representing or providing legal advice to the
10:41:47		Mokbels?Yes.
10:41:48		
10:41:49		It seems that that message certainly wasn't getting through
10:41:52		to her, do you accept that?Yes.
10:41:55		
10:42:09		It's at that stage, just underneath there, the next entry
10:42:14		is Ms Gobbo offering to go overseas and meet with Tony
10:42:17		Mokbel at her own expense, do you see that?I find that
10:42:24		very amusing because Mr Mokbel was living in serenity at
10:42:31		Bonnie Doon as we all know by then.
	42	
10:42:34		This was about a year later I think, so he might have been
10:42:34		overseas, I imagine, by that stage. She's offering to
10:42:43		infiltrate with various other associates of Mokbel and is
10:42:43		100 per cent confident that he'd surface if she presumably
10:42:40		infiltrated and went overseas. It's bizarre behaviour by
10.42.31	71	Interfaced and work overseds. It's bizarre benaviour by

10:42:57	1	her, would you agree?Yes. In fact, I might just say, I
10:43:09	2	think I believe that was the very date that I received
10:43:13	3	confirmation that Tony Mokbel was in Greece.
10:43:17	4	
10:43:17	5	19 April?Yes.
10:43:19	6	
10:43:27	7	If we can go to your diary on the 22nd?Yes.
10:43:39	8	Denote have a material Table it is the second less line
10:43:44	9	Do you have a notation, I think it's the second-last line
10:43:53	10	down, you rendezvous with Sandy White-O
10:43:56	11	and you're having discussions with him in relation to the
10:44:01	12	deactivation of Ms Gobbo?Yes.
10:44:02	13	If we go to p 818 of the weige new on 20 April 2007
10:44:02	14	If we go to p.818 of the - we're now on 30 April 2007.
10:44:16	15	You'll see at 15:49 under the heading again, " is very keen to plead". He wants to talk to
10:44:23 10:44:31		you. <u>He's savi</u> ng he can't he <u>lp the</u> police in relation to
10:44:31	18	the saving he can there the portee in relation to
10:44:34	19	concerned with and that be left in custody,
10:44:41	20	do you see that?Yes.
10:44:45		
10:44:40		He's requested that Jim O'Brien speak to him again and it
10:44:51		says there that you were notified?Yes.
10:44:55		
10:45:06		I'm not sure there's necessarily any reference in your
10:45:12		diary to such a notification?No, there wasn't, you'll
10:45:15		see I was very busy with another informer.
10:45:18		
10:45:18	29	Yes. Do you accept you were notified and you may have told
10:45:23	30	someone else to deal with it?No, I don't accept I was -
10:45:26	31	as I say I have no recollection of being notified by that.
10:45:30	32	There was one approach in relation to second second , I went
10:45:33	33	out and saw him, and as I say it was a waste of time and
10:45:36		that was it.
10:45:37	35	
10:45:38		Often there are numerous approaches and numerous
10:45:41	37	discussions with witnesses before they, with witnesses or
10:45:44	38	people that plead guilty before they come round to becoming
10:45:47	39	a witness or even just pleading guilty, is that right? You
10:45:52	40	don't just have, you might not just have one and say,
10:45:55	41	"That's it, I'm not going to speak to this person
10:46:00	42	again"?No, but I'd want a very good reason to drive all
10:46:04		the way back to see him again.
10:46:06	44	De very understand that Detections Floor continued to dur'
10:46:06		Do you understand that Detective Flynn continued to drive
10:46:09	46	out to and see him?I'm not sure, he may have.
10:46:13	4/	

10:46:13	1	If you were notified about this and subsequently Detective
10:46:18	2	Flynn goes out, would you accept the circumstance that you
10:46:18	3	were notified and passed it on to Flynn?I may have. As
10:46:23	4	I say, it's noted there. I don't have a note of it, I was
10:46:35	5	busy with other things.
10:46:37	6	Suby men bench enniger
10:46:37	7	Whilst we're here, in your diary on 2 May?Yes.
10:46:47	8	
10:46:58	9	13:30, I think you attend the Pen Place or the Pen People
10:47:05	10	and purchase a pen for presentation to Ms Gobbo?That's
10:47:08	11	correct.
10:47:08	12	
10:47:12	13	Was there any engraving on the pen?Not that I believe.
10:47:16	14	
10:47:18	15	And then later that night at 18:15 you go to the, you set
10:47:24	16	out with White-O to the Sebel Heritage golf course and
10:47:30	17	have a meeting and dinner with Ms Gobbo, is that
10:47:33	18	right?Yes.
	19	1 ignt: 163.
10:47:33		You were joined there at 7 25 by a number of other
10:47:34	20	You were joined there at 7.25 by a number of other
10:47:41	21	handlers, is it Green and Anderson?I think they were
10:47:44	22	already there.
10:47:44	23	
10 : 47 : 45	24	And Ms Gobbo. And there's discussion about, she provides
10 : 47 : 50	25	even more information during the course of dinner in
10 : 47 : 53	26	relation to further possible police corruption issues, is
10 : 47 : 57	27	that right?That's correct.
10:47:58	28	
10:47:58	29	And that dinner goes on until about half past
10:48:03	30	midnight?That's what time I left, yes.
10:48:05	31	
10:48:05	32	And you left with to go
10:48:08	33	home?Yes.
10:48:09	34	
10:48:12	35	It seems to have been a reasonably long dinner?Yes.
10:48:17	36	,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,
	37	What was the mood like around the table?I don't really
	38	recall.
10:48:26	39	
10:48:26	40	Were there discussions about the glories, the arrests that
10:48:26		had been made based on Ms Gobbo's assistance?I don't
	42	think so. I didn't, I didn't go into any great detail
	43	about arrests or work that I was doing.
10:48:42	44	Wana thong analahaa? No thong waardt are analahar
10:48:43		Were there speeches?No, there wasn't any speeches.
	46	
10:48:47	47	There was a presentation of the pen at some point in

10:48:49	1	time?Yes, I thanked her for the assistance she gave
10:48:52	2	Victoria Police and gave her the pen.
10:48:53	3	
10:48:54	4	Anyone else make any speeches that you can recall?Not
10 : 48 : 58	5	that I can recall.
10 : 48 : 59	6	Sandy White-O
10:49:01	7	Sandy White-O You travelled home with
10:49:05	8	again?Yes.
10:49:06	9	
10:49:07	10	Was there a discussion about Ms Gobbo during?There
10:49:13	11	may have been. As I say, I don't recall now.
10 : 49 : 15	12	
10 : 49 : 15	13	I think the next morning you travelled back to work with
10:49:18	14	him again, is that right?Yes.
	15	
	16	And those are the types of events where you might have a
10:49:24	17	bit of a catch up with him in relation to what was going on
10 : 49 : 27	18	with various informers, including Ms Gobbo?Yes, it may
	19	have been.
10:49:32		
10:49:32		And not necessarily write down what you'd been
10:49:37		discussing?No, it would be just general conversation.
10:49:43		Same as when you're working in an office for 10 or 14 hours
	24	a day.
10:49:49	25	Verilie indicated encoderally an encode these trains shoutly
10:49:49		You've indicated previously on one of those trips shortly
10:49:54	27	after manual had been arrested, that you had some
10:49:57	28	discussion with him about your concerns in relation to
	29	Ms Gobbo representing Horoman , or having turned up to the
10:50:03		police station and providing him with advice?Yes, we
10:50:06	31	did.
10:50:06	32 33	At around this time you've got this situation with Milad
10:50:06 10:50:11		Mokbel where she's in the midst of trying to negotiate a
10:50:11	35	plea for him, one that would benefit her own situation.
	36	Did you have any such discussion with Detective White
	37	around those issues?No, I don't think so and, as I said,
	38	look from what I know of Milad Mokbel, lovely man to your
10:50:20	39	face, wanted to make an espresso coffee when you were
10:50:34	40	searching his house, but at the end of the day he was a
10:50:34	41	Mokbel and he never was going to provide us anything as far
10:50:42	42	as I was concerned.
10:50:42	43	
10:50:43	44	Do you say then it was all right that he had Ms Gobbo
10:50:47	45	advising him?No, I don't say that at all. It's not
	46	something I had any sway over.
10:50:53		

Did you seek some advice about whether you could prevent 1 10:50:54 such a situation?---No, I didn't. As I said, my whole 10:50:57 2 focus in this matter was in relation to the deaths of the 3 10:51:06 There were two people that were dead, shot in 4 two Hodsons. 10:51:10 the back of the head in their own house who were police 5 10:51:16 informers. My first and foremost consideration always was 6 10:51:21 the life of the informer and the protection of their 7 10:51:24 8 identity. 10:51:28 10:51:28 9 If we can go to p.834, please. If we scroll up you'll see 10:51:30 10 11 May 2007. Do you see that on the left-hand side 10:51:52 **11** there?---Yes, I do. 10:52:01 12 10:52:02 13 There's an entry at the top under the heading "Milad 10:52:04 14 10:52:09 15 Mokbel" who is expecting to see Dale Flynn that day. 10:52:14 16 "Ms Gobbo reports that he now regrets listening to Zarah Garde-Wilson and states that he should have pleaded guilty 10:52:21 17 in the first instance. He wanted to sack his current 10:52:23 18 solicitor Lethbridge. He had just paid some money into the 10:52:33 19 Lethbridge account by the looks of it. He told Flynn to 10:52:37 20 deal with Ms Gobbo. She says she's not going to represent 10:52:41 **21** or appear for him at court" but it seems she believes that 10:52:45 **22** settlement can be reached with him and Purana, presumably 10:52:50 23 10:52:53 24 by her acting in the background?---That's what it says, 10:52:59 25 ves. 10:53:00 26 10:53:06 27 In Flynn's statement he indicates that he had further 10:53:09 28 discussions with Ms Gobbo about a resolution in relation to 10:53:14 29 Milad Mokbel's matter and his desire essentially to on 14 May 2007. Then if we go to 15 May 2007 at 10:53:18 30 p.837. At 13:44 you'll see a heading "Milad Mokbel". 10:53:25 **31** "Ms Gobbo reports that she's been talking to Detective 10:53:40 **32** Flynn about the Milad plea deal. She can't talk to 10:53:43 **33** Lethbridge", who's the solicitor on the record, "Ms Gobbo 10:53:47 **34** 10:53:52 35 advised that it's not appropriate for her to" - sorry, the handler it seems advised Ms Gobbo it's not appropriate for 10:53:57 **36** her to represent Milad. She agrees and added that he'd 10:54:00 **37** failed to pay \$40,000 in fees and she's referred Milad to 10:54:05 **38** Robert Richter to negotiate with Purana it seems, do you 10:54:13 **39** see that?---I see that, yes. 10:54:16 **40** 10:54:22 41 If we go to 849, please, 22 May 2007. At 13:51 under the 10:54:31 42 heading "Milad Mokbel" there's reference to the solicitor 10:54:49 **43** Lethbridges will not allow Milad to plead guilty. Milad 10:54:53 **44** 10:54:59 **45** needs lots of money to prepare for the committal. And the 10:55:03 46 OPP are with the He Ms Gobbo believes that Milad wanted the matter settled. 10:55:06 47

will plead to Flynn's terms. Ms Gobbo doesn't want to be 10:55:12 1 involved in the plea but Milad is demanding that she be 10:55:18 2 Milad is demanding that she ring the OPP and involved. 3 10:55:22 resolve it. Then later in another conversation there's an 10:55:27 **4** 10:55:32 **5** indication that he accepts he has to plead guilty. 10:55:35 6 Ms Gobbo believes that the response from the OPP had been driven by you. Do you see that?---Yes. 10:55:41 7 10:55:43 **8** Go to 856, please. 10:55:51 9 Halfway down the page there's an indication - this is 25 May 2007 - halfway down the page 10:56:05 10 10:56:10 **11** there's an indication that Ms Gobbo wants to speak to Flynn so she can tell Milad what he's expected to plead to. 10:56:13 12 She 10:56:19 13 wants to then get, she wants to get a solicitor to then negotiate on his behalf. Now, a number of days after that 10:56:23 14 10:56:29 **15** on 28 May 2007 Mr Flynn indicates in his statement he had 10:56:34 16 further discussion with Ms Gobbo in relation to possible resolution of Milad Mokbel's matter?---Right. 10:56:38 17 10:56:42 18 10:56:49 19 If we have a look in your diary on 29 May?---Yes. 10:57:05 20 10:57:08 21 There's an entry, the final entry down the bottom, relates 10:57:14 22 to a conversation you're having with a handler in relation 10:57:19 23 to a different informer, do you see that?---Yes. 10:57:21 24 That relates to the informer, another informer in the Tony 10:57:22 25 Mokbel matter?---This is at 18:25 are you talking about? 10:57:27 26 10:57:36 **27** It should be - it's maybe 11.49, down the bottom I think 10:57:37 28 10:57:42 29 it's p.50 of your diary?---Right. 10:57:49 **30** 10:57:49 **31** Do you see that?---Yes. 10:57:50 **32** So you're having a conversation with an SDU member about 10:57:52 **33** information from a different informer?---Yes. 10:57:56 **34** 10:57:58 35 And there's some information from that person about the 10:57:58 **36** funding of Milad Mokbel's committal and a certain amount of 10:58:06 37 10:58:11 38 money being placed into the trust account of the solicitors?---Yes. 10:58:14 39 10:58:14 40 Was it ever any concern that Ms Gobbo in making 10:58:18 41 representation of Milad Mokbel or others in a similar 10:58:23 42 10:58:28 43 situation, that she might be charging and receiving some of the funds that are going into those solicitor's 10:58:31 44 accounts?---No. I don't believe so. 10:58:35 45 There was a full analysis done of legal payments by the criminal proceeds 10:58:41 46 team which was funds basically being passed through shelf 10:58:46 47

10:58:52	1	companies, things like Honestly Mine. I'm just trying to
10:59:00	2	think of some of the other companies, I think there were
10:59:04	3	three different companies that they used.
10:59:05	4	
10:59:05	5	Ms Gobbo at some point in time provided a document with
10:59:09	6	information about funds being paid into various accounts,
10:59:15	7	is that right?I'm not sure about that. I think there
10:59:18	8	was some funds tracking done by the criminal proceeds team.
10:59:22	9	
10:59:22	10	Presumably people that were representing people like Milad
10:59:28	11	Mokbel you would have understood were being paid?Not
	12	really. I don't know what the arrangements were but money
	13	was being transferred through solicitor's accounts, yes.
	14	was being transferred through softertor s accounts, yes.
	15	You wouldn't have thought these people were acting for free
	16	out of the goodness of their own hearts, they had an
		.
	17	occupation, they were being paid just as you were being
	18	paid?Of course, of course.
10:59:53		Cimilanly with Ma Cabbal Wall I deplet know what she was
10:59:53		Similarly with Ms Gobbo?Well I don't know what she was
10:59:56		being paid.
10:59:57		
10:59:58		You'd have no reason to think she wasn't being paid for her
11:00:02		work?I don't know what the arrangements with her were.
11:00:04		
11:00:05		It would have been strange if she was saying to someone
11:00:09		like Milad, "Don't worry, I'll do this for free for you".
11:00:14	28	He might have thought something was up?As I say, I don't
11:00:18		know what her payment arrangements were.
11:00:20		
11:00:25	31	At p.886 of the ICR, please. See right up the top of the
11:00:41		page that it seems as though there was a new firm acting
11:00:45	33	for Milad?Yes.
11:00:46	34	
11:00:49	35	And then further down the page on 10 June 2007, Ms Gobbo's
11:01:00	36	passing along information in relation to Milad having
11:01:05	37	arranged for \$7,000 to be paid to the original solicitors,
11:01:09	38	Lethbridges, that had been tasked to arrange to
11:01:16	39	pay the money for Milad's legal fees. The original
11:01:24	40	solicitor was looking for some more money and then the new
11:01:27	41	solicitor, there's some information about the new solicitor
11:01:30	42	getting \$37,000 in relation to the defence of Renee Mokbel.
11:01:37	43	Do you see that?Yes, I see that.
	44	
	45	And then that intelligence being provided to you?Yes. I
11:01:51		don't have a note of that on 10 June.
11:01:54		

11:01:54	1	Sorry?Was this supposed to be 10 June?
11:01:57	2	
11:01:57	3	Yes?Yes, I have a note in relation to speaking to one of
11:02:08	4	the DSU but none of that detail.
11:02:11	5	
11:02:12	6	No, you have a note re 3838 intelligence re Mokbel?Yes.
11:02:18	7	
11:02:20	8	But no real detail about what that was?No.
11:02:23	9	
11:02:25	10	You accept that it seems to have been about the funding
11:02:28	11	arrangements?Well that's what it says on here, on this
11:02:33	12	document, but again may not have been something that was of
11:02:39	13	terrible importance to me.
11:02:40	14	
11:02:41	15	If you go over the page of your diary on 12 June 2007 at
11:02:46	16	15:25?Yes.
11:02:55	17	
	18	Do you and Flynn go and speak to the new solicitor on the
	19	record, Mr Lewenberg, in relation to Milad Mokbel?Yes.
11:03:05		roord, in Lowonberg, in refution to influe lokeet. Too.
11:03:10		It seems you're having a conversation at the coffee shop
11:03:10		and she's joined by an associate solicitor, Avi?Yes.
11:03:13		and she s joined by an associate sorrertor, Avreres.
		And there's an indication that Milad is interested in
11:03:18		
11:03:22		pleading guilty?Yes.
11:03:23		Venting to wall up the changes into as whatle known as a
11:03:24		Wanting to roll up the charges into as what's known as a
11:03:29		Garretti count?Yes.
11:03:31		
11:03:31		There's to be discussion with a client and a position put
11:03:34		in a letter and then the police would go to the DPP and
11:03:38	32	discuss sentence?Yes.
11:03:39		
11:03:39	34	There was discussion again about whether anything could be
11:03:42	35	done about his wife's current sentence?Yes.
	36	
11:03:45	37	You were advising that's not legally negotiable?That's
11:03:49	38	correct.
11:03:49	39	
11:03:50	40	But there was an agreement in the end to discuss it
11:03:54	41	later?Yes.
11:03:55	42	
11:03:56	43	If we can go to the ICRs at 918, please. This is 20 June
11:04:13		2007. You'll see halfway down the page there under the
11:04:20		heading "Milad Mokbel" that, "Ms Gobbo is expressing
11:04:23		frustration to the handler about the lack of action
11:04:28		happening in relation Mr Mokbel's plea deal. She wants it
TT . 0 J . 2 0	••	

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

5840

done so he won't contest the committal. She feels like 1 doing it herself. She's been told not to get involved in 11:04:35 2 She understands the reasons why and it's representing him. 3 11:04:37 said that Purana will facilitate the plea if that's what he 11:04:40 **4** 11:04:44 5 wants to do"?---Right. 11:04:45 **6** 11:04:47 **7** Were you having discussions with the SDU about what was 11:04:50 **8** going on?---Not that I recall in detail now. 11:04:57 **9** If we can go to p.937, please. This is 25 June 2007. 11:04:58 10 11:05:11 **11** You'll see towards the top there's another discussion about Milad Mokbel and Ms Gobbo's indicating that she's confident 11:05:15 **12** 11:05:19 13 she can turn him around and get him to plead. She said she wanted to be able to speak to Paul Rowe to say she'd spoken 11:05:22 **14** to the police and that this is what's on the table and 11:05:26 **15** 11:05:28 **16** that's the best option for him to take?---Yes, I can see 11:05:35 17 that. 18 11:05:36 19 Down towards the bottom she says she understands it's best for her not to represent and in any case it seems she's 11:05:40 20 told him if he's not going to fund her she won't act for 11:05:45 **21** 11:05:51 **22** him. In essence she's telling her handlers, "I won't do it 11:05:57 **23** unless I get paid anyway"?---Right. 11:05:59 24 Further down the page in another conversation at 19:43 11:06:01 25 there's discussion about the committal and it seems from 11:06:03 26 11:06:07 **27** that that the committal for Milad Mokbel is the following week. "Ms Gobbo is worried that the plea, any plea will 11:06:12 28 11:06:15 **29** fall over and he may change because he's not getting support. She confirms that he's told her that he'll plead 11:06:19 30 guilty to the first matters but reserve his plea on the 11:06:23 **31** 11:06:26 **32** second matters. And then the handler says, "Told her she can speak to Milad if she thinks it will help but cannot 11:06:32 **33** represent him as already discussed numerous times. 11:06:35 **34** Understood and accepted. She will speak to Dale Flynn and 11:06:39 **35** then ring Milad." It seems on the one hand she can speak 11:06:43 **36** to him if she thinks she can help negotiate a plea in the 11:06:47 **37** 11:06:51 **38** background, but she's not to act for him overtly?---That's 11:06:57 **39** what it appears she's saying, yes. 11:07:00 40 If we can go to p.940, please. You'll see in the second 11:07:16 **41** half of the page under the heading "Milad Mokbel" that she 11:07:29 42 indicates to the handler she had spoken to him on the phone 11:07:33 43 that day, this is 26 June 2007. That she'd told him the 11:07:35 44 11:07:41 45 best course of action was to consolidate all of his matters and consider the options re the between dates trafficking 11:07:45 **46** charge and that she'd talk to him again the following 11:07:48 **47**

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

5841

day?---Right. 1 11:07:51 11:07:53 2 If you have a look at your diary on 1 July. If you look at 3 11:08:00 the entry at the top it seems as though that day, 1 July, 4 11:08:16 you're engaged in brief preparation or court preparation 11:08:20 **5** for the committal hearing the following day, is that 6 11:08:26 right?---Yes. 7 11:08:28 8 11:08:29 If we can go to the ICRs at 961. At 17:01 that day there's 11:08:32 9 some discussion about the committal of and 11:08:51 **10** occurring the following day. Do you recall that that 11:08:55 **11** committal related to two co-accused, and 11:08:59 **12** 11:09:06 13 P---It may have. I don't have a clear recollection of it now. 11:09:10 14 11:09:10 **15** 11:09:14 **16** You'll see down the bottom of - there are a number of concerns reported by Ms Gobbo in relation to that matter 11:09:18 **17** and down the bottom she indicated, "Concerns re this 11:09:21 **18** committal, the fact that she was present during 11:09:29 **19** arrest, therefore the inference can be made by the 11:09:32 **20** Mokbel clan that she should have known and they would have 11:09:36 **21** expected her to tell them" and that is verbally 11:09:38 **22** disseminated to you?---Yes, I have no note of that. 11:09:43 **23** 11:09:47 **24** 11:09:48 **25** Over the page to 962. About a third of the way down you'll 11:10:07 **26** see there's general talk about, " 11:10:10 **27** evidence at the committal . He will do well for Purana"?---Yes, I see that. 11:10:13 **28** 11:10:17 **29** She's indicating support for <u>at the same time</u> 11:10:22 **30** that she's purportedly representing ?---It 11:10:27 **31** appears from that, yes. 11:10:33 **32** 11:10:34 **33** The following day you gave evidence, is that right?---Yes. 11:10:38 **34** 11:10:50 **35** 11:10:55 **36** We have some entries from Mr White's diaries that you were cross-examined and the SDU had been updated that you 11:11:04 **37** weren't cross-examined about sensitive issues. His diary 11:11:07 **38** indicates that there's a strategy to avoid mentioning 11:11:13 **39** Ms Gobbo's involvement at all if possible. It indicates 11:11:17 **40** that when Flynn was cross-examined he was asked questions 11:11:22 **41** about the night in question and had disclosed that she'd 11:11:29 **42** 11:11:34 **43** attended and spoken to **example** on the night of the arrest, but it's apparent from the material that 11:11:38 **44** as he'd indicated earlier, had pleaded guilty to 11:11:43 **45** 11:11:50 **46** one matter and reserved his plea, so it wasn't important that the committal went ahead simply with 11:11:53 **47** lin

11:11:56	1	court?Right.
11 : 11 : 57	2	u de la construcción de la constru
11:11:58	3	So it seems as though there was some concern at that stage
11:12:01	4	that although wasn't in court that he might
11:12:06	5	find out that that evidence had been given?Well, as I
11:12:10	6	say, that might have been a concern for them, yes.
11:12:13	7	
11:12:16	8	If we can go to 965, please. You'll see at 13:06 it's
11:12:43	9	explained to her feedback from your evidence at the
11:12:46	10	committal. There were no 464 issues, there were no PII
11:12:51	11	issues, it's all clear. Was there some arrangement with
11:12:58	12 13	you and with other detectives giving evidence that if anything sensitive was to occur that you would immediately
11:13:02	13	notify the SDU?Certainly if there's anything that would
11:13:06 11:13:08	14	expose her identity which would have ended only with one
11:13:08	16	result.
11:13:13	17	
	18	Was there that arrangement in place?We would have
	19	naturally done that as part of
	20	
11:13:19	21	It seems as though either you or someone with you has
11:13:22	22	provided an update to the SDU so that they can tell
11:13:26	23	Ms Gobbo or give her an update?Some officer has
11:13:30	24	obviously given her some feedback, yes.
11:13:33	25	
11:13:41	26	If you go further down under 13:23, about the fifth dot
11:13:48	27	point, she indicates that she'd seen Milad Mokbel this
11:13:52		morning in court. All is good but he wants Ms Gobbo to be
11:13:57		involved in the plea. She says she knows she's been told
11:14:01		to stay away but Milad says that he'll only deal with her
11:14:05	31	and she's expecting that Horty is going to have the same
	32	attitude. Do you see that?Yes, I can see that.
11:14:11	33 24	She's having this conversation with the handler and then at
11:14:12	34 35	the end of this conversation - she cuts it short because
	36	the end of this conversation - she cuts it short because
11:14:20 11:14:23		in relation to these matters, is ringing her?All right.
11:14:27		
11:14:27		Do you see that?Yes, I see that there.
11:14:32	40	
11:14:33		She then rings back and they have a further discussion in
11:14:40		relation to an update on Dale Flynn's evidence and how that
11:14:44	43	was going?Yes, I see that.
11:14:47	44	
11:14:55	45	Go over to 966. You'll see at 16:42 it's explained to her
11:15:09	46	that things have come out in Dale Flynn's evidence at the
11:15:13	47	committal, right towards the end, there was a general

.09/09/19

11:15:17	1	question but there was no follow up about it. She said now
11:15:20	2	that she thought she was fucked. Do you see that?Yes, I
11 : 15 : 34	3	see that, yes.
11:15:35	4	
	5	If you can go to 970. Second half of the page, it's
11 : 15 : 35		
11:15:51	6	2007, a call at 17:08. Ms Gobbo's given an update from the
11:16:01	7	committal from Dale Flynn, or the SDU have updated her as
11:16:09	8	per an update they've received from Dale Flynn, "The theme
11:16:13	9	of the defence team is that they're looking for prior
11:16:14	10	inconsistent statements for witnesses, especially
11:16:15	11	and she's happy with that as it's not as in-depth as she
11:16:20	12	thought it might have been"?Yes, I can see that.
11:16:28	13	
11:16:50	14	COMMISSIONER: Is that a convenient time for the midmorning
11:16:52	15	break?
	16	
11 : 16 : 53		
11 : 16 : 53	17	MS TITTENSOR: Yes, thank you Commissioner.
11:16:55	18	
11:16:55	19	COMMISSIONER: All right then.
		oonniooionen. Ann right enon.
11:16:56	20	
11:16:57	21	(Short adjournment.)
	22	
11:40:47	23	COMMISSIONER: Ms Tittensor, I'm told there's an oral
		·
11:40:50	24	application for leave to appear, is that right?
11:40:52	25	
11:40:52	26	MS TITTENSOR: I think that might be right. Mr Antos is
11:40:55		here, Commissioner.
11:40:55		
	28	
11:40:57	29	COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Antos.
	30	
11:40:59	31	MR WINNEKE: I should say, Commissioner, Mr Antos
11:41:02	32	communicated with me a short while ago and I indicated to
11:41:05	33	him that there were matters that concerned his client and
11:41:09	34	it might be advisable for him, if he wished to, to attend
11:41:13	35	to make an application.
11:41:13		
	36	
11:41:15	37	COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right. The applications are,
11:41:17	38	according to the Practice Direction, meant to be made in
	39	writing with reasons attached but there's some urgency
11:41:19		с
11:41:24	40	here, is there?
11:41:25	41	
11:41:26	42	MR ANTOS: Yes, I'm instructed there is, Your Honour, yes.
	43	
11 : 41 : 28	44	COMMISSIONER: Could you speak up, please? Could you speak
11:41:30	45	into a microphone, please.
11:41:32	46	• • •
		MD ANTOC: You I'm instructed there is some unconst. Your
11 : 41 : 33	41	MR ANTOS: Yes, I'm instructed there is some urgency, Your

	4	Honour
11:41:35	1 2	Honour.
11:41:36	3	COMMISSIONER: You have previously had leave to appear for
11:41:38	4	particular witnesses. What is your application now?
11:41:41	5	
11:41:41	6	MR ANTOS: The application to appear, Your Honour, in
11:41:44	7	respect of this witness and the evidence that this witness
11:41:46	8	is giving.
	9	COMMISSIONED. So it's only this witness you're interested
	10 11	COMMISSIONER: So it's only this witness you're interested in or is it more broader than that?
11:41:50 11:41:53		
11:41:53 11:41:53		MR ANTOS: On my instructions this witness, Your Honour.
11.41.33	14	
11:41:56	15	COMMISSIONER: All right. On behalf of Mr Goussis?
11:42:02	16	
11:42:02	17	MR ANTOS: Yes.
	18	
11:42:03		COMMISSIONER: Have you seen the non-publication orders
11:42:05		posted on the door of the hearing room?
11:42:07		
11:42:07		MR ANTOS: I have.
	23	
11:42:08		COMMISSIONER: You're aware of those, okay. Does anyone
11:42:12		want to be heard to speak against this application?
11:42:15 11:42:15		MR HOLT: No Commissioner.
11:42:15	28	TIK HOLT. NO COMMISSIONEL.
11:42:16		COMMISSIONER: No, all right then. I'll give you leave to
11:42:18		appear on behalf of Mr Goussis on the understanding that -
11:42:29		have you been told about the undertaking that legal
11:42:31		practitioners representing potentially affected persons
11:42:36	33	have given?
11:42:38	34	
11:42:38		MR ANTOS: I have not been fully versed in that respect.
	36	
11:42:41		COMMISSIONER: The undertaking is in these terms: "I'll
11:42:46		only discuss with my client the aspects of confidential
11:42:49	39	material relevant to obtaining instructions for potential
11:42:53	40	cross-examination of witnesses. I will inform my client of
	41	any relevant non-publication orders of the Commission
11:42:59		and/or extant suppression orders and the criminal sanctions
11:43:02		that would apply for breach of those orders. I will not
11:43:06		discuss the confidential information either orally or in
	45 46	writing to any other person". That confidential information, of course, relates to the non-publication
	40 47	orders that are on the hearing room door.
TT:42:TQ	וד	

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

5845

11:43:19 2 MR ANTOS: Yes.

11:43:19

11:43:32

11:43:38 **12**

11:43:41 **16**

11:43:54 **22**

11:44:03 **27**

1

3

7

9

14

18

24

11:43:214COMMISSIONER: The only discussion that you can have with11:43:255your client relates to potential cross-examination of the11:43:296witness for the moment in these proceedings.

11:43:33 8 MR ANTOS: Yes.

11:43:3410COMMISSIONER: You'd have to make a separate application to11:43:3611do that in any case.

11:43:39 **13 MR ANTOS: Yes.**

11:43:39 15 COMMISSIONER: That is to cross-examine.

11:43:42 17 MR ANTOS: Of course, yes.

11:43:4319COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right. On the understanding that11:43:4620you give that undertaking I'll give you leave to appear on11:43:5021behalf of Mr Goussis during the evidence of this witness.

11:43:54 **23** MR ANTOS: Thank you.

11:43:5525COMMISSIONER: Yes, thanks Mr Antos. I'll note your11:43:5726appearance. Yes, Ms Tittensor.

11:44:04 **28** MS TITTENSOR: Thanks Commissioner. Mr O'Brien, I had up 11:44:07 **29** on the screen the ICR of 3 July. If I can go to p.975, Just to give you a little bit of background. please. 11:44:15 **30** there's a face-to-face discussion occurring with Mr White 11:44:27 **31** and Mr Fox at - present at this meeting. 11:44:34 **32** There's a recording - obviously this is a summarising of the 11:44:44 **33** 11:44:48 **34** discussion that they've had. You see under the heading 11:44:53 **35** " she told **that Dale confirmed in the** witness box that she was there and then "important to tell 11:45:12 **36** the truth". There's talk about how " 11:45:17 **37** does not want to let Dale down. thought that would 11:45:21 **38** be very unhappy when he found out". There's reference to 11:45:28 **39** she had told **that** anything they ask after 11:45:33 **40** confirming that she was there on the day is legal 11:45:38 **41** professional privilege and he should not answer. Do you 11:45:41 **42** 11:45:47 **43** see those matters?---Yes, I do. 44

11:45:5245There's then some discussion with the handlers about how11:45:5546the interview shows that he seems to have gotten advice and11:46:0047initially made a no comment and that might be good for

.09/09/19

her?---Right. 1 11:46:02 2 It seems apparent from what has occurred that Ms Gobbo - so 3 11:46:05 this is on and it seems apparent that Ms Gobbo has 11:46:11 **4** been to see or has had some communication with 11:46:19 **5** and discussed with him the evidence that's been given at 11:46:25 **6** 11:46:30 **7** least by Mr Dale at the committal, do you see that?---Yes. 8 Ordinarily there would be an order for witnesses out of 11:46:35 9 court?---Yes. 11:46:39 **10** 11 If I said Mr Dale I meant Dale Flynn. Ordinarily there'd 11:46:48 **12** 11:46:53 **13** be an order for witnesses out of court and a subsequent witness wouldn't be entitled to know what's gone on before 11:46:56 **14** 11:46:59 **15** him?---That's correct. 16 It's apparent that she's been providing some advice or some 11:47:07 **17** legal advice to **example** in relation to the way in which 11:47:13 **18** he could answer when questions were asked about the advice 11:47:18 **19** 11:47:23 **20** that he received on the day?---It appears that she's giving him some advice, yes. 11:47:27 **21** 22 11:47:29 **23** And this is all occurring at the same time that she's 11:47:32 **24** purporting to represent ?---From this document 11:47:38 **25** that appears so, yes. 26 11:47:48 **27** Do you agree that it's concerning that she's potentially influencing the evidence that's about to be given or would 11:47:51 **28** 11:47:56 **29** be given by **Example** in those circumstances?---Yes, but as I say I don't clearly know what her intent was. I mean 11:48:02 **30** surely she should be asked that question. 11:48:06 **31** 32 Do you agree that it's concerning that she's got the 11:48:09 **33** opportunity to potentially influence the evidence of 11:48:12 **34** ?---Yes, she shouldn't. 11:48:15 **35** 36 11:48:25 **37** If you can go to p.986, please. See on down the 11:48:38 **38** bottom there's further discussion about the committal and about the interview transcripts?---Yes. 11:48:44 **39** 40 And Ms Gobbo's concerned that it might look like she 11:48:52 **41** rolled him and that she didn't ring anyone?---Yes. 11:48:55 **42** 43 11:49:05 **44** Then if we go over the page. Do you recall at this committal that **Example** had given some evidence which was 11:49:27 **45** 11:49:32 **46** contrary to the prosecution case or adverse to the prosecution case?---I recall him doing something which 11:49:35 **47**

.09/09/19

appears wasn't truthful at some point. 1 11:49:44 2 And there's some discussion there - this is now 2007 3 11:49:47 at 13:16 - she is speaking to the handler and then she says 11:49:52 **4** to the handler that her office phone is ringing and it's 11:49:58 **5** ringing and that she'd ring back and she mentions 11:50:02 6 11:50:06 **7** to the handler that she's going to pay him out for what he 11:50:09 **8** did today at the committal, and it's noted by the handler 11:50:13 **9** that, "Obviously she's spoken to someone else about today's events at the committal where **sector** got caught out 11:50:17 **10** lying under oath"?---Right. 11:50:20 **11** 12 11:50:23 **13** She calls the handler back at 13:30. She's heard about and indicates that she's heard about what happened to 11:50:27 **14** 11:50:31 **15** and how he got caught out on oath. There's some 11:50:34 **16** general talk in relation to that. She says she's told him, , about how disappointed she is with him. 11:50:37 **17** that's If you can scroll to the next page, please. 11:50:42 **18** Then if we can go to p.991. This is the same day, later that night, 11:50:51 **19** 21:24, under the heading "Milad Mokbel". He's ringing her 11:51:11 **20** about wanting her to speak to the prosecution about 11:51:15 **21** 11:51:18 **22** facilitating his plea deal. All of this occurring on the same day, do you see what I'm referring to there?---Yes, I 11:51:22 **23** can see it, yes. 11:51:27 **24** 25 11:51:28 **26** Again, the handler's reminding her she needs to distance 11:51:32 **27** herself from it. That's noted. She then goes on that Milad spoke about his wife again and so forth. If you can 11:51:36 **28** 11:51:42 **29** have a look in your diary for 6 July?---Yes. 30 11:51:53 **31** It's apparent that you went out to the prison to have a bit of a discussion with **about** about the evidence he'd 11:51:57 **32** given at the committal, try and get some explanation for 11:52:02 **33** the lies?---Yes. 11:52:08 **34** 35 11:52:14 **36** If you can go to 993, please, of the ICR. You see there at 15:30 Ms Gobbo reports that had told her that 11:52:28 **37** 11:52:35 **38** Dale Flynn had been to see him to discuss the committal and 11:52:40 **39** his giving poor evidence. Now had you gone out to the prison with Dale Flynn, do you know?---I beg your pardon? 11:52:42 **40** 41 11:52:47 **42** Had you gone out to the prison with Dale Flynn on that 11:52:50 **43** day?---On the 6th of July I went out to the prison, yes. 44 11:52:56 **45** Would you have gone out with Dale Flynn?---Yes, I believe 11:53:00 46 so. 47

He seemed to be the person that throughout the time was 1 11:53:01 dealing, the one that dealt the most with **second**?---Yes. 11:53:04 2 3 It says there as a result **second** is aware that 11**:**53**:**13 **4** may now have no case to answer and he feels bad for letting 11:53:17 **5** Dale Flynn and yourself down and that she'd told him that 11:53:22 **6** 11:53:27 **7** he'd breached the trust of Dale Flynn and may now never get 11:53:31 **8** it back, do you see that?---Yeah, that's her opinion. Ι 11:53:35 **9** mean my concern was he was telling lies at the committal. 10 If we can go to p.1039, please. You see the last box on 11:53:44 **11** the page it's 19 July 2007 at 13:55. There's discussion in 11:54:16 **12** relation to Milad Mokbel. Ms Gobbo there is recommending 11:54:22 **13** that he go to another solicitor, Alistair Grigor, to take 11:54:28 **14** 11:54:37 **15** over the file, do you see that?---Yes, I see that. 16 11:54:40 **17** Are you aware that that ultimately occurred?---Not as far as I recall. 11:54:46 **18** 19 If you can go to p.1050, please. This is 23 July 2007. 11:54:49 **20** You'll see down the bottom of the page there's a discussion 11:55:02 **21** again about Milad Mokbel and his wanting Ms Gobbo to talk 11:55:05 **22** to Dale Flynn and sort out his charges. He's complaining 11:55:08 **23** in relation to his solicitors, at that stage Lewenberg's. 11:55:14 **24** He wanted to plead guilty as quick as he could and they 11:55:20 **25** weren't doing anything about it?---Yes, I see that. 11:55:23 **26** 27 11:55:31 **28** If we can go over the page. About six dot points down 11:55:46 **29** she's indicating that she can't believe that they hadn't picked up on what had occurred at the committal in relation 11:55:49 **30** yet. to 🗖 There's some discussions about how that 11:55:51 **31** was good for her. And she herself has told Milad that 11:55:55 **32** 11:56:01 **33** she's doing nothing because she doesn't have the brief and 11:56:04 **34** because there's no money, but she wonders what will happen if he offers to pay her?---Sorry, whereabouts on the 11:56:07 **35** document? 11:56:10 **36** 37 11:56:11 **38** Sorry?---Can you refer me to whereabouts on that page? 39 I started about six dot points down from the 11:56:15 **40** Sorrv. top?---Oh right. Yes, I can see what you say there, yes. 11:56:18 **41** 42 11:56:29 **43** She had told Milad that she wasn't doing anything, she didn't have the brief and there's no money on offer, but 11:56:35 **44** she wondered what would happen if there was some money on 11:56:37 **45** She's told by the handler, "We don't want you 11:56:41 **46** offer. representing him". She says, "That's understood". Then 11:56:46 **47**

that information is verbally disseminated to Detective 11:56:49 **1** Flynn?---Yes. 11:56:53 **2** 3 11:57:04 **4** Page 1068. This is now 26 July 2007. Down the bottom you'll see under "Milad Mokbel" there's some discussion 11:57:21 **5** about some money that's to be paid in relation to Milad 11:57:25 **6** 11:57:30 **7** He was complaining that a person by the name of -Mokbel. 11:57:38 **8** a Lebanese person by the name of Alex hadn't been to see her yet to drop the money off?---Yes. 11:57:41 **9** 10 11:57:43 **11** Ms Gobbo had told him that she doesn't want the money dropped off to her, it had to go to a solicitor's trust 11:57:47 **12** 11:57:52 **13** account and be accounted for legitimately?---Yes. 14 11:57:54 **15** So there seems to be some discussion at that stage about some money being paid into a solicitor's account and some 11:57:59 **16** prospect of it being ultimately paid to her for 11:58:02 17 representation of Mr Milad Mokbel?---Yes, it appears so 11:58:05 **18** 11:58:09 19 from that document. 20 11:58:14 **21** I understand that you retire at some stage shortly after 11:58:21 22 this; is that right?---Yes. 23 11:58:23 **24** In terms of - I think your official retirement might be September but you're not at work from - - - ?---16 August I 11:58:27 **25** think was my last day in the workplace. I resigned on 1 11:58:32 26 11:58:36 **27** Julv. 28 11:58:37 **29** If we can go to p.1088, please. There's some discussion in relation to another Mokbel. This is 14 August, this date. 11:59:03 **30** 11:59:11 **31** It's another Mokbel, Roula Mokbel and her brother George, and the funding in relation to Roula's case, her brother 11:59:17 **32** 11:59:21 **33** George will be funding her case, do you see that?---Yes, I 11:59:34 **34** see that there. 35 He owns a restaurant in Brunswick, he'll be funding her in 11:59:36 **36** a pending case. She'd changed solicitors to Alistair 11:59:39 **37** 11:59:45 **38** Grigor and human source has also spoken to Horty and Milad 11:59:50 **39** at lunchtime. They are sick of Lewenberg's and there's some discussion around that?---Yes. 11:59:55 40 Sorry, my last - just a correction to what I said before. My last day physically 12:00:11 41 in the workplace was 4 August. 12:00:13 42 43 By this stage I understand you're not in the office 12:00:15 44 Okay. 12:00:20 **45** any more?---Yes. 46 If we can go over the page, please. You see at the top, 12:00:21 47

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

"Milad will still move over to Grigor in relation to his 12:00:29 1 matter, he still wants to plead to everything, including 12:00:36 **2** the threats to kill and the drugs". Milad has asked her to 3 12:00:38 get on to Dale Flynn and negotiate a plea for him. 12:00:42 **4** "She's 12:00:45 **5** told them both that no funding from the solicitor, then no work, and this has put them off for a little while until 12:00:52 **6** Grigor comes to her with money"?---Yes, I see that. 12:00:57 **7** 8 Then, "Ms Gobbo will deal with that in the next couple of 9 12:01:01 weeks about how to delay them from her representing 12:01:05 10 them"?---Yes. 12:01:10 **11** 12 12:01:11 **13** This is verbally - information that's verbally disseminated, it seems, to Detective Flynn?---Yes. 12:01:16 **14** 15 At p.1114, please. It seems as though halfway down the 12:01:27 16 page there's reference to Milad Mokbel, she'd spoken to him 12:01:48 17 There's some further information in relation to that day. 12:01:52 18 that conversation. It then goes down to "for Purana's 12:01:57 19 information: Milad is still good with her. He understands 12:02:07 20 12:02:11 **21** that Shirrefs or Ms Gobbo will speak to the Crown to 12:02:15 22 formalise his plea. She knows she re not directly 12:02:20 23 representing him and that he's now officially with Alistair Grigor and Lewenberg's had been officially dropped". 12:02:24 24 Do you see that?---Yes, I can see that. 12:02:29 25 26 12:02:32 **27** Again, there's some understanding that she'll unofficially represent Milad Mokbel in some capacity or behind the 12:02:36 28 12:02:40 **29** scenes so that it can't be seen by others, the conflict can't be noticed, do you see that?---Well it appears that 12:02:46 **30** she's involving herself in the background, yes. 12:02:49 **31** 32 And that that seems to be okay by the police?---I don't 12:02:52 **33** know if it seems okay by the police. As I say, I never 12:02:59 **34** 12:03:03 **35** received this information. I can't speak for Dale Flynn. 36 Perhaps if I can just short-circuit what does happen, 12:03:27 **37** Mr O'Brien, is that she continues to indicate to her 12:03:34 **38** 12:03:40 **39** handlers information in relation to Milad in relation to his wife, him wanting a plea deal, and there appears to be 12:03:46 40 dealings between she and Detective Flynn in relation to the 12:03:50 **41** resolution of Milad Mokbel's matter. There's an indication 12:03:56 42 that she knows about 12:04:03 **43** in relation to Milad Mokbel and there's discussion with the handlers about what 12:04:12 44 12:04:17 45 cover story she might use to be able to get out of 12:04:21 46 representing him ?---Right. 47

OBRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

		T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
12:04:27	1	There's an indication that she'd spoken to - pardon me.
12:04:37	2	She speaks to the handlers in relation to, "Well it might
12:04:41	3	be a good idea if I to represent him
12:04:45	4	because it will be a good opportunity to talk with him on
12:04:48	5	the quiet about resolving his charges". Then following
12:04:53	6	that she tells the handlers, "Well, I've spoken to him and
12:04:57	7	
12:05:03	8	following that there appears to be some negotiation in
12:05:07	9	relation to the charges and on 13 September 2007 she writes
12:05:13	10	a fee in relation to a charge from the solicitor for her
12:05:22	11	brief to advise conference and settle charges in relation
12:05:25	12	to Milad Mokbel. Following that there's a letter from the
		solicitor Grigor to the OPP offering to plead on a
	-	
12:05:35		particular basis and it appears in the year following there
12:05:39	15	is a plea conducted by Mr Shirrefs?Right.
	16	
12:05:44	17	So it seems as though she does have some significant
12:05:47	18	influence in relation to his ultimately pleading?I don't
12:05:52	19	know what influence she had but it's quite obvious from
12:05:52		these documents she's busying herself in everything she
12:05:59		can.
	22	
12:05:59	23	But it's also apparent, is it not, that the police are
12:06:02	24	dealing with her in the background in relation to the
12:06:04	25	resolution of Milad Mokbel's charges?Well she's
12:06:11		certainly talking to the SDU. As I say, you'd have to
12:06:14		speak to Mr Flynn in relation to what part she played in
12:06:18	28	any resolution.
	29	
12:06:19	30	He's a detective that you're supervising; is that
12:06:22	31	right?Yes, but as you say, I wasn't there for most of
12:06:25	32	this material you're putting up here.
	33	
12:06:28		On a number of occasions you're involved with her as well
12:00:20		in terms of trying to facilitate a plea deal?No, as I
12:06:37		say, she passed on information. I went out and spoke to
12:06:40		Milad Mokbel. I've told you what my opinion of him was.
12:06:44	38	She did the same with Tony Mokbel at one point when he
12:06:47	39	actually rang me from gaol in Greece.
	40	· · · ·
12:06:50	41	You must have known that Detective Flynn continued to deal
12:06:50	42	with her in relation to trying to resolve these Mokbel
	43	charges?I don't know. I mean I'd imagine Mr Flynn would
12:07:01		have dealt with anybody who was representing him.
	45	
12:07:03	46	Did you tell Detective Flynn, "Do not deal with Nicola
12:07:07	47	Gobbo "?No, I didn't tell him that.
		, ,

1 Why not?---Well, Detective Sergeant Flynn was in charge of 12:07:10 2 the investigation, putting the brief together. It was up 3 12:07:15 to him to deal with whoever was representing Milad Mokbel. 12:07:18 **4** 5 But his dealing with her might compromise any resolution 12:07:22 **6** that's achieved?---It's not something that I contemplated 12:07:25 **7** 12:07:28 **8** at that time. 9 Another person that Ms Gobbo had represented was 12:07:32 10 12:07:36 **11** MrBickley is that right?---Yes, I believe so. 12 12:07:40 **13** She first was representing him when he was arrested in mid-August 2005 in relation to Operation Quills?---Yes, I 12:07:45 **14** 12:07:49 **15** believe she did. 16 And it's around that time and through some contact with 12:07:50 17 Mansell and Rowe, and we've been through that, that she 12:07:56 **18** came to be recruited by the SDU?---You say she was 12:08:00 19 recruited by the SDU. I believe she approached the police, 12:08:03 20 12:08:08 **21** it wasn't the other way around. Recruitment to me says 12:08:13 **22** that we purposely went out there with the idea of 12:08:19 **23** recruiting her. I think the truth of the matter is she approach them at court, that's my memory of it. 12:08:19 24 12:08:19 25 They went out there knowing that she was vulnerable and 12:08:20 26 12:08:23 27 with a tape recorder to tape her?---They went out with a tape recorder to protect themselves by taping her, yes. 12:08:26 **28** 29 And we've heard from Detective Rowe that Mansell said 12:08:30 **30** something along the lines to her, "Well why don't you get 12:08:34 **31** on board"?---He may have. But I mean to say that she's 12:08:37 **32** vulnerable and paint her as some shrinking violet I think 12:08:42 **33** is far from the truth. 12:08:47 **34** 35 In the early stages following Ms Gobbo's registration she 12:08:51 **36** had told the SDU that Mr Mokbel was worried about the 12:08:55 **37** 12:09:01 38 possibility of MrBickley rolling?---If that's what's 12:09:07 **39** in the documents she may well have, yes. 40 Certainly encompassed within the Operation Posse 12:09:10 41 investigation plan was something based upon that scenario 12:09:15 **42** 12:09:20 43 occurring, him rolling and providing evidence against Tony Mokbel and others, that was one of the parts of the 12:09:31 44 plan?---Yes, that was a part of it, in relation to the AFP 12:09:34 45 matters I think. 12:09:37 46 47

12:09:41 12:09:44 12:09:49	1 2 3 4	Part of the plan was to increase the motivation for MrBickley to take such a course by again catching him in further criminal activity?Yes.
12:09:54 12:09:57 12:10:02	5 6 7 8	Following that time Ms Gobbo continued to provide some intelligence to police in relation to Mr Bickley criminal activity?I believe so, yes.
12:10:06 12:10:09	9 10 11	I think we've been through some of it, his association with ?Yes.
12:10:10 12:10:14	12 13	Handing over and wanting and so forth?Yes.
12:10:18 12:10:23 12:10:30	14 15 16 17	MrBickley was arrested for a second on second on 2006, agreed?I don't recall the date but, yes, if that's when he was arrested.
12:10:33 12:10:43	18 19	Do you recall who was involved in that arrest?If I can refer to my diary I'll have a look.
12:10:47 12:11:22	21 22	Sure?Yes, Detective Sergeant Flynn, Senior Detective Craig Hayes.
12:11:30 12:11:35		You might not disagree but also was Detective Senior Constable Rowe also involved? You may or may not have a
12:12:01 12:12:04 12:12:07	27 28 29 30	note of it?No, I don't appear to have a note of it but I don't dispute that Paul Rowe may have been involved. Your statement deals with some information in relation to
12:12:07 12:12:10 12:12:16 12:12:24	31	Mr Bickley on a number of occasions at paragraphs - I'll just say them for the transcript - 83, 136, 155, 158 and 160. The last time it appears to be mentioned is in
12:12:28 12:12:33	34 35 36	relation to some information you've received on 2006, that's paragraph 160?That's correct, on 2006.
12:12:53 12:12:56 12:13:01	38 39	The information you received on that date related to MrBickley father having been diagnosed with cancer and that he'd been given a time frame worst case six
12:13:10	40 41 42	weeks?Yes. If we can go to the ICRs at p.280 please. I just want to
12:13:52 12:13:57 12:14:03 12:14:08	43 44 45 46 47	bear in mind, I'm taking you to a date of 2006, bearing in mind that on Example 1 has been arrested and you were shocked at the fact that Ms Gobbo turned up to represent him?Yes.
	-+/	

12:14:21	1	I'm looking for 2006.
12:14:34	2 3	COMMISSIONER: It's VPL.2000.0003.1866.
12:14:40	4	66111166161ER. 10 6 H 2.2000.0000.1000.
12:14:41	5	MS TITTENSOR: Yes, thank you. That's it there. We've got
12:14:44	6	a time stamp at 16:45. There's a conversation with the
12:14:49	7	handler further down the <u>page, abo</u> ut three quarters of the
12:14:53	8	way down. It notes that MrBickley had turned up at
12:14:59	9	Ms Gobbo's office and believed that second and was wired up
12:15:05	10	and someone had told him that he would be arrested. Notes
12:15:10	11	that Radi - and Radi was another target of Posse; is that
12:15:15	12	right?Yes.
	13	
	14	Gave him, gave Mr Bickley \$15,000 recently but says he
12:15:23	15	hasn't done any business with second . "If he does get
12:15:26	16 17	arrested he's likely to ring Gobbo", do you see that?Yes, I see that.
12:15:29	18	
12:15:30	19	Is that something that you had any discussion about with
12:15:36		the SDU following the problems that occurred on
	21	?Not that I recall.
	22	
12:15:47	23	If we can go to p.318, please. We're on 2006, it's
12:16:05	24	18:25. There's a discussion three lines downs, "
12:16:16	25	discussed. He will assist police when he is
12:16:19		arrested if he's granted bail", do you see that?Yes, I
12:16:23		see that.
	28	
12:16:24		If you go to p.320. It's 2006 at 13:20. Two-thirds
12:16:42		of the way down the page there's a heading " <mark>MrBickley</mark> arrest t <u>ips". It r</u> eads, "Source asked for angles on
12:16:46 12:16:52		gaining ^{Mr Bickley} assistance on arrest. Bail release so
12:16:52		he can further his business idea. Worth millions to him.
12:10:07		Talk short and to the point. No threats like last time
12:17:05		interviewed. He has a business idea, Tradesmen Online,
12:17:09		like wotif.com is to motels. Does not have Tony Mokbel's
12:17:17		backing as previously promised". Then it says, "Operation
12:17:21	38	Purana advised in briefing re the same". You're aware that
12:17:26	39	SDU on behalf of Purana was seeing arre <u>st tips from</u>
12:17:29	40	Ms Gobbo about how to go about rolling MrBickley I don't
	41	know whether they were seeking anything or she was just
12:17:38		volunteering this information.
	43	Containly themale a note thema. "Operation Dyname advised
	44 45	Certainly there's a note there, "Operation Purana advised
12:17:45	45 46	in briefing re the same"?That's what the note says, yes.
12:17:48	-	You would have been interested in that information?No,
12:11:40	11	Tou moura have been incoresced in chac information:NO,

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12:17:52	1	police would conduct their own assessment of what the best
12:17:55	2	way to approach any person was. We're not relying on her
12:17:59	3	information.
	4	
12:18:05	5	If we can go to your diary for 8 June 2006, please?Yes.
	6	
12:18:20	7	At 9.20, so this is the day after the MrBickley arrest
12:18:26	8	tips, at 9.20 do you see it reads, "Attend Posse strategy
12:18:30	9	meeting with White, Green, Flynn and Rowe"?Yes.
	10	
12:18:44	11	You were receiving the tips from the SDU at that stage; is
12:18:49	12	that right?I don't know if that's what it refers to. It
12:18:53	13	says strategy meeting, I don't know if it refers to this
12:18:56	14	particular piece of information you're referring to.
	15	
12:19:00	16	Mr Flynn's diary on that date at around that time, 9.15,
12:19:07	17	records the same me <u>eting. It ind</u> icates, "Discussed human
12:19:10	18	source and plan re <mark>MrBickley Y</mark> es.
	19	
12:19:13		Would you agree that it's likely that those tips in
12:19:16		relation to MrBickley were passed on to you?As I say,
12:19:21		I've got no recollection of it, no note of it. They may or
12:19:25		may not have been.
	24	
12:19:27	25	Do you accept the note in the ICRs that you were given that
12 : 19 : 33	26	information in a briefing?No, well how can I say that?
12:19:39	27	I mean this document was put together by somebody else. I
12:19:41	28	mean there's other entries that you've shown me in ICRs
12:19:44		over the past week that I haven't had any knowledge of or
12:19:48		note of.
	31	
12:19:49	32	Well this is specifically a mention in an ICR about
12 : 19 : 53		Operation Purana being advised about these matters. You've
12 : 19 : 55		got a note in your own diary that certainly you attended a
	35	strategy meeting and Flynn indicates that specifically in
12:20:03		his diary it was to discuss Gobbo and the plan re
12:20:12		Mr Bickley Would you accept in those circumstances these
12:20:13		tips were passed on to you?As I say I've got no
12:20:14		recollection of it and I don't have a note of it. I accept
	40	what the members have put in here and I accept what
12:20:19		Mr Flynn has put in his diary.
	42	
12:20:24	43	If we can go to p.324 please. This is 9 June 2006. You'll
12:20:40	44	see there's - if we scroll up you'll see at 17:19 this is a
12:20:53		summary of a face-to-face meeting. Do you see that?Yes,
12:21:02		I can see that.
	47	

.09/09/19

12:21:02	1	If we can scroll back down <u>. There's</u> some further
12:21:09	2	discussion in relation to ^{MrBickley} It says, "Phone call
12:21:16	3	to source rather than a visit to the station when
12:21:18	4	arrested". She indicates in that passage that a phone -
12:21:31	5	<u>also that "</u> checking his statement will be nothing like
12:21:34	6	", do you see that?I see that, yes.
	7	
12:21:39	8	It seems that somehow she's anticipating being shown any
12:21:43	9	statement that MrBickley might produce?Yes, I see
12:21:48	10	that.
	11	
12:21:54	12	She provides some further advice about the phone call to
12:21:57	13	his solicitor being very important to his mind-set as last
12:22:01	14	time he thought it was a bit scammy and it left him feeling
12:22:04	15	a bit untrustworthy towards the police?I see that, yes.
	16	
12:22:13	17	If we can go to the audio transcript, it's
12:22:23	18	VPL.0005.0097.0536, p.158, please.
	19	
12:22:57	20	COMMISSIONER: What date is this?
12:22:59	21	
12:22:59	22	MS TITTENSOR: This is the same date, 9 June 2006,
12:23:03	23	Commissioner.
	.	
	24	
12:23:04		COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12:23:04 12:23:04	25	COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
	25	
12:23:04	25 26 27	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about MrBickley
12:23:04 12:23:10	25 26 27 28	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about MrBickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12	25 26 27 28 29	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about MrBickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16	25 26 27 28 29 30	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about MrBickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22	25 26 27 28 29 30 31	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about MrBickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about MrBickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:43	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:43 12:23:46	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:22 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:41 12:23:46 12:23:50	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:22 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:41 12:23:43 12:23:46 12:23:50 12:23:55	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:41 12:23:46 12:23:50 12:23:55 12:23:58	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about MrBickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then she explains to him why she does think it's a problem and explains if there's eight people in the crew and Dale was
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:22 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:41 12:23:46 12:23:50 12:23:55 12:23:58 12:23:58 12:24:03	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then she explains to him why she does think it's a problem and
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:22 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:41 12:23:43 12:23:50 12:23:55 12:23:55 12:23:58 12:24:03 12:24:07	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then she explains to him why she does think it's a problem and explains if there's eight people in the crew and Dale was there and Mr Bickley
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:16 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:43 12:23:46 12:23:50 12:23:55 12:23:58 12:23:58 12:24:07 12:24:12	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then she explains to him why she does think it's a problem and explains if there's eight people in the crew and Dale was there and Mr Bickley said anything to him about the whole meeting phone exchange scenario, then Dale was't going to write it down and he wasn't going to give evidence about it, but if it was one of the other seven in the crew
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:22 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:41 12:23:46 12:23:50 12:23:55 12:23:58 12:23:58 12:24:03 12:24:12 12:24:16	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then she explains to him why she does think it's a problem and explains if there's eight people in the crew and Dale was there and Mr Bickley said anything to him about the whole meeting phone exchange scenario, then Dale wasn't going to write it down and he wasn't going to give evidence
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:22 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:41 12:23:43 12:23:46 12:23:50 12:23:55 12:23:55 12:23:58 12:24:03 12:24:07 12:24:12 12:24:19	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then she explains to him why she does think it's a problem and explains if there's eight people in the crew and Dale was there and Mr Bickley said anything to him about the whole meeting the phone exchange scenario, then Dale wasn't going to write it down and he wasn't going to give evidence about it, but if it was one of the other seven in the crew might start talking and it was a bit
12:23:04 12:23:10 12:23:12 12:23:22 12:23:22 12:23:26 12:23:29 12:23:33 12:23:41 12:23:43 12:23:43 12:23:50 12:23:55 12:23:55 12:23:58 12:24:03 12:24:07 12:24:12 12:24:19 12:24:22	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	MS TITTENSOR: There's some discussion about Mr Bickley and then there's a question from Green, "Who's he going to ring when he gets pinched?" She says, "Me, I suspect". He says, "Is that a good thing?" She says, "It's good and bad. It's good from the point of view is that he'll be properly represented", and we all might have something to say about that, but she also says she had a vested interest in his not telling the truth to the police about the phone that he handed over to them "because I don't think, I don't know who's going to arrest him and what if it's some police officer who doesn't know anything about me?" Handler Green says he didn't think that that would be a problem and then she explains to him why she does think it's a problem and explains if there's eight people in the crew and Dale was there and Mr Bickley said anything to him about the whole meeting phone exchange scenario, then Dale was't going to write it down and he wasn't going to give evidence about it, but if it was one of the other seven in the crew

She doesn't herself want to end up in any police notes or 1 12:24:28 in any statement made by Mr Bickley I see that. 12:24:32 2 3 You accept that?---Yes, I can see that. 4 12:24:38 5 If we go to p.171, please. There's some discussion there 6 12:24:41 in relation to Mr Bickley again and what she would do 12:24:53 **7** when he rang her and asked her to come and help him. 12:24:57 8 Down the bottom she's saying she didn't need to go there. 12:25:01 9 and was a different situation. She didn't 12:25:07 **10** need to go to the police station for MrBickley she could 12:25:11 **11** give him advice over the phone effectively. She talks 12:25:14 **12** 12:25:29 13 about what she would say to him and she would say to anyone in his position, "You're already on bail, you're unlikely 12:25:35 **14** 12:25:42 **15** to get bail or if you do it'll be 10 months from now", and to think about his business, do you see that?---Yes, I can 12:25:47 **16** see that. 12:25:51 17 18 She's telling the police about what advice she'd be giving 12:25:52 **19** him when he's arrested?---Yes. 12:25:56 **20** 21 12:26:00 22 If we can go to p.182. There's some discussion again about the phone problem, that she'd handed over the phone. 12:26:14 **23** Ms Gobbo says about halfway down line 17, "I don't believe 12:26:20 **24** would burn me, I don't believe that he would 12:26:24 **25** actually burn me about the phone either. If he was talking 12:26:26 26 12:26:29 27 to police he'd bullshit about that". White says, "Yep". <u>3a</u>ys, "All he has to say<u>"</u>, that is all 12:26:36 28 Mr Bickley 12:26:40 **29** has to say, "is that gave me a phone number to contact him on". Do you see that?---Yeah, I can 12:26:45 **30** see that. 12:26:49 **31** 32 There's some discussion there effectively about 12:26:50 **33** Mr Bickley 12:26:52 **34** if he happens to make some statements, not having to tell the whole truth, or them certainly not 12:26:55 **35** wishing for him to tell the whole truth because it might 12:27:01 36 12:27:04 **37** put Ms Gobbo in a difficult situation?---Well I see what 12:27:09 **38** she's saying, yes. Again, I'm not party to this conversation. 12:27:18 **39** 40 Were you given the information that Ms Gobbo - sorry, 12:27:22 **41** 12:27:25 **42** you've earlier had a conversation post the arrest of 12:27:29 **43** with about serious concerns you had about her turning up to represent 12:27:35 **44** 12:27:37 45 him on the night?---Yes, I did. 46 It's quite apparent that's what's going to happen again, 12:27:39 47

.09/09/19

that what she's talking to them about, they don't seem to 1 12:27:44 have any other view other than that she's going to turn up. 12:27:48 **2** Did you have a conversation with White about what's going 12:27:52 3 to happen this time?---I don't believe so. 12:27:56 **4** 5 Wouldn't you have had a conversation with him, wouldn't 12:28:05 **6** this have been a great concern of yours that it might 12:28:08 7 12:28:11 **8** happen again?---I don't believe so. As I say, if I had a conversation about it - I mean I knew about the, clearly 12:28:14 **9** knew about the phone. I mean - - -12:28:19 **10** 11 <u>no, about her turning up when you're going to arrest</u> 12:28:21 **12** No Mr Bickley 12:28:24 13 and about her turning up to advise again, same scenario that you were very shocked about with 12:28:29 **14** 12:28:32 **15** ?---No, I don't think I'd had a conversation. Ι don't recall a conversation with him about it. 12:28:35 **16** 17 If we can go back to the ICRs please at p.328. 12:28:49 **18** We understand that Mr Bickley was on bail already for the 12:29:11 **19** Quills matters; is that right?---He may have been. 12:29:13 **20** 21 12:29:20 **22** He'd been arrested the previous August, had been charged in relation to drug offences then?---I'll accept that. 12:29:24 **23** 24 12:29:32 **25** His being arrested a second time for drug offences makes 12:29:35 **26** bail a little harder?---It may well do, yes. 27 See down the bottom on 13 June 2006, 13:25, the handler calls Ms Gobbo and advises her that Mr Bickley been 12:29:40 **28** 12:29:53 **29** arrested?---Yes. 12:29:57 **30** 31 And then seven minutes later at 13:32 he calls Ms Gobbo and 12:30:01 **32** tells her to expect a call soon from MrBickley 12:30:07 **33** Right. 34 You're aware that upon MrBickley 12:30:17 **35** arrest he nominated 12:30:24 **36** Ms Gobbo as the person he wanted to speak to?---I'm not 100 per cent sure of that but he may have been, he may have 12:30:36 **37** 12:30:43 **38** nominated her. 39 Detective Rowe gives evidence that at 1.56 Mr Bickley 12:30:45 **40** rang and spoke with Ms Gobbo?---Right. 12:30:52 **41** 42 12:30:55 **43** And then at 2.15 she spoke to him again when he was in the interview room for around 20 minutes. Those are according 12:30:59 **44** 12:31:03 45 to entries in his diary?---Right. 46 Do you know who the responsible members were for 12:31:14 **47**

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

12:31:17	1	interviewing ^{Mr Bickley} -No, I don't.
10.01.00	2 3	Certainly these were all people that knew Ms Gobbo had this
12:31:30 12:31:34	4	dual role, that she was a police agent?Yes, possibly.
12.01.04	5	adar roro, chae ono nao a porroo agone. Too, poorbry:
12:31:39	6	You must have been informed that she'd been called,
12:31:42	7	surely?I don't recall whether I wa <u>s informed or no</u> t but
12:31:45	8	I've got notes there that I spoke to MrBickley in
12:31:48	9 10	the boardroom that day with Flynn.
12:31:52	10	A similar scenario as to what occurred with
12:31:52	12	?Yes.
	13	
12:32:01	14	Except this time Ms Gobbo was on the phone an <u>d not in t</u> he
12:32:04	15	room?I'm not sure. As I said, I spoke to MrBickley
12:32:13	16 17	You'll see there's an entry there from the handler on the
	18	ICR, he's recorded something at 1 <u>4:18 that</u> he'd had a
12:32:26	19	conversation with Ms Gobbo, that Mr Bickley was in tears,
12:32:29	20	was left high and dry by the Mokbels, that there'd been an
12:32:34	21	indication to him from police that he'll get bail and he
12:32:37		should look after himself?Right.
12:32:51	23	If we can go to p.328. Sorry, are we still on 328? Over
12:32:51		the page, please. You'll see the following day, 14 June
12:32:00	26	2006?Yes.
	27	
12:33:09		Under 8.40 in the morning at that stage she'd not heard any
12:33:15	29	further from MrBickley That's correct, yes.
12:33:24	30 31	And then 14:15 there's a conversation between the handler
	32	and Ms Gobbo. Ms Gobbo was feeling persecuted, she'd spent
12:33:36	33	an hour with MrBickley and she reports that you are an
12:33:41	34	angry man?Right.
	35	Obs. these measures are incoming as 11. from the set
12:33:45	36 37	She then reports an incoming call from Experiment and that she'd call back. At 14:29 she <u>continues th</u> at she's annoyed
12:33:48 12:33:55	38	with you for being gruff, that Mr Bickley is scared
12:33:00	39	, he's emotional about it all, he's
12:34:04	40	got no money to pay for help and he wants his bail changed
12:34:07	41	if possible to one or two days a week. The police have
12:34:11	42	told him Annual Annual A
12:34:14	43 44	it could be a set up. He doesn't want his solicitor to
12:34:17 12:34:20	44 45	solicitor, do you see all that?Yes.
12.07.60	46	
12:34:22	47	It appears as though you've had some communications with

.09/09/19

12:34:26	1	Ms Gobbo during that day?I don't believe I did. It's
12:34:32	2	probably MrBickley opinion of me more than anything
12:34:36	3 4	else.
12:34:36	5	That may be the case, yes. <u>But it app</u> ears as though she'd
12:34:42	6	spent an hour herself with MrBickley that day?Right.
12:34:46	7	She may have.
	8	
12:34:47	9	Is that something that's likely would have been reported to
12:34:49	10	you?It may or may not have.
	11	
12:34:54	12	<u>Was there an</u> ything said about it being wrong for
12:34:58	13	Mr Bickley to involve herself - sorry, anything said
12:35:04	14	about it being wro <u>ng for Ms Gob</u> bo to involve herself in the
12:35:09	15	representation of MrBickley I've got no note of that.
	16	
12:35:13	17	Was there anything said to MrBickley about Ms Gobbo
12:35:17	18	being unable to provide him with impartial, independent
12:35:21	19	legal advice?I've got no note of that.
	20	
12:35:25		Is there any report made to anyone about the problems
12:35:28	22	associated with her representation in that case?Not as
12:35:30	23	far as I know.
	24	
12:35:31	25	went on to a number of against
12:35:34	26	various people, including ; is that right?I'm
12:35:37	27	not sure. If he has, he has.
	28	And you aware fallowing that that Ma Oakha analand far
12:35:44		Are you aware following that that Ms Gobbo appeared for MrBickley on 23 June 2006 in relation to a bail
12:35:52	30 21	
12:36:01	31 32	variation application?No, I was unaware of that. I was on recreation leave at the time.
12:36:05	33	on recreation reave at the time.
12:36:15		You're aware that she continued to have a role in
12:36:15	35	MrBickley representation following that?I'm not 100
12:36:26		per cent sure whether she did or she didn't but that would
12:36:30		generally be with the crew Sergeant.
12.50.50	38	generally be when the orew congeance
12:36:33		You were making attempts of your own volition in January of
12:36:41	40	2007 in the lead up to his plea to have him get independent
	41	advice; is that right?Who's this?
	42	
12:36:46	43	MrBickley Not that I can recall.
	44	
12:36:50	45	If you can go to 17 January 2007. At 13:05 do you make a
12:37:25	46	call to Paul Duggan solicitor's office, and there's an
12:37:31	. —	indication there that an associate of his, a solicitor,

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

Margaret Macaulay, was willing to assist in relation to 1 12:37:36 Mr Bickley 12:37:39 **2** 3 That's 07 you said, was it? 4 COMMISSIONER: 12:37:40 5 12:37:42 MS TITTENSOR: Yes, January 07. 6 12:37:43 7 8 COMMISSIONER: January 07. 12:37:44 9 12:37:50 I don't know whether it was Mr Bickley WITNESS: It's 12:37:51 10 12:37:52 **11** in relation to - - -12:37:56 **12** 12:37:58 **13** MS TITTENSOR: If you can take it from me, Mr O'Brien, that the reference there is to Mr Bickley 12:38:03 **14** Right. 15 If we can bring up the source management log please for 18 12:38:18 16 12:38:23 17 January 2007. 18 COMMISSIONER: I think it's 2000.0003.2193. 12:38:34 **19** 12:39:35 20 MS TITTENSOR: 18 January 2007. 12:39:35 **21** 22 12:39:37 **23** COMMISSIONER: Is that not the right one? Maybe the next page. No. There's a mention of Mr Bickley 12:39:40 **24** 12:39:54 **25** MS TITTENSOR: Sorry, it might be the 17th I'm referring 12:39:54 **26** 12:39:57 **27** to. I probably looked at the box below it and moved up. If can you scroll up there just so that the - I just need 12:40:07 **28** 12:40:24 **29** to be careful with some of the references around these matters, Mr O'Brien. Do you see there that it refers to 12:40:26 **30** 12:40:34 **31** Mr Bickley "It may be a headache for the human source", that is Ms Gobbo, "when court matters come up. Another one 12:40:39 **32** Ms Gobbo has represented and he may not know to keep his 12:40:43 **33** mouth shut", do you see that?---Yes. 12:40:47 **34** 35 12:40:59 36 If you look at your diary on 19 January. Towards the bottom just above the time of 17:10 there's a reference to 12:41:12 **37** 12:41:19 **38** you having a conversation with Margaret Macaulay who's asking for the brief in relation to MrBickley 12:41:26 39 Yes. 40 And indicating that he'd been to see her?---Yes. 12:41:29 **41** 42 If you can go to the ICRs, p.611, please. You'll see down 12:41:32 **43** the bottom we're on 21 January 2007 at 16:30. There's a 12:42:05 44 call under the heading "Mr Bickley 12:42:12 45 Ms Gobbo reported having had lunch with him and very cross with Jim O'Brien 12:42:16 **46** and the solicitor he recommended, who she names. 12:42:23 47 Cross

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

12:42:27	1	about not telling her this was going to happen as it
12 : 42 : 30	2	undermines her position with him?Yes.
10 40 41	3 4	There's a conversation about whether or not
12:42:41 12:42:44	4 5	to keep, do you see that?Yes,
12:42:44	6	I see that.
12:42:49	7	1 300 that.
12:42:52	8	She'd advised him
12:42:56	9	had out?Right, yes.
	10	3 - , , , , - , - , - , - , - , - , - ,
12:43:02	11	If we move up.
	12	
12:43:07	13	COMMISSIONER: There was a note there someone said not to
12:43:10	14	trust her on the previous page.
12:43:12	15	
12:43:13		MS TITTENSOR: Yes. Cross about - so above, about five
12:43:16		lines up, "Cross about not telling her this was going to
12:43:20		happen as it undermines her position with Mr Bickley
12:43:23		Someone said not to trust her". It appears perhaps someone
12:43:27		from the police has communicated with MrBickley that he
12 : 43 : 32		shouldn't trust her?Right.
	22	If we continue even to the part page, you are under the
12:43:34		If we continue over to the next page, you see under the "SDU <u>issue", being ov</u> er critical of Ms Gobbo to MrBickley
12:43:43	~ -	will as she was the one that
12:43:48 12:43:52	~ ~	in the first
12:43:52	~ 7	place?Yes, I can see that.
12.43.30	28	
12:44:21	~~	If we go back to the SMLs for 24 January 2007. Perhaps
12:44:50		we'll just move to 30 January 2007. I might indicate that
12:45:07		there'd been an entry on 24 January, without needing to go
12:45:10	32	to it, where Ms Gobbo was expressing concern that she could
12:45:15	33	be compromised by MrBickley telling people she'd
12:45:19	34	Then on 30 January
12:45:25	35	it's apparent that Ms Gobbo believes that Macaulay is not
12:45:31	36	representing Mr Bickley properly and she feels obliged to
12:45:34	37	help him, although she's advised not to?Right.
	38	
12:45:39	39	Were you being told about these ongoing concerns about
12:45:43	40	Ms Gobbo's involvement with MrBickley at this stage?I
12:45:50	41	can't recall being told about it.
	42	If you had have known would you have been concerned?
12:45:51	43	If you had have known would you have been concerned?As I
12:45:55	44 45	say, looking at these documents now she seems to involve
12:46:01	45 46	herself in everything.
12:46:03	46 47	But it seems to be to the knowledge of the police that
12:40:00	-11	but it booms to be to the knowledge of the portoe that

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

she's being involved in these things?---This is all part of 1 12:46:06 obviously debriefings by the SDU. It's not something I'm 12:46:09 2 party to. 3 12:46:13 4 5 Do you think the SDU should have been passing on concerns 12:46:14 to you of this nature?---Not all things because, you know, 6 12:46:17 a lot of things that informers - my experience has been 7 12:46:25 they'll tell you a lot of stuff that really is a non-issue 8 12:46:32 as far as the police are concerned. 12:46:36 9 10 But if Ms Gobbo, in what she is doing, is compromising the 12:46:38 **11** judicial process, do you think it would be incumbent upon 12:46:42 **12** 12:46:46 13 the SDU to be talking to you about those things?---If that was front of mind, yes. 12:46:50 **14** 15 12:46:52 **16** You certainly had that conversation in relation to about the compromise of the judicial process 12:46:55 17 Did you have that conversation in 12:46:59 18 following 1 relation to any other matter again?---Not that I can 12:47:03 19 12:47:06 **20** recall. 21 12:47:17 **22** It's apparent, if you look at your diary for 31 January, at 10.19 you have a call with the solicitor Macaulay who's wanting some support for Mr Bickley for a submission that 12:47:34 **23** for a submission that's 12:47:40 24 planned, presumably that he'd receive a 12:47:44 **25** 12:47:49 26 sentence?---Yes. 27 And you declined and you indicated that it was up to the 12:47:50 28 12:47:54 **29** judiciary and that could be discussed with the OPP down the line?---That's correct. 12:47:57 **30** 31 If you look at the 31st on the SML on the screen. Ms Gobbo 12:48:01 **32** is continuing with the Mr Bickley issues and there being no 12:48:08 **33** 12:48:15 **34** confidence in the solicitor Macaulay and her being advised not to have anything to do with it, do you see that?---Yes, 12:48:20 **35** I see that. 12:48:24 **36** 37 12:48:34 **38** If you go to 4 February you'll see down the bottom there's been some kind of indication to Mr Bickley 12:48:41 **39** that to 12:48:48 **40** in terms at that 12:48:53 **41** or stage?---Yes, I see that. 12:48:58 **42** 43 If we go to 13 February 2007. Ms Gobbo's reporting that 12:49:05 **44** Mr Bickley 12:49:20 45 still causing problems by wanting legal advice from her despite her trying to distance herself?---Yes, I 12:49:23 **46** see that. 12:49:29 47

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

	1	
12:49:31	2	If you can go to 4 March. Scroll to 4 March please. We're
12:49:31	3	in 2007. Ms Gobbo there reports another meeting with
12:50:11	4	Mr Bickley during this period of time. Then on 16 March,
12:50:30		if we scroll up, there's some discussion about trying to
	5	
12:50:50	6	avoid a custodial sentence in relation to MrBickley Yes, I see that
12:50:55	7	Mr Bickley Yes, I see that.
	8	Then on 10 Mench Mr Bickley has not some Family Count
12:50:58	9	Then on 19 March Mr Bickley has got some Family Court
	10	matter and he wants Ms Gobbo to be giving evidence in it to
	11	assist him there, do you see that?Yes, I see that, yes.
	12	Detective Dave indicates that he act with a symbol of
	13	Detective Rowe indicates that he met with a number of
	14	members of the SDU on 19 March that day because
	15	was was at that stage
	16	because of the likelihood that
	17	The following day he spoke with a handler at the
	18	SDU and that he recorded in his diary that he asked to meet
	19	with Ms Gobbo regarding but the handler said that
	20	he wouldn't arrange that, he'd wait for Ms Gobbo to contact
	21	him in relation to second , and that Flynn was informed.
	22	Was it the case that your detectives, when they were
	23	encountering difficulties in relation to people like
12:52:22	24	were going back to the SDU and seeking
12:52:25	25	Ms Gobbo's assistance as a legal advisor?Not that I was
	26	aware. I said my belief was I was to be the single point
	27	of contact.
	28	
12:52:37	29	It seems as though Detective Rowe at this stage at least
12:52:42	30	has contacted the SDU to try and arrange a meeting with
	31	Gobbo to see if sh <u>e can facili</u> tate something in the order
12:52:51	32	of cooperation by Were you aware of that at
12:52:55	33	the time?If I can check my diary, please. I was away on
	34	recreation leave.
	35	
12:53:14		If we go to service in the SMLs . We might need to scroll
12:53:28	37	up. You'll see down the bottom there on 28 March that
	38	is representing ?Right.
	39	
12:53:42		And Ms Gobbo's concerned that is going to tell
12:53:45	41	about her involvement with he and the
12:53:48	42	police?Right.
	43	
12:53:55	44	If you can have a look at your diary on 12 April. At 14:30
12:54:20		it se <u>ems tha</u> t you've made a call to try and get in touch
12:54:23	46	with ; is that right?Yes.
	47	

You've got some involvement in at this 12:54:26 1 stage?---Yes. 12:54:32 **2** 3 Do you know what that was?---No. I made a phone call and I 12**:**54**:**34 **4** and left a message to return the think he was in 12:54:40 **5** call. 12:54:43 6 7 12:54:44 **8** Then if you go to 13 April at 10.24. You have another conversation with - or you have a conversation this time 12:54:57 **9** with ?---Yes. 12:55:02 10 11 In relation to and you indicate that Detective 12:55:03 **12** 12:55:07 **13** Rowe is delivering statements?---Yes. 14 12:55:10 **15** To Mr Dunn and there's discussion about what his advice 12**:**55**:**19 **16** would be in relation to signing the statements and his cooperation?---Yes. 12:55:22 **17** 18 If we can go to the ICRs at p.823 please. If you can just 12:55:31 **19** scroll up slightly. Keep on going. I might have the wrong 12:56:03 **20** page number. I want to be on 29 April at 15:46. It should 12:56:10 **21** 12:56:25 **22** be p.823 apparently. If we can scroll up, please. You see there halfway down the page there's a heading 12:56:54 **23** "?---Yes. 12:57:00 **24** 25 There's a communication ther<u>e in relation</u> to 12:57:05 **26** 12:57:14 **27** Ms Gobbo was concerned that would go to gaol ?---Yes. 12:57:17 **28** with 29 She's obviously concerned about the prospect of them 12:57:20 **30** talking to each other?---Yes. 12:57:23 **31** 32 And she hadn't spoken to Detective Rowe about this 12:57:26 **33** Rowe 12:57:33 **34** doesn't know where he'll be going to and thinking 12:57:38 **35** that he's not going to go to gaol?---Yes, I see that. 36 12:57:44 **37** Can we just scroll up slightly from that, please, and then 12:57:48 **38** further down. Keep going. I must have missed the reference. Somewhere within what I'm looking for, 12:58:14 **39** Mr O'Brien, there's a reference in relation to a conference 12:58:19 **40** that's been had between 12:58:26 41 and . It was at the bottom of p.823?---Right. 12:58:40 **42** 43 COMMISSIONER: It's 2409. 12:58:43 **44** 12:58:45 **45** 12:58:45 **46** MS TITTENSOR: Thanks. You see there at 1<u>5:46 there's</u> a conference that's been had in relation to 12:58:49 47

.09/09/19

12:58:55	1	There's a character reference being sought from Ms Gobbo in
12 : 59 : 00	2	relation to his proceeding?Yes.
	3	
12 : 59 : 25	4	wanted a statement from Ms Gobbo in relation to her
12 : 59 : 28	5	knowledge of events involving and she declined
12 : 59 : 34	6 7	to do that?Yes, I see that.
10.50.20	8	She was saying well those details can be adequately covered
12:59:36 12:59:40	8 9	by the police, there was no need for her?Yes.
12:39:40	10	
12:59:44	11	was threatening to subpoena her to give the
12:59:47	12	evidence?Yes, I see what's there.
	13	
12 : 59 : 52	14	And there was obviously a concern for her that she might be
12 : 59 : 56	15	put in a witness box to give evidence?Yes.
	16	
13:00:09	17	Then further down at 17:57 you'll see under the topic, or
	18	the heading "Purana Task Force", that Ms Gobbo wanted to
	19	advise that she'd spoken directly to Detective Flynn
13:00:22		regarding Dunn and his threatened subpoena?Yes, I see
13:00:27		that.
10.00.00	22	Now was that compating that you had discussion yoursalf
13:00:28 13:00:30		Now was that something that you had discussion yourself with Flynn about, the problems that might cause?Not that
13:00:30		I'm aware of.
13.00.33	26	
13:00:37		If you go to 8 25, please, on 6 May. Under the heading
13:00:46		there you'll see Ms Gobbo reports having had a
13:00:50	29	detailed conversation with Detective Rowe about it, about
13:00:53	30	the court case; that Rowe is able to give all the
13:00:57	31	evidence required and that Rowe is happy to concede the
13:01:01	32	points that wanted to raise during the plea?Yes.
	33	
13:01:07		Then over the page under the heading " again,
13:01:16	35	wanted her assistance to draft a letter to hand
13:01:19		to the judge. He's still thinking he won't be going to
13:01:23	37	gaol and second back had been told that Rowe would concede all the points that needed to be conceded during the
13:01:28 13:01:31	38 39	plea?Yes, I see that.
12:01:21	40	
13:01:38	40	Do you know whether there were any points that the police
13:01:42	42	didn't necessarily want to concede upon this plea that
13:01:45	43	ultimately were for the sake of protecting Ms Gobbo?Not
13:01:48	44	that I know of. As I say, you'd have to speak to the
13:01:53	45	informant, Senior Detective Rowe, in relation to that.
	46	
13:01:56	47	Did you have any discussion about his giving evidence and

conceding matters on the plea that are favourable to the 1 13:01:58 defence?---No. 13:02:01 2 3 If you have a look just further down the page you'll see 4 13:02:12 again under the heading - at 10.48 there's an entry there 13:02:16 5 that Ms Gobbo had had an argument with the lawver 6 13:02:22 today because they're trying to serve a subpoena on her, it 13:02:27 **7** was still a concern. Then further down the page she's advised the lawyer she won't give character 8 13:02:30 13:02:33 9 evidence, she doesn't believe it's necessary because the 13:02:36 10 police, through Detective Rowe, would concede everything 13:02:41 **11** required?---Yes, I see that. 13:02:46 12 13 13:02:51 14 There's an indication at that stage that there's not been a 13:02:54 **15** summons issued, and if we go to 16:05 she now says that 13:03:02 **16** there is a summons for the plea hearing. At 20:48 she's reporting that - the solicitor 13:03:12 **17** and had come to her office at 5 o'clock. 13:03:18 **18** Dunn had received an email from Detective Rowe detailing 13:03:25 19 the sequence of the events. wanted her to give 13:03:29 20 evidence. He wanted her to detail her involvement in his 13:03:33 **21** 13:03:37 **22** assistance to the police and Gobbo was pointing out that could do that himself and she was now stating 13:03:43 23 though that there wasn't a subpoena?---Right. 13:03:47 24 25 13:03:52 **26** There is some significant concern around this point in time 13:03:59 **27** that Ms Gobbo is going to be called to give some evidence to corroborate what was saying about his 13:04:02 28 13:04:05 29 cooperation with the police?---Right. 30 You say you didn't become aware of that at all?---To the 13:04:08 **31** best of my knowledge I'm not aware of it. 13:04:12 **32** 33 13:04:24 **34** If we go over the page, <u>829.</u> You'll see at 12.18, this is 8 May under the heading **and the second of the second seco** 13:04:51 **35** discuss the summons which is yet to be received from 13:04:59 **36** 13:05:02 **37** in relation to the plea. She has a 13:05:05 **38** number of possibilities to avoid giving evidence. She believes she's exhausted all the avenues. She's demanding 13:05:11 **39** that the SDU come up with some sort of solution. 13:05:16 40 She then calls back within about half an hour or so and indicates 13:05:20 **41** that she's spoken to **example** and told him that she wouldn't 13:05:26 42 periure herself and that she was aware of stuff that 13:05:31 **43** wasn't and she suggested that if he did call her 13:05:35 **44** 13:05:38 45 she might say some things that were unhelpful to Mr Bickley 13:05:41 46 cause?---I see that, yes. 47

So that seems to be how that situation was resolved. Now. 1 13:05:44 you're aware that went on and ultimately 13:05:49 **2** received a ; is that right?---No. 3 13:05:54 I'm not aware of that but if that's what happened, that's 13:05:58 **4** what happened. 5 13:06:02 6 One of the other people that was arrested on 7 was 13:06:04 ?---That's correct. 8 13:06:15 9 You're aware that Ms Gobbo continued to represent him 13:06:16 **10** following his arrest?---I don't believe so. 13:06:19 **11** 12 13:06:24 **13** No one told at any point in time that the representation that he was being given was not independent 13:06:33 **14** 13:06:36 **15** and impartial?---Not that I'm aware of. 16 A plea for took place around June 2007. You would 13:06:43 **17** have known that at the time?---I may have, may not have. 13:06:51 **18** 19 13:06:54 **20** Ms Gobbo was being instructed by Mr Hargreaves in relation to that matter and he certainly had no knowledge of the 13:06:57 **21** role that she was playing; is that right?---I wouldn't 13:07:01 22 think so. 13:07:03 **23** 24 Are you aware that Ms Gobbo charged 13:07:05 **25** more than \$5,000 for her services?---No, I'm not. 13:07:11 **26** 27 13:07:25 **28** A person that I referred to earlier was a Mr 13:07:31 **29** ---Yes. 30 13:07:32 **31** He was someone that was arrested at some stage in of 2006, is that right, prior to the arrest of 13:07:38 **32** ?---I'd have to check but if you say that's the 13:07:45 **33** date I'll accept it. 13:07:48 **34** 35 13:07:50 **36** He was arrested and pulled over and had a drum of ketone or something in his car; is that right?---Look, I don't 13:07:57 **37** actually recall the actual case but if that's what 13:08:03 **38** happened, that's what happened. 13:08:06 **39** 40 Do you recall that Mr Hammoud was first 13:08:11 **41** cousin?---Not specifically. 13:08:17 **42** 43 And that there was some concern because apparently the 13:08:28 **44** ketone in the car was bound for and he was upset 13:08:32 **45** 13:08:38 **46** when he found out later of the arrest and that he hadn't been told and that he might be compromised, do you recall 13:08:42 47

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

13:08:45	1	that occurring?This is Hammoud you're saying?
12.00.40	2 3	Yes. He hadn't been - was angry with
13:08:48 13:08:53	4	potentially bringing heat on him because he hadn't
13:08:58	5	told him about to to whom he was about to -
13:09:02	6	told him about, to whom he was about to - from whom he was about?That
13:09:02	7	may have been the case, I'm not certain.
10.00.00	8	
13:09:10	9	If I can take you to the ICR at p.249. If you see down the
13:09:32	10	bottom of the page there it's 2006?Yes.
	11	
13:09:36	12	has rung and wants Ms Gobbo to see his cousin
13:09:40	13	, akaYes.
	14	
13:09:48	15	If we then go over the page down to the <u>bottom. Do yo</u> u see
13:09:56	16	there that source is angry because of
13:10:00	17	bringing heat on to
	18	That relates to, it's got Akl Mahmood, it should
13:10:16		be And annoyed at not being told about the
13:10:17		arrest by and co. as the
13:10:20		to And then when was taken to the
13:10:25		Brunswick police station there were tradesmen there who
13:10:28		knew him and told that his cousin had been
13:10:33		arrested, do you see that?Right.
	25	There is some confirmation of a meeting accumulate. Once is
13:10:41		There's some confirmation of a meeting occurring. Green is
13:10:45		complimented by Ms Gobbo for paying attention to detail and having an excellent memory. And then an indication at
13:10:48 13:10:55		19:05, "Advise Detective Senior Sergeant O'Brien, Operation
13:10:55		Purana, re all of the above"?Right. I see that. I have
13:11:01		a diary entry in relation to it.
13.11.00	32	
13:11:08		Sorry?I have some diary notes in relation to information
13:11:12		from the SDU on that day.
	35	
13:11:14	36	Yes. You accept those matters would have been relayed to
13:11:19	37	you, you were interested, especially in the information
13:11:22	38	relating to Example around that time?I was, but I
13:11:26	39	accept the matters that are written in my diary, not this
13:11:29	40	document.
	41	
13:11:32	42	If we can go to the audio transcript, please, I think this
13:11:37	43	is of 20 April 2006. VPL.0005.0097.0011. Page 63. See
	44	there's some discussion in relation to alias
13:12:13	45	and his cousinYes, I see that.
	46	
13:12:16	47	Ms Gobbo says, "As of 5.30 this afternoon is now my

.09/09/19

	4	aliant" Creith analian "New is such the house surgerized"
13:12:21	1	client". Smith replies, "Now is anybody here surprised?
13:12:24	2	No. So nd
13:12:33	3	that.
	4	
13:12:39	5	It appears from what follows that Ms Gobbo is wanting to
13:12:45	6	know what is going on in the investigation and who the
13:12:53	7	relevant informer was. Of course no one was keen to tell
13:12:59	8	her that and she was making her own guesses about things.
13:13:06	9	If we can go to page - just keep scrolling up. You see
13:13:12	10	that she provides some hypotheticals there about who the
13:13:16	11	informers might be and so forth?Yes, I see that.
	12	
13:13:21	13	Then over the page at p.65 she indicates down the bottom
13:13:35	14	that when he left she told him that he's going to be
13:13:33	15	charged and he'd need to get organised to do a bail
	16	application and that the client indicated that he was very
13:13:41		•••
	17	concerned that she was acting for other people and that
	18	she'd tell other people what his instructions were and she
13:13:54	19	assured him she wouldn't?Yes, I can see that.
	20	
13:13:59		Clearly he didn't think to be concerned that she might be
13:14:01		telling the police about his instructions?Right.
	23	
13:14:10	24	Were you having communications with the SDU in relation to
13:14:15	25	knowing that Ms Gobbo was involved with him and
13:14:22	26	his representation?Look, if I can read my diary entry
13:14:27	27	for that day and review my diary entry, but I don't believe
13:14:30	28	so. I'd say that matter was being handled by the crew that
13:14:36	29	were doing the job.
	30	
13:14:42	31	Whilst we're at it if we can go to p.278, please.
	32	
13:14:52		COMMISSIONER: 278 of the audio transcript?
13:14:55	34	
13:14:56	35	MS TITTENSOR: The ICRs, sorry.
10.11.00	36	
13:14:58	37	COMMISSIONER: The ICRs, yes.
13:14:59		conniccionen. Inc ions, yes.
13:14:59		MS TITTENSOR: I note the time, Commissioner.
12:12:08	40	HO TITLENGOR. I HOLE LIE LINE, COMMISSIONEL.
10.15 00	40 41	COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right. We'll adjourn until 2
13:15:09		
13:15:12	42	o'clock, thank you.
	43	(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)
13:15:14		<(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)
13:15:15		
	46	LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT
	47	

UPON RESUMING AT 2.03 PM: 1 13:52:16 14:03:10 2 COMMISSIONER: Yes Ms Tittensor. 3 14:03:10 14:03:12 **4** 14:03:13 5 <JAMES MICHAEL O'BRIEN, recalled:</pre> 14:03:16 **6** 14:03:17 **7** MS TITTENSOR: Before lunch, Mr O'Brien, I was asking you some questions about ?---Yes. 14:03:20 **8** 14:03:25 9 And whether there was any coordination in essence going on 14:03:25 **10** 14:03:29 **11** between the SDU and Purana in relation to Ms Gobbo's 14:03:35 **12** representing him. Do you know if that was the case?---I 14:03:40 **13** don't know if that was the case. As I say, I'd need to 14:03:47 **14** check diary entries. 14:03:47 **15** If we can go to the ICRs at p.278. You'll see there, we're 14:03:47 **16** on 1 May, and at 18:15 the bottom of that entry on the 14:04:18 17 screen, it indicates that Ms Gobbo is told by the handler 14:04:24 18 14:04:28 19 that may be arrested tomorrow. The situation that's apparent from the materials that the Commission has 14:04:35 20 14:04:38 **21** is that he had initially been arrested but released without 14:04:42 **22** charge. Ms Gobbo, as you might have seen in some of the 14:04:47 **23** earlier ICRs, had told him at some stage he is going to be charged and on this day at this time the handler informs 14:04:52 24 her that he may be arrested the following day. 14:04:57 25 It seems as though the handler has some information from Purana about 14:05:01 26 14:05:03 **27** the arrest of ?---Right. 14:05:06 28 14:05:10 29 Do you accept that that's the case, that SDU must have been getting that information from Purana?---Perhaps they did, I 14:05:14 **30** 14:05:18 **31** have no note of it. 14:05:19 **32** That would be the type of coordination that you might 14:05:21 **33** expect as between Purana and the SDU, would it be?---No, 14:05:25 **34** 14:05:29 35 not necessarily. 14:05:31 **36** If we move over the page to 2 May, p.279. You'll see there 14:05:37 **37** 14:05:50 **38** at the top of the page, 8.05. The handler has been contacted by Detective Flynn and advised that 14:05:57 **39** has been arrested?---Yes. 14:06:01 40 14:06:03 **41** At two minutes later at 8.07 the handler then contacts 14:06:03 42 14:06:07 43 Ms Gobbo and advises her?---Right. 14:06:10 44 14:06:10 45 It seems as though it was known that had 14:06:17 **46** something to do with Ms Gobbo. Detective Flynn has contacted the SDU for a purpose. It can only be related to 14:06:21 47

.09/09/19

the fact that **the second of the second of t** 14:06:26 **1** and knowledge of Purana of that fact, do you agree?---It 14:06:30 **2** might be the case but you'd have to ask Detective Sergeant 3 14:06:33 Flynn, or now Inspector Flynn. 14:06:39 **4** 14:06:40 5 14:06:41 **6** Can you explain why, assuming this has occurred, 14:06:45 **7** has been arrested, why there is a need for a 14:06:48 **8** Detective to contact the SDU instead of contacting a representative directly?---I don't know, unless there was 14:06:54 **9** some ramification of some risk to her. I couldn't 14:06:58 10 understand why otherwise. 14:07:04 **11** 14:07:05 12 14:07:08 **13** When Ms Gobbo's contacted and advised about the arrest she's indicated she's at court and then at 8.20 you see 14:07:11 **14** another contact with the handler that 14:07:17 **15** has 14:07:22 **16** already been interviewed and that she would see him later?---Right. 14:07:25 17 14:07:26 18 If you go down the page towards the bottom at 855, the last 14:07:35 19 14:07:41 20 entry there. Ms Gobbo is commenting, it seems, that 14:07:45 **21** is a monty for bail?---Right, yes. 14:07:51 **22** 14:07:51 **23** If we go to p.280, over the page, at 16:45, this is the same date, Ms Gobbo reports having had a visit from Horty 14:08:00 24 This is a visit where Horty Mokbel, she says, 14:08:07 25 Mokbel. comes to his office, he grabs her by the throat and accuses 14:08:15 26 14:08:18 27 her of being a police informer?---Right. 14:08:20 28 14:08:21 29 When he calmed down he accused her of helping the police. She says she doesn't know where this came from. He talked 14:08:25 **30** 14:08:28 **31** about something to do with video surveillance. Ms Gobbo 14:08:33 **32** told him that she'd previously been accused of being involved with the Mokbels in a criminal sense, so what was 14:08:36 **33** he talking about? **W**en he left he said he would not talk 14:08:39 **34** to Ms Gobbo again unless Ms Gobbo was naked, meaning he 14:08:46 **35** 14:08:49 36 wanted to make sure there was no device that she was wearing?---Right. 14:08:53 **37** 14:08:54 **38** 14:08:57 **39** Ms Gobbo thought that he'd left ultimately pretty satisfied with her response but that he could be hard to read. 14:09:00 40 She said she discussed money with Horty, that he wanted her to 14:09:05 **41** track down solicitor Dan Kowalski to do Mr Bayeh's bail app 14:09:10 42 and he also wanted 14:09:16 **43** to get bail straight away, do you see that?---Yes. 14:09:23 44 14:09:24 45 Ms Gobbo thought that they wanted somebody to apply for 14:09:26 46 bail so they could work out what had happened in terms of 14:09:30 47

14:09:33	1	the arrest, it was a mechanism to figure out how they'd
14:09:33	2	been found out?Yes.
14:09:39	3	
14:09:39	4	You would have been told at some stage about that threat by
14:09:44	5	Horty Mokbel to Ms Gobbo?I may have been but as I say I
14:09:50	6	don't recall getting all this detail.
14:09:52	7	
14:10:00	8	If we can move over to 3 May, p.281. You see that at 9.10
14:10:22	9	there's a call where a number of matters are discussed,
14:10:28	10	including relating to Horty Mokbel?Yes.
14:10:31	11	
14:10:32	12	And included that Horty Mokbel wanted to get
14:10:39	13	bail but wouldn't pay any legal fees?Yes.
14:10:41	14 15	There's also reference to a number of other people
14:10:42 14:10:45	15 16	There's also reference to a number of other people, including and and and and so forth. And
14:10:45	17	underneath that it's got that both you and Detective Flynn
14:10:40	18	were advised in relation to the above matters?That's
14:10:55	19	what it says, yes.
14:10:56	20	
14:10:56		Are you able to say whether that occurred or not?I don't
14:11:01	22	believe I've got a note of having received that
14:11:03	23	information.
14:11:04		
14:11:25	25	If we can go to p.286, please. This is 5 May 2006. It's a
14:11:42	26	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo.
14:11:42 14:11:48	26 27	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanati <u>on being given to the h</u> andl <u>ers</u>
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53	26 27 28	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01	26 27 28 29	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that that the was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05	26 27 28 29 30	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the the that that the the that the the the the the the the the the th
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17	26 27 28 29 30 31	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that the second seco
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24	26 27 28 29 30 31 32	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the the that the the the the the that the the the the the the the the the th
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that the second seco
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:27	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that the the the the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to the matters. And she suggested that
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:27 14:12:31	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:27 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that the that the that the the that the the the that the that the the the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to the matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right.
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	<pre>summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right.</pre>
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:27 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57 14:13:00	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the handler to ask you in relation to the solution of
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57 14:13:00 14:13:06	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that the was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the handler to ask you in relation to the solution of the solution
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:27 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57 14:13:00 14:13:06 14:13:10	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the handler to ask you in relation to the solution of
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57 14:13:00 14:13:10 14:13:11	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the that that was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the handler to ask you in relation to the solution shall as above and the entry above is the one I've just taken you to?Yes.
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57 14:13:00 14:13:10 14:13:11	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	<pre>summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the handler to ask you in relation to solve bail as above and the entry above is the one I've just taken you to?Yes. Then over the page, 292 - maybe I need to go further up.</pre>
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57 14:13:00 14:13:10 14:13:11	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	<pre>summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the handler to ask you in relation to solve so bail as above and the entry above is the one I've just taken you to?Yes. Then over the page, 292 - maybe I need to go further up. Sorry, I don't know if I've gone too far. Do you see there</pre>
14:11:42 14:11:48 14:11:53 14:12:01 14:12:05 14:12:17 14:12:24 14:12:31 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:35 14:12:36 14:12:43 14:12:57 14:13:00 14:13:00 14:13:11 14:13:11 14:13:44	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	<pre>summary of a face-to-face discussion involving Ms Gobbo. You see there's an explanation being given to the handlers in relation to who owned the was arrested for and so forth. If we go over the page to 287. Up the top there Ms Gobbo comments that if gets bail this will be better for Gobbo because Gobbo cannot then act for others but they will believe it's not connected to matters. And she suggested that there be a written summary for his bail application?Right. Presumably so there would be no need to cross-examine. If we can go to p.290, please. This is 8 May 2006 at 9.35. Do you see underneath that time Ms Gobbo is requesting the handler to ask you in relation to solve bail as above and the entry above is the one I've just taken you to?Yes. Then over the page, 292 - maybe I need to go further up.</pre>

14:14:07	1	
14:14:08	2	Advised Ms Gobbo will consent to bail with
14:14:12	3	reporting conditions?Yes.
14:14:14	4	
14:14:14	5	Ms Gobbo is to phone Detective Kelly at Operation
14:14:18	6	Purana?Yes.
14:14:19	7	
14:14:20	8	Why was that process done through the SDU?I don't know.
14:14:31	9	I have a note of it in my diary.
14:14:33	10	
14:14:33	11	Sorry?I have a note of it in my diary.
14:14:36	12	
14:14:38	13	What does your note ?10.35 received telephone call
14:14:42	14	from officer
14:14:49	15	
14:14:49	16	Smith?Smith, yes. Smith, bail app by
14:15:12	17	discuss issues with disclosure with Senior Detective Kelly
14:15:17	18	and Johns.
14:15:17	19	
14:15:17	20	What were the disclosure issues that you were talking
14:15:22	21	about?I'm not 100 per cent sure at this point in time.
14:15:26	22	
14:15:28	23	Can you explain why this bail application needed to be
14:15:35	24	organised through the SDU?I don't know, you'd have to
14:15:41	25	speak to Detective Sergeant Kelly I imagine, it was his
14:15:44	26	crew were handling the job.
14:15:45	27	
14:15:46	28	It's the SDU, it's Ms Gobbo that has gone to the SDU and
14:15:48	29	the SDU have gone to you, by the looks of this, and they've
14:15:54	30	had some discussion with you also about issues relating to
14:15:57	31	disclosure?Yes. As I say, I don't have an independent
14:16:02	32	memory of it. I have this note here, and then at 11.07 I
14:16:06		made a telephone call and spoke to Smith. I advised we'd
14:16:11		agree to bail with reporting conditions. No application
14:16:14		notification had been received at our office as yet.
14:16:24		
14:16:25		It's a curious process though, isn't it, that someone's
14:16:30		bail application might be arranged through an informer
14:16:33		through the SDU?As I say, I don't have a - now, all this
14:16:40	40	time later, I don't have a clear understanding of why it
14:16:43		was done this way but there obviously would have been a
14:16:46		reason.
14:16:46		
14:16:53		There are disclosure issues and presumably some of those
14:16:56		are to ensure that there's some sort of protection perhaps
14:17:02		for Ms Gobbo?That may be one reason. As I say, I don't
14:17:08	47	have that level of detail. Perhaps others might.

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

1 14:17:11 If we can go to p.291, please. You note there at 13:05 14:17:11 **2** Ms Gobbo was seeing Horty Mokbel that afternoon with 3 14:17:32 solicitor Dan Kowalski in relation to ?---Yes. 14:17:35 **4** 14:17:42 **5** 14:17:42 **6** Then over the page at 18:20, down towards the bottom of the 18:20 entry you'll see the last paragraph, there's a 14:18:01 7 14:18:06 **8** sentence there, "Horty is not prepared to fund 's bail application" and then, "If Horty got 14:18:11 9 arrested he would ring Gobbo. Ms Gobbo is suggesting to 14:18:14 10 14:18:19 **11** investigators to listen to conversations of Milad" - --?---Apologies, I'm trying to pick up where in the 14:18:22 12 14:18:26 **13** document. 14:18:27 **14** 14:18:28 **15** You see the cursor?---Yes, sorry. 14:18:30 16 There's a conversation there, "Horty is" - - - ?---Yes. 14:18:30 17 14:18:34 18 14:18:34 **19** - - - "now not prepared to fund the bail application for 14:18:38 20 She's indicating to the handlers if Horty 14:18:41 **21** himself got arrested he's going to ring her too?---Right. 14:18:45 **22** 14:18:45 **23** And then she's suggesting that investigators listen to conversations between Milad and Horty when they visit each 14:18:50 24 other in prison?---Yes. 14:18:54 **25** 14:18:55 26 14:19:00 27 If we can go over to 293, please. At 22:45, just under halfway there's a paragraph there. "Human source asked re 14:19:14 28 14:19:19 29 funding for bail app. Horty is not funding it and said to see Dan Kowalski. Ms Gobbo says if there is no 14:19:22 **30** 14:19:29 **31** money she won't be doing it. Horty wanted to see Ms Gobbo 14:19:33 **32** after cross-examination of the informant in relation to the bail application so she could tell him what the police 14:19:36 **33** knew"?---Yes. 14:19:39 **34** 14:19:40 **35** 14:19:45 36 Over the page to 294. This is 10 May 2006. There's - --?---Excuse me. I didn't get that information. I have no 14:19:57 **37** 14:20:00 **38** note of that information in my diary. I was doing other things. 14:20:03 **39** 14:20:03 40 Sorry, perhaps if I go up one where I was. If we can go 14:20:03 **41** back to the page before. You were talking about -14:20:19 42 14:20:38 43 underneath that entry, there's reference to an entry for and a phone number and that you were advised 14:20:47 **44** 14:20:52 45 seemingly in relation to that on 10 May, is that what 14:20:55 **46** you're referring to?---Yes, saying that I was advised. But I just wonder why they have typed "IR required" if I was 14:21:02 47

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

14:21:07	1	advised.
14:21:07	2	
14:21:08	3	It seems they're talking about maybe <u>a separate</u> piece of
14:21:12	4	information at 21:19 in relation to
14:21:19	5	number and you being advised of that phone number on 10 May
14:21:23	6	and there being a note there, there also should be
14:21:27	7	presumably an information report about his phone
14:21:33	8	number?Perhaps if they got it they rang me and I just
14:21:37	9	said put an IR in.
14:21:39	10	That wight he the eccel. Might he the ecce
14:21:39	11 12	That might be the case?Might be the case.
14:21:42	12	If we do back to 10 May over the page 18:15 there
14:21:44	13	If we go back to 10 May over the page, 18:15 there. There's an <u>entry t</u> here, "Kowalski has done <u>nothing in</u>
14:21:54 14:21:58	14	relation to bail application and now says
14:21:58	16	he will pay Ms Gobbo's fee"?Yes.
14:22:05	17	
14:22:03	18	The next page, 295. It's 11 May. Ms Gobbo is indicating
14:22:21	19	at 13:08 that Kowalski was causing trouble at court by
14:22:27		asking fishing type of questions in relation to the
14:22:29		informant Tim Johns relating to the set bail application
14:22:34	22	which was granted. She's also saying that
14:22:37	23	Do you
14:22:41	24	see that?Yes.
14:22:42	25	
14:22:47	26	Over the page at 296. At 8:09 there's a reference in the
	27	larger paragraph down the bottom that Horty wanted to know
14:23:10		about bail, if he was offered a deal, et cetera.
14:23:14	29	?Right.
14:23:18	30	
14:23:19		It's in relation to the substantive paragraph, just the
14:23:23	32	last few lines there?Yes.
14:23:27	33 34	Now, on 12 May, the <u>same day, th</u> ere's an entry for Ms Gobbo
14:23:31 14:23:39	34 35	having appeared for and charging \$1200 that
14:23:39	35 36	day?Right.
14:23:45	37	ady: Right:
14:23:50	38	If we can go to p.303, please. You'll see an entry towards
14:24:01	39	the bottom, 15:13, update from O'Brien. "Update from DDI
	40	O'Brien", do you see that?Yes, I see that.
14:24:14	41	
14:24:14	42	It says,
14:24:18	43	and may approach the human
14:24:21	44	source, Ms Gobbo. He doesn't want to approach Dan Kowalski
14:24:26	45	as h <u>e doesn't tr</u> ust him. His
14:24:30	46	nor ??Right.
14:24:33	47	

14:24:33	1	Two minutes later Ms Gobbo is called by the handler and
14:24:36	2	advised in relation to that information and she will tell
14:24:41	3	the handler if she sees ended ?Right.
14:24:44	4	
14:24:45	5	That seems to indicate that you are using the SDU in
14:24:54	6	relation to Ms Gobbo p <u>otentially ac</u> ting on behalf of the
14:25:01	7	police with her client, is that right?I
14:25:08	8	don't - that's not my reading of it.
14:25:10	9	
14:25:10	10	Why would you be ringing the SDU with information about
14:25:14	11	that he might give assistance, causing the SDU two
14:25:18	12	minutes later to ring Ms Gobbo?Well, I don't know why
14:25:24	13	they called Ms Gobbo. I may have fed them information
14:25:27	14	about the intention with
14:25:35	15	
14:25:37	16	Can you explain why you would have called the SDU in
14:25:40	17	relation to that matter at that time?Now? No.
14:25:46	18	
14:25:49	19	It seems as though one ready inference from that, and the
14:25:57	20	fact that they called Ms Gobbo within two minutes is the
14:26:00	21	very purpose you rang the SDU for i <u>s to get a message</u>
14:26:05	22	through to Ms_Gobbo about what the
14:26:08	23	would be with what when a say, I don't recall what
14:26:14		would be with the second se
14:26:30		have no note of that.
14:26:33		
14:26:33		During that period of time I think your diary records from
14:26:37		14:53 that you're at the office, "Supervision and admin
14:26:42		duties, attend to correspondence and inquiries"?Yes.
14:26:45		
14:26:45	31	That's the case until 18:20?That's correct.
14:26:48		
14:26:49	33	Certainly this might be one of the inquiries or
14:26:52	34	correspondence that you've had during that period of
14:26:55	35	time?It might have been. As I say, I can't say.
14:26:58	36	
14:27:24	37	You're aware that Ms Gobbo also repr <u>esented som</u> eone by the
14:27:27		name of who was in fact 's
14:27:32	39	cousin?She may have.
14:27:34	40	
14:27:36	41	Do you know if he had some confiscation proceedings on foot
14:27:41	42	during this period of time?I know that we searched his
14:27:49		premises, I don't know whether there was confiscation
14:27:52	44	proceedings on foot at this time, I'd have to check.
14:27:56		Detective Sergeant Coghlan would know that.
14:27:58	46	
14:28:05		If we can bring up Mr White's diary, VPL.2000.0001.0925

14:28:23	1	please.
14:28:23	2	
14:28:23	3	COMMISSIONER: What date's this, please?
14:28:25	4	
14:28:26	5	MS TITTENSOR: 4 September, Commissioner. I've got a
14:29:36	6	different reference here which might be easier.
14:29:43	7	VPL.0100.0096.0362. We'll put the original one up there.
14:30:13	8	This is 4 September 2006, Mr O'Brien. You see that
14:30:22	9	Mr White is recording a meeting with you in relation to
14:30:28	10	, he's to be arrested in the near future. It's
14:30:31	11	expected that there will be media attention in relation to that?Yes.
14:30:35	12 13	that?fes.
14:30:36 14:30:49	13 14	He was in fact arrested following that, is that
14:30:49	14	right?I'll just check my diary.
14:30:30	16	right! i'r just check my urary.
14:31:01	17	Perhaps if we can go to the source management logs for 4
14:31:05	18	October 2006. It might be a bit hard to go right through
14:31:09	19	your diaries?He was arrested and I did some media in
14:31:18	20	relation to it, I remember that.
14:31:20	21	
14:31:20	22	Yes, I think you've got a paragraph in your statement in
14:31:22	23	relation to some media you did after his arrest, is that
14:31:26	24	right?Yes.
14:31:27	25	
14:31:36	26	Would you agree that the information Ms Gobbo had been
14:31:42	27	supplying t <u>o the SDU a</u> nd through them to Purana also
14:31:46		related to?Yes, some of it, but I mean I
14:31:53		don't think that was, that certainly wasn't everything. I
14:31:57		mean the proceeds team had been doing a lot of other
14:32:00	31	investigative work.
14:32:01	32	I'm not augaanting at this stage that she was the complete
14:32:01	33	I'm not suggesting at this stage that she was the complete and utter reason for his arrest?Yes.
14:32:04 14:32:07	34 35	
14:32:07	35 36	But she was providing information about him, would you
14:32:07	30 37	agree with that?Yes.
14:32:10		
	39	You would agree, would you not, she oughtn't have been
14:32:16	40	representing him in any way, she was completely conflicted
14:32:19	41	in relation to his representation?Yes.
14:32:21	42	
14:32:27	43	On 4 October you'll see there that there's a phone call
14:32:31		between, there had been a phone call with the handler,
14:32:36	45	Ms Gobbo was instructed not to act for second today
14:32:41	46	when he's arrested?Yes.
14:32:43	47	

14:32:48	1	If we can go to the ICRs at p.448, please. Is it the case
14:33:02	2	that the informant in this matter was Detective
14:33:06	3	Coghlan?Criminal proceeds, yes.
14:33:08	4	
14:33:08	5	He was aware of Ms Gobbo's role?Yes.
14 : 33 : 11	6	
14 : 33 : 20	7	Detective Coghlan reported to you, is that right?He
14 : 33 : 22	8	would have, yes.
14:33:23	9	
14:33:23	10	He would report any concerns that he had to you?He
14 : 33 : 26	11	would, yes.
14:33:27	12	
14 : 33 : 28	13	At 11:59, you see this is 4 October, Ms Gobbo's reporting
14:33:37		that she spoke to - she's reporting to the handler she
14 : 33 : 41	15	spoke to gain. He's very upset. One of his
14 : 33 : 45	16	employees had rung in a panic, <u>Ms Go</u> bbo believed that the
14:33:51	17	employee must be involved in the second se
	18	there's a note there that Coghlan of Purana was already
	19	aware of that?Yes.
14:34:00		The second s
14:34:02		It seems as though some of this information is being passed
14:34:06		on to Mr Coghlan about where evidence might be located in
14:34:09		relation to proceeds
14:34:13		generally.
14:34:14	25	Vac Ma Cabha waa aawing UVall this ganges wight
14:34:15		Yes, Ms Gobbo was saying, "Well, this person second might
14:34:21		have some information that might be relevant to the
14:34:24		investigation" and Coghlan is already saying he's already
14:34:28		aware of it seems?Yes.
14:34:31		At 12:24 there's a call from Ms Cobbe's reporting that
14:34:32 14:34:39		At 12:24 there's a call from, Ms Gobbo's reporting that Detective Coghlan rang. Gobbo spoke to
	32 33	given advice to Khoder?Right.
14:34:45 14:34:48		given auvice to knodelkight.
14:34:40		will be bailed and come and see her after and Gobbo
14:34:40		is the only person he trusts?Right.
14:34:52		ra the only person he trusta: Right.
14:34:55		Were any concerns reported to you by Detective Coghlan
14:35:00		about Ms Gobbo attending or providing Khoder with
	40	advice?No, I don't believe so.
14:35:00		
14:35:08		Is that because that situation appeared to have been quite
14:35:08		a normal thing to occur by then within the Purana Task
14:35:12		Force, Ms Gobbo was known to appear and provide advice to
14:35:21		people for whom she was completely and utterly
14:35:28		conflicted?No, I don't think that was the case at all.
14:35:31		He was the crew Sergeant doing that particular job and he
1		the the the of the congount at the thet purchased job and no

14:35:37	1	had carriage of it.
14:35:38	2	
14:35:38	3	14:12, underneath there you'll see, "Advised Gobbo that
14:35:44	4	Khoder will be taken to the Magistrates' Court for bail.
14:35:50	5	But the bail will be unopposed and on his own undertaking.
14:35:55	6	Gobbo wanted to go to court but she was not told not to.
14:35:59	7	Gobbo said that there might be problems if the magistrate
14:36:01	8	didn't want to grant bail because was already on
14:36:04	9	bail"?Right.
14:36:05	10	
14:36:07	11	Underneath that, at 14:30 Ms Gobbo is indicating she's
14:36:12	12	spoken to solicitor Tim Freeman who is the <u>solicitor</u> who
14:36:16	13	works for Tony Hargreaves. He was doing Mr bail
14:36:20	14	app but if there were problems with the magistrate Ms Gobbo
14:36:22	15	would go to court and she's told not to because there's
14:36:26	16	media attention?Right.
14:36:27	17	
14 : 36 : 31	18	If we look underneath that at 15:43 you'll see Ms Gobbo
14:36:37	19	reports that she went to court and she assisted Mr Freeman,
14:36:43	20	leaving before the hearing started and managed not to run
14:36:46		into any media and that she would talk to Mr later.
14:36:52		Do you see that?Yes, I see that.
14:36:54		
14:37:04		You say you were involved in some media on that day?Yes.
14:37:08		
14:37:10	26	Did you become aware of concern that Ms Gobbo might be seen
14:37:17		involving herself in those matters?No.
14:37:19		5
14:37:24		You're aware that Ms Gobbo continued to advise Mr
14:37:31		and appear in court for him on occasion in 2006 and
14:37:36		2007?No, I don't believe I did.
14:37:37		
14:37:48		If we can go to p.455 of the ICRs, please. You'll see
14:38:04	34	there's some discussion there in relation to Mr
14:38:08	35	She's
14:38:14	36	telling him that he could lose everything and he may go to
14:38:19	37	gaol and so forth. Do you see that?Yes, I see that.
14:38:22	38	
14:38:26	39	There's a list of people for whom Ms Gobbo
14:38:31	40	?Yes.
14:38:33	41	
14:38:34	42	Some that were
14:38:38	43	the police were
14:38:42	44	see that.
14:38:42	45	
14:38:43	46	Ms Gobbo is indicating above that the ways in which she was
14:38:50	47	to
11.00.00	••	

.09/09/19

14:38:53	1	about what's important,,, and
14:38:57	2	so forth?Yes.
14:38:58	3	
		Cha
14:39:00	4	Shein
14:39:04	5	but then she goes on but they will
14:39:09	6	Ms Gobbo the consequences of which
14:39:13	7	would for her. Do you see that?Yes.
	8	
14:39:17		On the standard Community data was this and
14 : 39 : 27	9	Go to p.642, please?Sorry, what date was this on?
14:39:33	10	
14:39:33	11	Sorry, that was 8 October 2006?Yes.
14:39:47	12	
		If you look at your diary on that day you've get on
14:39:56	13	If you look at your diary on that day you've got an
14:39:59	14	indication that you've been updated re
14:40:03	15	
14:40:03	16	And that's from Detective Kelly?Yes.
14:40:06	17	······································
		De vou know what that's about? No I don't
14:40:08	18	Do you know what that's about?No, I don't.
14:40:10	19	
14:40:17	20	But it would appear to be the case that if there are moves
14:40:20	21	afoot for or
14:40:24		something of the like that minimum for the second second to
14:40:27		Purana, you would be told about it?I may be notified
14:40:31	24	about it depending on what level it was at.
14:40:33	25	
14:40:34	26	This is someone that provides enough interest for you to
14:40:39		issue a media statement when he's arrested?I wanted to
14:40:44	28	send a message that we were interested in the assets and
14:40:47	29	criminal proceeds basically and making Victoria a hostile
14:40:52	30	place for organised crime to do business in.
14:41:00	31	
14:41:04	32	This page on the screen at the moment is 19 February 2007,
14:41:08	33	Mr O'Brien. Do you see there there's, under "Purana Task
14:41:19	34	Force - Jim Coghlan" Ms Gobbo is expressing some views
14:41:27	35	about the Detective having left things out of an
14:41:34	36	application for an injunction in relation to Khoder's
14:41:38	37	restraining order and that she had placed him in her black
14:41:44	38	book forever?Yes.
14:41:51	39	
14:41:52	40	Were you aware of whether there was some issue as between
14:41:56	41	Ms Gobbo and Detective Coghlan at any point?No.
	42	the could becover to boght an all any pointer that
14:42:01		lindependent that do you and there was surder the basiling
14:42:04	43	Underneath that do you see there was, under the heading
14:42:13	44	, "D <u>iscusse</u> d the statement that wa <u>s made</u> by
14:42:16	45	Gobbo has instructed how to
14:42:21	46	deal with
		"?Yes.
14:42:24	47	

14:42:25	1	
		"Gobbo has been involved in the second and the second of
14:42:25	2	
14:42:29	3	with "?Right.
14:42:32	4	
14:42:32	5	Who was?He was a Detective who worked on
14 : 42 : 38	6	Jim Coghlan's crew.
14 : 42 : 39	7	
14:42:39	8	It goes on, "Khoder has been getting 3838", Ms Gobbo, "To
14:42:46	9	sign false declarations re fines and Gobbo suggested that
14:42:51	10	should be charged with perjury regarding these fines
14:42:54	11	and that you were advised of these fines"?I don't
14:42:58	12	believe so. I mean, as I say, a lot of this is opinion
14:43:03	13	stuff from 3838.
14:43:05	14	
	15	It's very concerning, is it not, Ms Gobbo has been
14:43:10	16	representing - it's concerning for a number of reasons.
14:43:14	17	Ms Gobbo is representing
14 : 43 : 17	18	
14 : 43 : 18	19	She's involved in providing advice to a witness named
14:43:25	20	against land ?Right.
14:43:28	21	
14:43:28	22	She's instructed how to deal with
14:43:32	23	, and not only that she's been
14 : 43:35	24	involved in and of
14:43:39	25	with one of your investigators?Yes. As I say, it may be
14:43:45	26	something I was completely unaware of.
14:43:47	27	
14:43:48	28	If someone's getting involved in second second and
14:43:51		s like Ms Gobbo who's got
14:43:51	30	conflicts all over the shop, what does that say about how
	31	things were working in Purana?As I say, I don't believe
14:44:05	32	I was aware of this. Perhaps Mr Coghlan can shed some more
14:44:17		light on it.
14:44:17	34	
14:44:18	35	Perhaps . Were there instances to your knowledge
14:44:23	36	of Ms Gobbo being involved in and
14:44:27	37	? Is that something that was occurring within
14:44:30	38	Purana?I don't believe so.
14:44:32	39	
14:44:38	40	I took you to that other dot point that Mr
14:44:41	41	getting Ms Gobbo to sign false declarations re fines and
14:44:47	42	3838 suggests that should be charged with perjury
14:44:51	43	regarding that?Yes.
14:44:51	44	
14:44:51	45	And that you were advised in relation to that. Do you see
	45 46	that?Yes.
14:44:56		ulat:168.
14 : 44 : 57	47	

This is on 19 February 2007. If you have a look at your 1 14:45:00 statement for 20 February 2007, your statement at paragraph 14:45:07 **2** You indicate there at 251 about a call that you 251. 3 14:45:12 received at 8.10 pm on the night of 19 February 2007. 14:45:39 **4** Do you see that?---Yes, I do. 5 14:45:45 14:45:46 **6** That's from a handler in relation to Ms Gobbo?---Yes. 14:45:46 **7** 14:45:49 **8** And your diary records that the handler requested a meeting 14:45:50 **9** with Ms Gobbo on 20 February 2007 to brief her regarding an 14:45:55 **10** intended interview process re same re perjury and 14:45:59 **11** drugs?---Yes. 14:46:03 **12** 14:46:03 **13** Did that have anything to do with this conversation in 14:46:04 **14** 14:46:08 **15** relation to about perjury that very day?---I don't It may have. As I say, I don't have a recollection 14:46:13 **16** know. of it and I don't believe it followed up, I don't think 14:46:16 **17** there was anything that occurred on the 20th. 14:46:22 **18** 14:46:25 **19** 14:46:29 20 Is it or 14:46:32 **21** was the informant for 14:46:32 **22** ?---He was. I don't know whether he was the informer, as I say he was 14:46:37 **23** informant, he may have been or he may have been just one of 14:46:41 **24** 14:46:45 **25** the investigators. 14:46:46 26 14:46:46 **27** Would it surprise you that Ms Gobbo went on to represent 14:46:49 **28** at his committal?---If you say so. Mr 14:46:52 **29** And that between around about May 2006 and September 2007 14:46:53 **30** when the committal took place that Ms Gobbo had charged 14:47:00 **31** Mr bver \$16,000 in fees?---No, I was unaware of 14:47:05 **32** that. 14:47:09 **33** 14:47:09 **34** 14:47:31 **35** Mr O'Brien, I've asked you a number of questions previously and your involvement in relation to 14:47:34 **36** about speaking with him at various points in time, do you recall 14:47:40 **37** that? You went to the prison a number of times with 14:47:43 **38** Bateson in 2006?---Yes. 14:47:47 **39** 14:47:50 **40** This is at a time when was considering pleading 14:47:51 **41** 14:47:54 **42** guilty and making a statement?---Yes. 14:47:56 **43** Against, at that stage, Carl Williams and in relation to 14:47:57 **44** various other things including the Mokbels?---I think 14:48:02 **45** 14:48:06 **46** mainly about himself. 14:48:08 47

14:48:09	1	The statements that he was going to make were about various
14:48:14	2	murders that had taken place?That's my understanding,
14:48:17	3	yes.
14:48:17	4	
14:48:18	5	It was also to be a statement in relation to
14:48:22	6	involving ?It may have
14:48:26	7	been.
14:48:26	8	
14:48:31	9	His coming to make a statement was very significant in
14 : 48:35	10	terms of outcomes for Purana Task Force, is that right?I
14:48:41	11	don't know whether that's right.
14:48:44	12 13	Ultimately, following his making that statement, Carl
14:48:44 14:48:50	13	Williams decided he would plead guilty?Yes, he did
14:48:50 14:48:55	15	decide to plead guilty.
14:48:55	16	deerde to predd gurrty.
14:48:56	17	And with that came all sorts of accolades for the Purana
14:49:04	18	Task Force?I don't know if that was, any reason why
14:49:08	19	there was accolades for the Purana Task Force, I mean two
14:49:11	20	had been arrested, he'd been arrested already, there was a
14:49:14		third arrest.
14:49:15		
14:49:17	23	Are there not indications in your diary following the
14:49:20	24	pleading on resolution of the Carl Williams' matters of
14:49:25	25	congratulations from as high as the Premier, as well as the
14:49:29		Chief Commissioner and so forth?I think in Purana part 1
14 : 49 : 35		there may have been but I wasn't part of that.
14:49:38	28	
14:49:38		You were part of receiving the congratulations after Carl
14:49:43		Williams pleaded guilty?No, it was - I believe there was
14:49:47	31	two rounds. I mean I think the Government was very happy
14:49:50 14:49:55	32	in relation to Williams' issue, but what I was doing was completely separate to that and was the subject of
14:49:55		completery separate to that and was the subject of compliments by the Government.
14:50:00	35	comprimentes by the dovernmente.
14:50:01		made, as I indicated numerous statements, is that
14:50:05		right?I don't know. I didn't take them from him. I'd
14:50:10		imagine the statements would have been taken by Mr Bateson
14:50:15	39	or someone from his crew.
14:50:16	40	
14:50:16	41	I understand Mr Bateson coordinated the statement taking
14:50:19		process but there were numerous statements taken by
14:50:22		numerous informants over a period of time?Right.
14:50:26		
14:50:28		Primarily in mid-2006?Right.
14 : 50 : 32		
14:50:33	47	This is a Task Force that you're overseeing. You would

14:50:38	1	understand the importance of this witness having rolled and
14:50:41	2	made numerous statements, including about
	3	matters and sector merce , which you were very interested
14:50:44		
14:50:48	4	in?No, I think my memory of it is that section of work
14:50:53	5	was actually split off from what I was doing, it was taken
14:50:58	6	over by Inspector Ryan and Mr Bateson.
14:51:02	7	
14:51:02	8	You had no knowledge of Home making any st atement, any
		long statement in polotion to
14 : 51 : 07	9	long statement in relation to activities
14:51:10	10	by PI may have later on, I don't specifically
14:51:13	11	recall, but as I say I wasn't involved in dealing with
14:51:17	12	. It was primarily Mr Ryan and Mr Bateson.
14:51:21	13	
14:51:21	14	No doubt you've got some oversight. You're the one
	15	reporting to Deputy Commissioner Overland as to what's
14:51:24		
14 : 51 : 27	16	going on within Purana, so you've certainly got to have a
14 : 51 : 31	17	knowledge of what's going on yourself, don't you?In
14 : 51 : 33	18	broad terms I would have, but I'd imagine Mr Ryan would
14:51:36	19	have also been reporting up probably at the same time.
14:51:39	20	
14:51:41	21	You would have understood, wouldn't you, that
	~ ~	was someone the subject of at least one of those
14 : 51 : 47		5
14:51:50		statements, or actually more than one of those statements
14:51:53		by?I'm not 100 per cent sure I was aware of
14:52:00	25	that. As I say, he may well have been.
14:52:03	26	
14:52:04	27	That was convicted on the basis of the second s
14:52:08	28	evidence?All right.
14:52:00		ovradnoo. Arr righti
		For the munder of Dight
14:52:11		For the murder of PRight.
14:52:14	31	
14:52:14	32	And spent years in gaol?Yes.
14:52:16	33	
14:52:22	34	I just want to show you some transcript that we've just
14:52:27	35	received this morning, Mr O'Brien. This is a transcript,
14:52:31	36	Commissioner, from 4 August 2008. Yes, I think it can go
	37	on the screens.
14:52:42		on the screens.
14:52:43	38	
14:52:43	39	COMMISSIONER: 2006?
14:52:45	40	
14:52:46	41	MS TITTENSOR: Sorry, 2008.
14:52:48	42	
14:52:48	43	COMMISSIONER: 2008, thank you.
		controctonen. 2000, chaine you.
14:52:50	44	MC TITTENCOD. This is a funther mosting as between
14:52:51	45	MS TITTENSOR: This is a further meeting as between
14:52:56	46	Ms Gobbo and the handlers?Yes.
14 : 53 : 02	47	

In 2008. It seems as though - - -1 14:53:03 14:53:16 2 MR HOLT: Commissioner, this transcript has literally in 3 14:53:16 the last three or four minutes been produced to the 4 14:53:21 Commission by Kite Works. Can I give you the VPL reference 5 14:53:26 so it will be available on the transcript, 0100.0239.0001. 6 14:53:28 7 14:53:33 COMMISSIONER: Thanks Mr Holt. 8 14:53:34 14:53:40 9 Perhaps if we can just go to the first page MS TITTENSOR: 14:53:42 **10** This is just an indication, it's got the date of 14:53:45 **11** there. the conversation, 4 August 2008 there. We hadn't been 14:53:53 **12** 14:54:01 13 given yet the entirety of this conversation but if we go over the page we're at p.236. You'll see the third 14:54:05 14 14:54:12 **15** reference down there, there's a reference to the fact that 14:54:16 **16** they're discussing a sanitised version of something in relation to the Williams' brief, do you see that?---Right. 14:54:20 17 14:54:27 **18** yes. 14:54:27 **19** Having some discussion, there's references to names being 14:54:30 **20** blacked out down the bottom and then if we keep on going 14:54:33 **21** 14:54:36 22 you can have a look at the next page. There's just some general conversation going on there at 237. If we go over 14:54:42 **23** They're talking about a document halfway down the 14:54:47 24 to 238. page, or something that's never been served before and 14:54:57 **25** 14:55:02 **26** Green is saying he thought it came out in a bail 14:55:05 **27** application because he's got a copy of it?---Yes. 14:55:08 28 14:55:08 29 And then he said, or she seems to indicate, no, he says, "You read it" and Ms Gobbo says, "Well I gave it to you". 14:55:14 **30** Over the page, "Yeah, yeah". Ms Gobbo, "I'm sure I gave it 14:55:18 **31** Green, "Or we gave it to you to read and". And to vou". 14:55:22 **32** then Ms Gobbo says this, "I edited it, I went to Purana 14:55:27 **33** secretly one night and edited all his statements. 14:55:32 **34** Т 14:55:36 **35** corrected them but no one ever knows about that, that would never come out, even doesn't know I did 14:55:39 **36** 14:55:43 **37** that"?---Right. 14:55:44 **38** Ms Gobbo goes on, "He could never Green says, "M'hmm". 14:55:44 **39** reveal it because he doesn't know about it. And they were 14:55:48 **40** very good the way they did it because the Detective that I 14:55:52 **41** did it with is not a witness so it can never come out with 14:55:55 **42** the people just telling the truth". Green says, "Yeah." 14:55:58 **43** Ms Gobbo, "It was well thought out". Green, "Who thought that out?" Ms Gobbo, "I presume <u>Jim O'Brien or mavbe". I</u> 14:56:02 44 14:56:06 **45** assume she's <u>referring there to ^{Sar}</u> 14:56:11 **46** White did". 14:56:15 47 then says

14:56:21	1	something and refers to some discussions about that and
14:56:24	2	then goes on, "Anyway, give us a few days about that. Dale
14:56:28	3	wants to go and track down every copy of it and compare it
14:56:32	4	to the sanitised version to make sure or at least identify
14:56:33	5	if it's out" and they go on about whether the document was
14:56:38	6	concerning them. I just wanted to show you that piece of
14:56:44	7	transcript. I should probably continue on. Ms Gobbo,
14:56:54	8	"Well I think that it's, I just know there are people in it
14:56:59	9	who", and White says, "Can you just tell me, you've seen
14:57:04	10	it, did you get it from Alistair" and Ms Gobbo, "Yeah,
14:57:08	11	because Jacques' brief and the reason why that happened is
14 : 57 : 11	12	because Dale" White says, "You're convinced it is in
14:57:15	13	the original, a full version of the original?" Ms Gobbo,
14:57:19	14	"I've got the original"?Right.
14:57:21	15	
14:57:27	16	I just wanted to show you that piece of transcript,
14:57:32	17	Mr O'Brien. It mentions you?Yes.
	18	
14:57:34		What do you dow about your knowledge of a process like that
14 : 57 : 35	19	What do you say about your knowledge of a process like that
14 : 57 : 38	20	occurring at Purana?I have no knowledge of it
14:57:41		whatsoever.
14 : 57 : 42	22	
14 : 57 : 43	23	If that process occurred, that Ms Gobbo went to a secret
14:57:47	24	meeting, that it was arranged so that the meeting was with
14:57:52	25	an investigator who was not to be a witness so could not be
14:57:56		questioned about that arrangement, and that she's been
14:58:01		involved in the editing of statements, and this is all so
14:58:04	28	that nothing is ever known, nothing ever sees the light of
		day, as an experienced investigator what's your reaction to
14:58:09	29	
14:58:13	30	that having occurred?It shouldn't have occurred.
14:58:15	31	Shouldn't have occurred. When has this - allegedly this
14:58:18	32	conversation taken place?
14:58:19	33	
14:58:19	34	The conversation is occurring in August of 2008 but she's
14:58:22	35	referring to a process that took place in mid-2006 in
14:58:26	36	relation to statement taking?No, no
14:58:31		knowledge of it.
14:58:31		
14:58:33		What's your reaction to that, if that's what occurred?It
14:58:35		shouldn't have occurred.
14:58:39		Do you agree that that a subservery T arrest of the Table
14:58:39		Do you agree that that's outrageous?I agree it shouldn't
14:58:42	43	have occurred.
14:58:43	44	
14:58:44	45	Do you agree that that process is designed to pervert the
14:58:50	46	course of justice?I can't say that. You'd have to speak
14:58:53		to the people that were involved.

14:58:55	1	
	2	Hypothetically, say that that process occurred. We've put
14:58:56		
14:58:59	3	in an investigator, we've got someone secret coming in to
14:59:04	4	identify, or sorry, to edit bits and pieces of transcript,
14 : 59 : 08	5	no one's ever going to know about it, that these statements
14 : 59 : 13	6	have been altered in that way by that
14:59:18	7	person?Hypothetically if that's - given the hypothetical
14 : 59 : 22	8	facts you're giving me, yes, it's wrong. Clearly wrong.
14:59:26	9	But without knowing what the actual facts are and who was
14:59:30	10	involved in it, it's a bit hard to say what the reasoning
14:59:34	11	was behind it.
14:59:34	12	
14:59:35	13	You know it was Detective Bateson who was overseeing the
14:59:38	14	statement process or organising the statement process
14:59:41	15	through that period of time?Yes, he was one of the
14:59:45	16	people involved.
14:59:45	17	
	18	Did Detective Bateson discuss with you how he was going to
14:59:46		Did Detective Bateson discuss with you how he was going to
	19	oversee that statement taking process?No, he was an
14:59:53		experienced investigator.
14 : 59 : 54	21	
14 : 59 : 54	22	Did Detective Bateson tell you that he'd had Ms Gobbo in
14:59:58	23	secretly to look through all the statements to edit
15:00:01	24	them?Not to my knowledge at any stage.
15:00:03	25	
15:00:03	26	Do you know whether Detective Bateson was a witness or not
15:00:10	27	in any of the matters the subject of second second 's
15:00:15	28	statements?I'm not sure, I'd imagine he would have been.
15:00:20	29	He'd been on Purana since its inception is my belief.
15:00:24	30	
15:00:30	31	Would you agree it's an outrage if that occurred?It
15:00:34	32	shouldn't have occurred, as I've said. I agree with you,
15:00:37		it shouldn't have occurred on face value of what you've
	34	shown me but I don't know what else there is.
15:00:41 15:00:44	34	
		If you're a member of the SDU that's just beard that from
	36 27	If you're a member of the SDU that's just heard that from
15:00:49		Ms Gobbo, what's your obligation as a member of Victoria
15:00:54		Police?Well, you should have reported it up, done
15:00:57		something about it.
	40	
15:01:09		It would be quite apparent if that's occurred that there
15:01:12	42	may well have been already been trials that have miscarried
15:01:18	43	if they had already taken place?I don't know, I can't
15:01:22	44	say without knowing all the facts.
15:01:24	45	
15:01:24	46	Certainly you wouldn't want trials to continue that were
15:01:27		underway if you knew that was a possibility having taken
	-	, , ,

.09/09/19

15:01:31	1	place?Yes, if on the hypothetical examples you've given
	2	me, yes, but clearly I'm not in possession of all the
15:01:35		
15:01:38	3	facts.
15:01:38	4	The OOOC Three taken were through last week some DIT issues
15 : 01 : 42	5	In 2006 I've taken you through last week some PII issues
15:01:49	6	that were occurring in relation to the Milad Mokbel and
15:01:54	7	Carl Williams matters in around August of 2006 following
15:01:58	8	the taking of these statements, do you recall that?Yes,
15:02:02	9	you did, yeah.
15 : 02 : 02	10	
15:02:08	11	You've given some evidence that you, during the meetings
15:02:12	12	that you had with the DPP you certainly didn't raise any
15:02:16	13	issues associated with Ms Gobbo's involvement with
15:02:20	14	-I don't believe so.
15:02:21	15	
15:02:22	16	And you've given some evidence that you don't believe you
15:02:22	17	told any of the lawyers that were briefed about those
15:02:23	18	issues either?I don't believe so. As I say, they would
15:02:32	19	probably have a file note in relation to those meetings in
15:02:32		any event.
15:02:36		any event.
15:02:36		In August 2006 we've seen some material which indicates
		In August 2006 we've seen some material which indicates
15:02:40		that David Parsons SC and Brian Dennis had been briefed by
15:02:45		the police?Mr Parsons I believe yes, I don't recall the
15:02:48	25	other gentleman.
15:02:49	26	
15:02:49	27	I think we've read out some diary entries?All right.
15:02:52	28	
15:02:52	29	In relation to those two, and the solicitors Dianne Preston
15:02:56		and David Stevens, do you recall that?Yes, I do.
15:02:59		······································
15:02:59		I read out another entry or took you to another entry in
15:03:04	33	your diary on 18 September which referred to a meeting you
15:03:08	34	had with barrister Ron Gipp and solicitor Dianne Preston
15:03:12	35	about the statements of the statements of the statement o
15:03:12	36	
15:03:15	30 37	I'm not sure if I took you to this entry or not, there was
15:03:25	38	an entry on 27 September 2006 in your diary, perhaps I'll
	39	take you to it now. First of all, earlier that morning at
15:03:58	40	10.30, around about, you were at the prison with Detective
15:04:05	41	Trichias to speak to Experiment ?Yes.
15:04:09	42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15:04:09	43	And that's around the time that started started
15:04:13	44	suggesting the involvement of David Waters in the
15:04:19	45	Chartres-Abbott murder?Yes.
15:04:21	46	
15:04:22	47	He was at that stage just indicating a broad range of other
·	-	

.09/09/19

15:04:26	1	police names as potentially being involved?Yes.
15:04:28	2	
15:04:28	3	Not narrowing it down?No.
15:04:30	4	
15:04:34	5	At 14:40 you've got an entry in the middle of which you
15:04:44	6	indicate that you had a telephone call with Deputy
15 : 04 : 49	7	Commissioner Overland and you updated him in relation to
15:04:54	8	the PII issue re statements?Yes.
15:04:56	9	
15:05:02	10	It's apparent from material the Commission has, as well as
15:05:08	11	a reading of your diary, that at around that time Carl
15:05:12	12	Williams was being represented by Peter Faris, is that
15:05:14	13	right?That was my first involvement, was with Mr Faris,
15:05:18	14	yes.
15:05:18	15	
15:05:19	16	There were some moves around that time to see if the matter
15:05:23	17	might be resolved?Yes.
15:05:24	18	5
15:05:31	19	If you go to 15 November in your diary. 11.48 you and
15:05:54	20	Detective Trichias are at the prison and you speak with
15:05:59	21	Carl Williams, if only for a very short time, is that
15:06:03		right?Yes.
15:06:03		5
	24	The following day on 16 November at 10.15 you have a
15:06:15	25	conversation with Mr Faris?Yes.
15:06:18	26	
15:06:19	27	A without prejudice conversation in relation to a possible
15:06:22	28	plea by Carl Williams?Yes.
15:06:25	29	
15:06:28	30	You advised that you would speak to the OPP?That's
15:06:32	31	correct.
15:06:32	32	
15:06:34	33	And there was an indication that Williams could possibly
15:06:39	34	assist in relation to the Hodson murders?That's correct.
15:06:42	35	
15:06:49	36	At 11 o'clock you arrange a meeting with the OPP?Yes.
15:06:55	37	
15:06:56	38	And you speak to Sol Solomon in relation to the Hodson
15:07:00	39	matter?Yes.
15:07:01	40	
15:07:02	41	He had carriage of it, did he?He was working with
15:07:06	42	Charlie Bezzina on his crew.
15:07:08	43	
15:07:09	44	And you got Charlie Bezzina's mobile number and spoke to
	45	him about whether he had any issues if you followed it
	46	up?Yes.
15:07:16		

15:07:19	1	Obviously he didn't?What's that?
15:07:24	2	obviously ne drun the stratt
15:07:25	3	Obviously he had no issue with it?No, apparently not.
15:07:30	4	This is very early stages.
15:07:33	5	
15:07:37	6	And then later that day, 16:20 there's a meeting at the OPP
15:07:43	7	with Mr Horgan, Mr Coghlan and Mr Anscombe where those
15:07:48	8	issues about Williams are discussed?Yes, but I believe
15:07:53	9	it was going to be adjourned off until the Monday so
15:08:00	10	Mr Faris could get there, he was in New South Wales on
15:08:03		holidays.
15:08:03		The following day on the 17th there's on entry in your
15:08:11 15:08:17		The following day on the 17th, there's an entry in your diary of you attending at George Williams' house to speak
15:08:17		to him and that's in order to try and secure some
15:08:23		cooperation from Carl Williams?That's correct.
	17	
15:08:29	18	I think you were mentioning the other day you needed to get
15:08:32	19	to him through his father?Yes.
15:08:33	20	
15:08:35	21	Then on the 20th of November at 16:25 you're at Deputy
15:08:45		Commissioner Overland's office and have discussions with
15:08:47		him in relation to a number of matters?Yes.
15:08:57		
15:09:03		That indicates there's some discussion in relation to
15:09:12 15:09:16		informer handling issues re 3838?Yes.
15:09:16		Can you recall what they were at that stage, what issues
15:09:17		there were?No, I don't.
15:09:21		
15:09:24		You also discuss with him the approach to Carl Williams the
15:09:28	32	week before?That's correct.
15:09:29	33	
15:09:30	34	Then speaking to George Williams, Peter Faris, Paul
	35	Coghlan, Geoff Horgan re the same and so forth?Yes.
15:09:38		
15:09:43		Go to 27 November?Yes.
15:09:57		At 9.24 there's a meeting no Williams with Dates Faria
15:09:57 15:10:06	39 40	At 8.34 there's a meeting re Williams with Peter Faris, Mr Horgan, Mr Coghlan, Ms Anscombe and also Mr Ron
	40 41	Gipp?Yes.
	42	0.pp. 100.
15:10:15		And there's discussion about the sentence that the
15:10:21		prosecution would submit was an appropriate sentence if he
15:10:24		pleaded guilty in relation to all matters as opposed to
15:10:28	46	what it might be if he pleaded guilty and
15:10:32	47	assisted?That's correct.

15:10:32	1	
15 : 10 : 32	2	Do you know what Mr Gipp was doing there at that
15:10:35	3	meeting?No.
15:10:36	4	
15:10:36	5	He was someone that had previously been briefed in relation
15 : 10 : 39	6	to PII issues?He may have been, yes.
15 : 10 : 41	7	
15 : 10 : 42	8	And as far as you're aware he had no idea about Ms Gobbo's
15 : 10 : 47	9	involvement?Not to my knowledge.
15 : 10 : 48	10	If you look in your diamy themals on entry, thind from the
	11	If you look in your diary there's an entry, third from the
	12	last entry on that day, 19:39, you've spoken to Mr Faris
15 : 11 : 17		again in relation to Williams. It might have been an entry
	14	that's sort of been added. You see the 20:30, it takes up
	15	half the line above that?Yes, that's right, 19:39.
	16	If we go to the 20th of 10,52. You've got a contact with
15:11:57		If we go to the 28th at 18:53. You've got a contact with
15:12:07		George Williams in relation to possible, a visit to Carl
	19	Williams with his wife?Yes.
15:12:15		And you appaking to Shana Kally at Corrections? That's
15:12:16		And you speaking to Shane Kelly at Corrections?That's
15:12:20		right.
15:12:20		Is it the ease that you were trying to facilitate come
15:12:21		Is it the case that you were trying to facilitate some contact visits for George Williams and his wife with their
15:12:25		son?Yes.
15:12:29 15:12:31		son?tes.
15:12:31		The following day you've got another meeting with the DPP
15:12:35		at 8.30 in the morning with Coghlan, Horgan, Tinney,
15:12:43 15:12:47		Anscombe, with Detective Ryan in relation to the Williams
15:12:47		issue?That's correct.
15:12:52 15:12:53		
15:12:53		Again there was discussion about possible sentencing
15:12:55		ranges?Yes.
15:12:50	35	
15:12:57	36	Depending on assistance or not?That's correct.
	37	beponding on decretance of net. That a confect
	38	On 30 November 2006 at 11.37 you've got an entry in
	39	relation to George Williams visiting his son. Later that
	40	day you've got an entry in relation to getting some
	41	warrants in relation to the offices of Ms Gobbo and David
	42	Grace's chambers, is that right?That's correct, yes.
15:13:38	43	, , , , .
	44	Does that relate to an allegation made by
15:13:42	45	relation to a potential attempted perjury?It is, yes.
15 : 13 : 46	46	
15 : 13 : 47	47	And he indicated that Ms Gobbo and Mr Grace had made notes

at a particular conference that was relevant to those 1 15:13:54 assertions, those allegations?---Yes, something along those 15:13:57 **2** lines, something along those lines, and I think it was to 3 15:14:04 the benefit of Tony Mokbel from memory. 15:14:06 **4** 15:14:08 **5** So Tony Mokbel wanted **Hereinsen** to make some sort of false 15:14:09 6 15:14:14 **7** statement?---Yes. 15:14:14 **8** That assisted him?---Yes. 15:14:15 **9** 15:14:16 **10** And that gave this account and indicated that 15:14:16 **11** Ms Gobbo and Mr Grace had both made some notes at that 15:14:19 **12** conference?---Something like that, yes. 15:14:25 **13** 15:14:27 **14** 15:14:29 **15** You and Flynn and Hatt attended at Ms Gobbo's chambers in 15:14:33 **16** relation to executing a warrant for those notes?---I don't believe, I don't believe I attended there for that. 15:14:39 **17** Ι might be wrong but I've no memory of that. 15:14:49 **18** 15:14:52 **19** Your diary entry at 16:00, there's references to warrants 15:14:53 **20** re legal offices of Gobbo and Grace?---Yes. 15:14:59 **21** 15:15:04 **22** 15:15:06 **23** Sorry, it may not be that that's where they're executed. It has, "Conditional on legal officer being 15**:**15**:**10 **24** present"?---Yes. 15:15:13 **25** 15:15:14 **26** 15:15:15 **27** Is that something that was imposed on the warrant or a condition of the warrant made by the court that issued the 15:15:20 **28** 15:15:25 **29** warrant?---Look, I'm unsure at this point in time and I believe there was only one warrant, I don't think there 15:15:30 **30** was, I don't think they were on two premises, I might be 15:15:34 **31** 15:15:38 **32** wrong. 15:15:38 **33** 15:15:38 **34** It seems here you are recording one for Ms Gobbo's chambers?---I am. 35 36 And one for Mr Grace's?---I am but I'm not sure. 15:15:44 **37** 15:15:48 **38** You would understand the condition about a legal officer 15:15:51 **39** being present would be because care needed to be taken in 15:15:53 **40** relation to any privilege issues that might be associated 15:15:56 **41** with entering a lawyer's office and taking away 15:15:59 **42** 15:16:01 **43** notes?---Yes, generally I think - in a general sense my experience prior to this was that whatever's taken is 15:16:05 **44** sealed and taken before a court I think. 15:16:10 **45** 15:16:13 **46** 15:16:15 **47** It's an example there of a warrant in relation to notes or

.09/09/19

information that's going to be received from a lawyer 15:16:22 **1** subject to confidence obligations, real care needs to be 15:16:27 **2** taken such that a legal officer needs to be present to 3 15:16:32 15:16:35 **4** oversee the whole thing?---Look, as I say, I don't know why 15:16:38 **5** that was in there. Whether that was stipulated by the 15:16:41 **6** issuing - - -15:16:42 **7** 15:16:42 **8** Do you see the point I'm trying to get at?---Yes, I know the point you're trying to make. I'm trying to say now 14 15:16:46 **9** years ago I don't know why that was stipulated and who 15:16:50 10 stipulated it, but the warrant would no doubt be available 15:16:53 **11** 15:16:55 **12** again, there'd be a record. 15:16:55 **13** 15:16:55 **14** I'm trying to make a broader point about all the contact 15:17:00 **15** and the information that's being received over a number of years from Ms Gobbo relating to clients and there being 15:17:03 **16** absolutely no care whatsoever taken about the quality of 15:17:08 **17** the information and the use of the information that's being 15:17:12 **18** taken from her?---Well, as I said, the information - I 15:17:16 19 didn't turn my mind to those things, I was focusing on the 15:17:21 **20** 15:17:24 **21** investigation process and the information being provided in 15:17:28 **22** relation to that. 15:17:30 **23** You've got one simple instance here where it appears from 15:17:30 **24** your own notes that the courts issuing warrants with a 15:17:33 **25** condition that a legal officer has to be present?---Yes, I 15:17:37 26 15:17:40 **27** don't know who put that stipulation on there. As I say, I mean I've - - -15:17:44 **28** 15:17:46 **29** 15:17:46 **30** One assumes it was the court, it was the magistrate that 15:17:49 **31** was prepared to issue the warrant in those circumstances?---Well, I don't know. 15:17:52 **32** 15:17:54 **33** 15:17:54 **34** Did it not occur to you or should it not have occurred to 15:18:00 35 you, "If we need to be this careful in relation to this one instance, what are we doing with Ms Gobbo"?---No, I didn't 15:18:05 **36** make that link. 15:18:08 **37** 15:18:09 **38** On 1 December - - -15:18:16 **39** 15:18:17 40 COMMISSIONER: We might take the midafternoon break. 15:18:18 **41** 15:18:20 **42** MS TITTENSOR: 15:18:20 **43** Certainly. 15:18:21 44 15:18:48 **45** (Short adjournment.) 46 COMMISSIONER: Yes Ms Tittensor. 15:36:08 47

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

1 15:36:09 MS TITTENSOR: 15:36:09 2 Thank you, Commissioner. 3 Were you wanting to tender that audio 4 COMMISSIONER: 15:36:11 transcript? 15:36:14 **5** 6 15:36:14 MS TITTENSOR: Yes, I will tender that transcript. 7 15:36:15 8 15:36:16 9 COMMISSIONER: The audio transcript of 4 August 2008 between Ms Gobbo and various of the SDU handlers. 15:36:22 **10** 15:36:26 **11** #EXHIBIT RC480A - (Confidential) Audio transcript of 15:36:26 **12** 4/08/08 between Ms Gobbo and various of 15:36:18 **13** the SDU handlers. 15:36:24 **14** 15:36:29 **15** 15:36:30 **16** #EXHIBIT RC480B - (Redacted version.) 15:36:34 **17** MS TITTENSOR: Thank you, Commissioner. If I can take you 15:36:34 **18** to your diary, Mr O'Brien, on 1 December 2006?---Yes. 15:36:37 **19** 20 At 10 am you were at a meeting in the Chief Commissioner's 15:36:46 **21** 15:36:52 **22** office?---That's correct. 23 15:36:53 **24** You briefed the Chief Commissioner in relation to Williams?---Yes. 15:36:58 **25** 26 15:37:01 **27** I'm just trying to see if there was anyone else at the meeting with you. Deputy Commissioner Overland was with 15:37:07 **28** you according, I assume, to the DC in the entry 15:37:11 **29** above?---That's correct. 15:37:19 **30** 31 There was a briefing of the Chief Commissioner and 15:37:19 **32** Overland, if he wasn't already aware, by you in relation to 15:37:23 **33** 15:37:27 **34** Williams?---Yes. 35 The approach to the same in relation to a plea of guilty -15:37:30 **36** - - ?---Sorry, yes, so prior knowledge was at 13:55 on the 15:37:37 **37** 15:37:43 **38** day before, Thursday the 30th. 39 Sorry, what was that, Mr O'Brien?---"To DC's office. Brief 15:37:46 **40** re same re current ops and Operation Clonk along with 15:37:51 **41** Detective Superintendent Collins. Brief re ethical issues 15:37:56 **42** 15:38:01 **43** re Operation Clonk, murder inquiry." 44 15:38:04 **45** Do you recall what Operation Clonk was?---46 15:38:09 **47** COMMISSIONER: Who do you spell that, please?

15:38:13	1	
15:38:13	2	MS TITTENSOR: C-l-o-n-k, Clonk, Commissioner. That was
15:38:18	3	ethical issues re Operation Clonk, murder inquiry and that
15:38:22	4	was relating to Example and the ethical issues
15 : 38 : 26	5	specifically being that he was asserting involving police
15 : 38 : 31	6	or former police members; is that right?Yes.
	7	
15 : 38 : 37	8	Matters such as those, as one would understand, were of
15 : 38:43	9	some concern and significance to Victoria
15 : 38:46	10	Police?Certainly.
	11	
15:38:55	12	So there'd been a briefing in relation to the Deputy
15:38:59	13	Commissioner of those matters the day before. Then on 1
15:39:02	14	December at 10 am you were with the Deputy Commissioner and
15 : 39:08	15	briefing the Chief Commissioner in relation to
15:39:13	16	Williams?That's correct.
	17	
15 : 39 : 15	18	That Williams had been approached in relation to a plea of
15:39:21	19	guilty, that there'd been meetings with the defence and
15:39:24	20	OPP?Yes.
	21	
15:39:24	22	And she was briefed in relation to Operation Clonk, ethical
15:39:28	23	issues?Yes.
	24	
15:39:32	25	And fully briefed re police and ex police
15:39:37	26	involvement?Yes.
	27	
15 : 39 : 37	28	And there's an agreement at that stage not to advise ESD or
15:39:41	29	OPI because of ongoing intelligence?Yes.
	30	
15 : 39:47	31	Sorry, an ongoing intelligence probe and substantially it's
15:39:52	32	regarded as a Purana organised crime matter?Murder
15 : 39 : 57	33	investigation.
	34	
15:39:57	35	Murder investigation, yes. In terms of ethical issues and
15 : 40 : 07	36	police involvement in crime, there are two major events
15 : 40 : 13	37	around this time. One is Operation Clonk, that's the
15 : 40 : 17	38	Chartres-Abbott murder with potentially the involvement of
15:40:21	39	police?Yes.
	40	
15:40:22	41	And the Hodson murders with potentially the involvement of
15:40:26	42	police there?Yes.
	43	
15 : 40 : 32	44	Was the Chief Commissioner advised of any issues associated
15:40:36	45	with the use of Nicola Gobbo by police?Not that I'm
15:40:42	46	aware of.
13.40.42		
13.40.42	47	

15 : 40 : 47	1	The PII claims that were - if PII claims were made and not
15:40:57	2	upheld they might have the effect of revealing her
	3	role?Yes.
15:41:02		1016?165.
	4	
15:41:03	5	Was she notified in relation to that?Not by me but she
15:41:09	6	may well have by others, I don't know.
13:41:09		may were have by others, I don't know.
	7	
15 : 41 : 12	8	Do you know whether the Chief Commissioner was aware that
15:41:15	9	Ms Gobbo was an informer or not?Not that I'm aware of.
10.41.10	10	
15:41:24	11	Is it the case that the knowledge of that matter stopped at
15:41:28	12	the Deputy Commissioner level?I believe so.
	13	
		What lad you to believe that?
15:41:31		What led you to believe that?Well he was the only one at
15 : 41 : 36	15	that level that I believe was aware of it. I hadn't had
15:41:39	16	any discussions with anybody higher than him and the next
	17	
		one higher was the Chief Commissioner and I didn't get to
15 : 41 : 47	18	speak to her all that often.
	19	
15:41:51		What do you know in relation to Deputy Commissioner
		, , ,
15:41:55	21	Overland's communications with her about such matters?I
15:41:59	22	don't.
	23	
15 10 00		You have no idea?No.
15:42:00		
	25	
15:42:12	26	On 7 December 2006, if you can turn to your diary on that
15:42:20		date, please, at 14:35?Yes.
13:42:20		
	28	
15:42:27	29	You and Detective Flynn attend at the OPP?Yes.
	30	
15:42:31		And have a meeting with Mr Horgan in relation to
15 : 42 : 36	32	s statements?Yes.
	33	
15:42:39		And there's a reference there also to speaking to Colleen
15 : 42 : 45	35	Bell, a solicitor. Is that in relation to what would be
15:42:51	36	the Milad Mokbel committal?Mokbel committal. I assume
15:42:55	37	she's a solicitor or a preparation clerk.
	38	
		Voo thoused hoop. I think that would push shall be the endu
15:43:01	39	Yes, there'd been - I think that would probably be the only
15:43:06	40	Mokbel committal outstanding at that stage, would that be
15:43:09	41	right?Possibly, yes.
10.10.00	42	
		The world have no fear and the theory is the state of the interview of the state of
15 : 43 : 11	43	There'd been reference to there being potentially a bail
15:43:14	44	application back in August of 2006?Right.
	45	
		lator that day at 16,20 you attand on Ma Cabbala
15:43:20		Later that day, at 16:20, you attend on Ms Gobbo's
15 : 43 : 27	47	chambers?Yes.
10:45:27	17	

	1	
15:43:29	2 3	With Detective Flynn?Yes.
15 : 43 : 31	3 4 5	And her with ?Yes.
15:43:39	6	Is that in relation to those allegations that we were
15:43:42	7	discussing earlier about control potentially making a
15:43:48	8	statement about Tony Mokbel?Possibly, I'm not 100 per
15:43:51	9	cent sure.
	10	
15:43:52	11	<u>Do v</u> ou know who was dealing with those matters
15:43:56	12	No <u>, unless it was th</u> e counsel assisting w <u>hich was</u> , I
15:44:04	13	believe, who was the general
15:44:09	14	there.
	15	
15:44:10	16	Was he someone who liaised with P <u>urana in r</u> elation to
15:44:14	17	matters of interest at Purana? , yes.
	18	
15:44:18	19	Would he have been made aware of Ms Gobbo's status?I
15:44:22	20	don't believe so.
	21	
15:44:27	22	We know there was some discussion in relation to her
15:44:30	23	summons to the OPI, there was concern about how that would
15:44:33	24	be dealt with. Generally at those compulsory hearings
15:44:38	25	there are questions asked as to who knows what's going
15:44:41	~~	on?Right.
	27	
15:44:42	28	Do you know how t <u>hose types of</u> concerns were dealt with in
15:44:46		relation to this?No, I don't.
	30	
15:44:57	31	If you go to 20 December 2006, 8.50 am please?Yes.
	32	
15:45:15	33	Is it the case that you attend at Flagstaff Chambers to
15:45:20	34	speak to a barrister and at that stage you're waiting on
15:45:24	35	the arrival of the VGSO solicitor Dianne Preston?Yes.
	36	
15:45:30	37	You then have a conference with Brian Walters SC and
15:45:37	38	Preston in relation to PII issues in relation to the
15:45:40	39	statements of ?Yes.
	40	
15:45:44	41	That presumably relates to the Carl Williams matter?I
15:45:49		presume so, yes.
	43	
15:45:50		Do we take it that Mr Walters wasn't told about any issues
15:45:56		of concern relating to Ms Gobbo's role with and
15:45:59		-I don't believe so.
	47	

He wasn't told about her role as a human source?---I don't 1 15:46:01 believe so. 15:46:04 **2** 3 And that we see there at 9.40 that you clear to the 15:46:06 **4** Melbourne Supreme Court in relation to a mention of Carl 15:46:11 **5** Williams?---Yes. 15:46:14 **6** 7 15:46:16 **8** We take it that the court wasn't informed of those matters 15:46:19 **9** either?---I don't believe so. 10 Following that you have a discussion with the OPP 15:46:26 **11** prosecutor Mr Horgan in relation to organising contact 15:46:29 **12** 15:46:33 **13** visits for Carl Williams with his parents?---Yes. 14 15:46:38 **15** Again, that's with a view to facilitating some 15:46:41 **16** cooperation?---That's correct. 17 If you can go to 8 January please at 13:21?---Yes. 15:46:53 **18** 19 15:47:12 **20** There's reference there of you speaking to Detective Acting 15:47:16 **21** Sergeant Hatt re Williams' statements?---Yes. 22 15:47:21 **23** Do you know what that's about?---No, I don't. 24 15:47:29 **25** Did Detective Acting Sergeant Hatt have any role subsequently in relation to taking statements from 15:47:32 **26** 15:47:36 **27** Williams?---He may have had. I'm not - I'm just now trying 15:47:43 **28** to work out what the sequence was. 29 Or alternatively, might that be referring back to 15:47:54 **30** statements in relation to the trial of Williams which were 15:47:59 **31** ?---As I say, I can't be sure now made by 15:48:02 **32** what it was about. But it may have been relating back to 15:48:10 **33** , I'm not sure. 15:48:14 **34** 35 15:48:15 **36** Do you know if Detective Hatt had a role with 15:48:22 **37** ?---I'm not sure. I'm not sure. As I say, I 15:48:28 **38** think the Task Force was split up and Gavan Ryan took over the running of one part of it which was around that 15:48:33 **39** side of things, and he would have taken the supervision of 15:48:36 **40** a number of members. Hatt may have been one of those. 15:48:41 **41** 42 15:48:46 **43** It seems as though you're having a conversation with Detective Hatt at this stage in relation to something to do 15:48:49 **44** with statements relating to Williams?---Yes. 15:48:51 **45** 46 If you have a look at your diary on 11 January, 15:48:56 **47**

.09/09/19

15 : 49:03	1	16:00?Yes.
15:49:10	2 3	The last line in relation to that matter indicates that
15:49:13	4	you're doing some brief checking re Williams and
15:49:17	5	Mokbel?Yes.
	6	
15:49:18	7	What would that involve?Just reading the brief I'd
15:49:22	8	imagine.
	9	
15:49:29	10	Reading the statements that go with the briefs?Yes.
	11	
15:49:33	12	And the major statement in relation to Williams would have
15:49:36	13	been, or one of the major statements in relation to
15:49:38	14 15	Williams would have been?Could have been.
15:49:42	16	And one of the major statements in relation to Mokbel would
15:49:42	17	have been and and ?As I say, quite possibly.
10.49.40	18	
15:49:59	19	If we can go to 29 January, please?Yes.
	20	
15:50:10	21	At 12:50 is it the case that you provide an update to
15:50:21	22	Deputy Commissioner Overland re Williams' trial
15:50:28		issues?That's correct.
	24	
15:50:31		Can you say now what those trial issues were at the
	26	time?Look, I'm not sure. I think a lot of what was
15:50:41 15:50:48	27 28	going on with Carl Williams, it was on and off again. One minute he was willing to assist and the next minute he
	29	wasn't. He was trying to manipulate the system for his own
15:50:53		benefit and, you know, as far as what discount he was going
15:50:57		to get, there was others in the organisation that didn't
15:51:01		see - or some people didn't see the value in him that I saw
15:51:07		see - of some people utur i see the value in film that I saw
	33	the potential for.
	33 34	the potential for.
15:51:08		the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson
15:51:08 15:51:11	34 35 36	the potential for.
15:51:11	34 35 36 37	the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct.
15:51:11 15:51:17	34 35 36 37 38	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan</pre>
15:51:11	34 35 36 37 38 39	the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct.
15:51:11 15:51:17 15:51:23	34 35 36 37 38 39 40	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan providing an update in relation to the providing an update in relation to the providing and t</pre>
15:51:11 15:51:17 15:51:23 15:51:27	34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan providing an update in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was Is that in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was</pre>
15:51:11 15:51:17 15:51:23 15:51:27 15:51:31	34 35 36 37 38 39 40	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan providing an update in relation to the providing an update in relation to the providing and t</pre>
15:51:11 15:51:17 15:51:23 15:51:27 15:51:31	34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan providing an update in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was Is that in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was</pre>
15:51:11 15:51:17 15:51:23 15:51:27 15:51:31 15:51:37	34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan providing an update in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was Is that in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was</pre>
15:51:11 15:51:17 15:51:23 15:51:27 15:51:31 15:51:37	34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan providing an update in relation to the form ?Yes. Is that in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was summoned about?I'm not sure. There was a number of Then underneath that you receive a telephone call from Detective Bateson, you get an update in relation to the</pre>
15:51:11 15:51:17 15:51:23 15:51:27 15:51:31 15:51:37 15:51:37	34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	<pre>the potential for. That potential was the assistance in relation to the Hodson matters?That's correct. At 13:37 there's a reference there to Detective Jim Coghlan providing an update in relation to the matters that Ms Gobbo was summoned about?I'm not sure. There was a number of Then underneath that you receive a telephone call from</pre>

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

15:51:49	1	2007?Yes.
15 51 51	2 3	You note the defence barrister is now Ross QC, that's David
15:51:51 15:51:55	3 4	Ross QC; is that right?Yes.
10.01.00	5	
15:51:57	6	And then you call Deputy Commissioner Overland and you give
15:52:01	7	him that information?Yes, I did.
	8	
15:52:09	9	It seems as though there's been a change in personnel at
15:52:12	10 11	that point in time?Yeah, how do you say that?
15:52:16	12	It seems as though from that point in time or at some stage
15:52:21		approximating that point in time David Ross came to be
15:52:24	14	representing Carl Williams?Oh right, sorry.
	15	
15:52:27	16 17	Not Peter Faris?Sorry.
15:52:29	18	That's what I meant, sorry?Sorry, sorry.
10.02.29	19	mae o mae i moane, corry. corry, corry.
15:52:36	20	If we can go to 5 February please, at 15:07?Yes.
	21	
15:52:57		You speak to Senior Crown Prosecutor Geoff Horgan in relation to Carl Williams?Yes.
15:53:03	23 24	relation to carr writtams?fes.
15:53:04		Is it the case that Carl Williams asked to speak to you re
15:53:08	26	the Hodson murders?Asked to speak to me re the Hodson
15:53:14		murders, yes.
15:53:15	28	And an approach no a passible place via barristar Can
15:53:15		And an approach re a possible plea via barrister Con Heliotis?Yes.
10.00.20	31	
15:53:22	32	Do you know how you came to be having that conversation,
15:53:25		how that information came through?No idea.
16.60.00	34 35	The following day at 13:35, this is 6 February, you're
15:53:33 15:53:40	36	having a conversation with George Williams in relation to a
15:53:44		plea of guilty by Carl Williams?Yes.
	38	
15:53:49		"Stated wanted to talk to me"?Yes.
15:53:54	40 41	What is that, "wants an additional"?Five to six
	41	telephone calls for 7 February 2006.
	43	
15:54:00	44	Is that the case, wanted some privileges?He wanted some
15:54:04		calls, yes. I think they're only allowed a certain amount
15:54:09	46 47	of calls per day.
	47	

15 : 54 : 12	1	The following day, 7 February, 13:44 - sorry, the following
15:54:21	2	day you went out to the prison with Detective
15 : 54 : 25	3 4	Trichias?Yes.
15 : 54 : 27	5 6	There's a draft statement taken from Example 1 ?Yes.
15 : 54 : 32	0 7	Then you speak to Carl Williams?Yes.
	8	
15 : 54 : 37	9 10	Re the Paul Dale/Hodson issues?Yes.
15 : 54 : 40	11 12	And the conversation is taped?Yes.
15:54:44	13	Do you know if there's any reference to Ms Gobbo and her
	14	association with Paul Dale at all during that
	15 16	conversation?Not that I'm aware.
15:54:56	17	Do you know where that tape is?Which tape? This tape
	18	from this conversation?
	19	
15:55:00	20	This tape of this conversation?I'd say it's either at
15 : 55:03	21	Purana or Mr Trichias might have an idea where it is. As I
15 : 55 : 10	22	say, generally those sorts of tapes were kept and put on to
15:55:15	23 24	CD and filed.
15:55:18		Was that, can you recall, transcribed for future court
15:55:28	26	hearings?I'm not sure. I see later in the day I've had
15:55:32	27	a meeting with a number of detectives who were going to
15:55:35	28	take over that investigation, progress it.
	29	
15 : 55 : 40	30	Who was to take over that investigation?Detective
15 : 55 : 43	31	Sergeant Trichias, Detective Sergeant Butterfield, Senior
15:55:48	32	Detective Cuxson, Senior Detective Hunt, Senior Detective
15:55:54	33 34	Schultz.
15:55:55	35	They were involved in the Clonk matter, that's the
15:55:58	36 37	matter; is that right?Yes.
15:56:00	38	The Paul Dale/Hodson matter was different?Yes, that went
15:56:04		off to
13.30.04	40	
15:56:05	41 42	That's Petra?Another Task Force, yes.
15:56:11	43	So the conversation you've had with Carl Williams, that
15:56:14	44	related to Petra?Would have done, yes.
10.00.14	45	
15:56:17	46	What became Petra, not Clonk?That's correct.
	47	

15:56:22	1	You have some conversations in relation to Williams with
	2	Corrections later on and then also with George
15:56:25		•
15:56:29	3	Williams?Yes.
	4	
		The following day on 8 Echnyany at 10,02 you attend on
15:56:35	5	The following day on 8 February at 10.02 you attend on
15:56:40	6	Detective Superintendent Biggin's office and you give him
15:56:43	7	an update in relation to what - Operation Clonk and Dale
15:56:46	8	issues?Yes.
	9	
15:56:47	10	And discuss investigative options?Yes.
13:30:47		And discuss investigative options: res.
	11	
15:56:50	12	Is this the sort of starting of what's to be the Petra Task
15 56 56	13	Force?Yes.
15:56:56		
	14	
15:56:56	15	Or the inception of what is to become the Petra Task
		Force?Yes.
15:57:00	16	FOICE? Tes.
	17	
15:57:13	18	I just want to - if we can bring up the ICRs, p.637 please.
15:57:31		This is on 14 February 2007, Mr O'Brien?Yes.
	20	
15:57:38	21	You see the third heading down relates to an application
		•
15:57:42		for appointment as a magistrate by Ms Gobbo. Ms Gobbo
15:57:51	23	indicates that she's going to use yourself and Gavan Ryan
15:57:53	24	as references in an application to be a magistrate, do you
15:57:58	25	see that?Yes, I see it.
	26	
15:58:00	27	She'll also use someone else who's a QC. She says she
		•
15:58:05	28	hasn't told either of you but she's listed you in the form.
15:58:09	29	Now did you become aware of that at all?No, I didn't.
	30	
		At that point would you have considered Ma Cable of compare
15:58:13	31	At that point would you have considered Ms Gobbo as someone
15:58:17	32	suitable to take on judicial office?No.
	33	-
		Ware you even contented to provide a peterson for
15:58:24		Were you ever contacted to provide a reference for
15:58:27	35	Ms Gobbo?No.
	36	
		What would you have done if you were contected? I would
	37	What would you have done if you were contacted?I would
15:58:33	38	have politely declined, or perhaps not so politely.
	39	
		If you had understand they at that and are a sector of the state of the
15 : 58 : 40	40	If you had understood though that serious consideration was
15:58:44	41	being given to appoint someone like Ms Gobbo, knowing what
15:58:52		you knew, what would you have done?It's hard to say. It
15:58:56	43	never eventuated. I'm sure I would have made my thoughts
15:59:00	44	known.
TO.00.00		
10.00.00		
	45	To whom would have you made them knows? Destably server
15:59:01	45 46	To whom would have you made them known?Probably someone
	45 46	To whom would have you made them known?Probably someone in the OPP more than likely.

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

	1	Nould you have made them known if it involved having to out
15:59:11 15:59:14	2 3	Would you have made them known if it involved having to out her as a human source?No.
15:59:17 15:59:19 15:59:24 15:59:29	4 5 6 7 8	This is one of the reasons that she would be completely unsuited to judicial office?I wouldn't have - no, I haven't have gone to that point. I don't think there was a need to do that.
	9 10 11 12 13	Would you consider the fact that she was a lawyer acting as a police agent would make her unsuitable for judicial office?Hard to say. It's something I never considered. It was the first I've heard of this.
15:59:54 16:00:05	14 15 16 17	If I can take you to 16 February in your diary please?Yes.
16:00:06 16:00:11	18 19 20	At 15:37 you've spoken to George Williams in relation to a prison visit, a contact visit with Carl Williams?Yes.
16:00:17		If he's interested in taking matters further?Yes.
16:00:22 16:00:34 16:00:40	23 24	And then on 19 February 2007 at 16:37 you speak with Mr Horgan at the OPP in relation to charging Carl Williams with the murder of the second in a few days' time?Yes.
16:00:53 16:00:58 16:01:10 16:01:16 16:01:19	27 28 29 30 31	Was that charging of Carl Williams for that murder on the basis of a statement made by Active Provide ProvideP
16:01:32 16:01:52 16:01:56 16:02:02 16:02:05 16:02:09 16:02:14	34 35 36 37 38 39	If we can go to the ICRs at p.644, please. See at the top under "Carl Williams", Ms Gobbo is asking a number of questions of her handlers or inquiring of her handlers, asking if he had court that day. She's heard that he's assisting police. She's concerned that Williams may try and falsely incriminate her and she's adamant that she's done nothing wrong?Yes.
16:02:21 16:02:33 16:02:36 16:02:39 16:02:48 16:02:52 16:02:55	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	If we go over the page to 645. Down the bottom under the heading of "Carl Williams", Ms Gobbo believes Williams was at court yesterday and she'd like to know why. Then over the page. See at 13:48 under the Carl Williams heading, she's aware that Williams' legal team, David Ross and others, are in negotiations with the OPP for him to plead to five murders in return for him giving evidence against

.09/09/19

Paul Dale and potentially George Williams' charges being 1 16:02:59 withdrawn and restrained property being returned to George 16:03:04 **2** She's indicating that he won't give evidence Williams. 3 16:03:09 against Roberta. The sentence is believed to be 32 years. 16:03:13 **4** She's warning of the need to be careful of whatever Carl 16:03:19 **5** Williams is saying against other people. Gobbo is 16:03:26 **6** concerned Williams will lie to implicate Paul Dale into a 16:03:28 **7** 16:03:32 **8** Ms Gobbo said that if Williams does not accept murder. this deal he'll also be charged with the murder of 16:03:36 **9** and Ms Gobbo's saying she believes it's not 16:03:40 **10** appropriate for Purana to hold back on charges against him 16:03:43 **11** for this deal and she wants to see him charged and 16:03:47 **12** 16:03:51 **13** convicted of all the murders he's been involved in. do you see that?---Yes, I see it. 16:03:56 **14** 15 16:03:58 **16** She seems to have some source of information about what's going on with Mr Williams at the time?---Well, she has some 16:04:03 **17** information but, you know, I don't know where she got that 16:04:08 **18** from. She wasn't involved in any of the negotiations with 16:04:12 **19** the OPP. 16:04:16 **20** 21 16:04:17 **22** No, I'm not suggesting that she was. At 6.48, 21 February 16:04:22 **23** 2007. Keep going up. At 10.56 you'll see again Ms Gobbo 16:04:39 **24** is saying that Carl Williams is still ongoing with his plea dealings with the police and the OPP. 16:04:44 **25** She's wanting the SDU to monitor what Carl is doing and ensure Carl does not 16:04:47 **26** 16:04:52 **27** produce any false and negative statements about her?---Right. 16:04:55 28 29 She assures the SDU she's not done anything illegal, 16:04:58 **30** everything has been disclosed to the SDU, do you see 16:05:03 **31** that?---Yes. 16:05:05 **32** 33 16:05:11 **34** If we go to p.650. You see under the heading of there at 9.29, Ms Gobbo reports that **Herebool** is trying to 16:05:31 **35** ring Purana to find out about Carl Williams' plea and he's 16:05:37 **36** 16:05:42 **37** going to let Ms Gobbo know if he's told anything?---Right. 38 When one reads the ICRs, and there'll be more to come, it's 16:05:52 **39** clear that Ms Gobbo comes to understand, for one thing, 16:05:55 **40** that police are having discussions with Carl Williams in 16:06:01 **41** relation to his assistance, but also that she becomes 16:06:04 **42** 16:06:07 **43** growingly concerned about the possibility that he might implicate her in criminal activity?---That's what these 16:06:10 **44** 16:06:13 **45** documents are indicating, yes. 46 16:06:15 **47** Was that something that you were made aware of?---No.

	1	
16:06:20	2	It might seem quite relevant, don't you think, that she
16:06:27	3	might be concerned that Carl Williams might somehow
16:06:30	4	implicate in her matters that the police were interested
16:06:33	5	in?That's what these documents would indicate, but as I
16:06:38	6	say I had no knowledge of that. As I say, she wasn't
16:06:42	7	involved in any of the negotiations and she wouldn't have
16:06:44	8	been.
	9	
16:06:45	10	No, but you might be quite concerned if she was potentially
16:06:49	11	involved in the subject matter that the police were trying
	12	to get from Williams, being the Hodson murders, that would
16:07:00		be something that would have been of great interest to
16:07:03		you?Certainly it would have been.
	15	
16:07:07	16	Can you have a look at your diary please on 22
16:07:11	17	February?Yes.
	18	
16:07:17	19	At 11.18 you're on the phone with George Williams?Yes.
	20	
16:07:25		There's something about ringing back and then you're
16:07:27	22	speaking to Bateson and Ryan in relation to the plea offer
16:07:32	23	situation?Yes.
	24	
16:07:35	25	There's reference there to the numerous murders that the
16:07:47	26	police were interested in?Yes, an indication of what he
16:07:54	27	was prepared to plead to.
	28	
16:08:02	29	If you go over the page in your notes, an indication at the
16:08:06	30	end that the police wanted a taped interview covering all
16:08:10		the matters he's pleading guilty to and a statement in
16:08:14	32	relation to his knowledge of the Hodsons and police
16:08:19	33	corruption by Dale?Sorry, can you just let me know the
16:08:23	34	time and I can just check that?
	35	
16:08:27	36	It's the same entry just over the page?The entry goes on
16:08:30	37	most of the day actually. Yes, that's right, the top of
16:08:34	38	the page, "Statement re his knowledge re the Hodsons and
16:08:38	39	police corruption by Dale."
	40	
16:08:40	41	Yes. At 11.35 you call George Williams back and have a
16:08:44	42	discussion in relation to the plea of guilty. He seems to
16:08:48	43	be under a misapprehension that the police wouldn't proceed
16:08:53	44	with any of his charges?Yes.
	45	
16:08:55	46	Told him that that was wrong and that he should speak to
16:08:59	47	his solicitors?Yes.

	4	
	1	At 10 17 years beying a discussion with Mn Hangen at the
16:09:02	2	At 12.47 you're having a discussion with Mr Horgan at the
16:09:07	3	OPP in relation to plea negotiations for George and Carl
16:09:14	4 5	Williams?Yes.
16 00 15	5	At 15:20 you attend for a conference at the DPP2 Vec
16:09:15	6 7	At 15:20 you attend for a conference at the DPP?Yes.
16.00.21	8	There's discussion in relation to charges for George
16:09:31		Williams and Carl Williams?Yes.
16:09:34	9 10	
16:09:36	11	Reference to George Williams potentially pleading guilty
		but getting a noncustodial fully suspended sentence?Yes.
16:09:46	12 13	but getting a noncustoural fully suspended sentence?fes.
16:09:53		And the PPO, pecuniary penalty order that would be
		involved, 108,000?Yes.
16:09:58	15 16	
16:10:00	17	Again a reference to him also providing evidence in
16:10:00		relation to police corruption, Paul Dale?Yes.
16:10:02	19	
16:10:06		Then following that at 16:07 Deputy Commissioner Overland
16:10:00		and Detective Inspector Ryan are updated in relation to the
		matter?Yes.
16:10:16	22	
16:10:16		And Corrections also, Shane Kelly at Corrections?I'll
16:10:16		just check. Yes.
16:10:24	25	Just check. Tes.
16:10:37		If we go back to the ICR that's on the screen there,
16:10:40		Mr O'Brien, you'll see down the bottom at 2 o'clock that
16:10:45		day Ms Gobbo's got another - having another conversation
16:10:43		with her handler in relation to Carl Williams?Right.
16:10:49	30 31	
16:10:54		She's indicating that Carl Williams, she'd spoken to him on
16:10:54 16:10:57		the phone that day, he rang today from Milad Mokbel's
16:11:05		prison phone?Yes.
	35	And he wanted has advice about what to do? Dight
16:11:07		And he wanted her advice about what to do?Right.
	37	He asked Ma Cabba not to hand up and to lat whatavan
16:11:12		He asked Ms Gobbo not to hang up and to let whatever
16:11:15		happened to be water under the bridge. You understand that
16:11:18		there'd been those informer allegations, dog allegations
16:11:27		the previous year?Yes.
	42	Mp Williams discussed with here the tarms of the plan and
16:11:30		Mr Williams discussed with her the terms of the plea and
16:11:33		the expected sentence that he was to serve, 35 to 38
16:11:39		years?Yes.
	46	That Demonstrated him to share the set of D 1
16:11:40	47	That Purana wanted him to give evidence against Paul

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

16:11:46	1	Dale?Yes.
	2	
16:11:46	3	He'd told them that he can't help them with Milad Mokbel,
16:11:51	4	assuming that that was another matter that you wanted
16:11:55	5	assistance with. I think if you go back to your entry in
16:12:00	6	your diary you were talking about one of the categories
16:12:03	7	being large commercial drug trafficking?Yes.
	8	
16:12:08	9	And he said they can get fucked about any assistance for
16:12:15	10	Paul Dale?Yes.
	11	
16:12:16	12	Ms Gobbo reports that she discussed the above in general
16:12:19	13	terms with him but did not give him any advice, and then
16:12:22	14	transferred the call to another barrister, Con Heliotis,
	15	following that?Right.
	16	
16:12:29		In the scheme of things could you have been at all
16:12:33		confident about Ms Gobbo not having provided any advice to
16:12:33	-	Carl Williams in the course of that conversation?No, but
16:12:42		as I say, I was unaware of this discussion with Williams, I
16:12:47		wasn't dealing with Williams, all my discussions were with
16:12:53		- through the OPP.
10.12.33	23	
16:12:55		Again concerning that she's having access to someone like
16:12:55		Carl Williams at that stage?I'd imagine. I don't know
16:13:00		what the situation is at the prison but generally you have
16:13:04 16:13:08		to list the phone numbers and the people that you wish to
		have on your call list. I don't know why she'd be on that
16:13:11		list.
16:13:16	29 30	
1 6 1 9 1 6	30 31	It come from that that hale jumped on the phone of one of
		It seems from that that he's jumped on the phone of one of
16:13:20	32	Milad Mokbel's calls?Oh right.
16 10 05	33 24	Again concorning simply from the point of view of if he is
16:13:29		Again, concerning simply from the point of view of if he is
16:13:34		ultimately to provide any evidence that she might be
16:13:37		influencing what evidence he might come to give, she seems
16:13:41		to be personally invested in what he might tell the police,
16:13:45		especially about her?That may be the case but, as I say,
16:13:50	39	I was unaware of it.
	40	
16:13:55	41	If you can go to 6.53 please of the ICR. 10.51 down the
	42	bottom, we see that Ms Gobbo had information from - sorry,
	43	we see Carl Williams down the bottom and then over the page
	44	she indicates from Milad Mokbel that Williams would knock
16:14:24	45	back the deal with Purana?Yes.
	46	
16:14:28	47	That's sort of come hot on the heels of her having that

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

16:14:36	1	conversation with him, and that Williams has spoken to his
16:14:38	2	father who advised to tell Purana to get fucked and fight
16:14:41	3	on?Right.
10.11.11	4	on. Auguer
		Ma Cabba is advised not to get involved in place depling of
16:14:42	5	Ms Gobbo is advised not to get involved in plea dealing of
16:14:46	6	Carl Williams, do you see that?Yes.
	7	
16:14:51	8	Were the SDU telling you that Ms Gobbo seemingly was
16:14:56	9	involving herself with Carl Williams at this stage?I
16:14:59	10	don't believe so.
	11	
16:15:01	12	Do you know why they wouldn't be telling you about that
	13	kind of information? That would have been of concern to
	14	Purana, would it not?Yes, but, you know, I don't know
16:15:14		why, I can't answer that.
	16	
16:15:17	17	If we have a look at your diary on 23 February at 13:25.
16:15:34	18	The last three lines of that entry you're speaking to
16:15:37		Senior Crown Prosecutor Mr Horgan who has information via
16:15:41		solicitors for Williams that there's not to be any plea of
16:15:45		guilty?Yes.
16:15:45	21	guilty?les.
		The second base of the second s
16:15:48		To anything unless there are no charges against his
16:15:50	24	father?Yes.
	25	
16:15:59	26	And that there's to be no plea of guilty in relation to
16:16:03	27	Lewis Moran at all?No.
	28	
16:16:04	29	Following that, it seems as though Ms Gobbo had the good
16:16:09		oil from inside the prison, and following that at 15:25 you
16:16:13		speak to Deputy Commissioner Overland and advise him of
		that outcome?Yes.
16:16:17	32	
	33	
16:16:26		If you can go to p.60 of the ICRs, please. Perhaps over
16:16:49	35	the page - yes, at 19:29. Do you see down there Ms Gobbo
16:17:06	36	is reporting that coincidentally around this time Paul Dale
16:17:11	37	has been in contact with her and is insistent on seeing
16:17:18	38	her?Yes, I can see that.
	39	
16:17:20	40	That would have been something of that great interest to
16:17:23		Purana at that stage?Yes, it would have been, yes.
10:1/:23	41	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $
		Ma Cable throught that he wight have a waraawa far how to
16:17:27	43	Ms Gobbo thought that he might have a message for her to
16:17:35		pass on to Williams, you see that sort of fourth dot point
16:17:39		from the bottom?Yes, sorry, can you just tell me the
16:17:43		date of this?
	47	

16:17:44	1	This is 27 February 2007?Thank you. Yes.
16:18:02	2 3	It seems to be that night. You've got yourself off duty at
16:18:15	4 5	17:25 on that night; is that right?Yes.
16:18:21	6 7	And coming back on to duty at 7.10 the next morning?Yes.
		Ma Cabha'a paparting to the handlane that Davi Dala wante
16:18:29	8	Ms Gobbo's reporting to the handlers that Paul Dale wants
16:18:35	9 10	to see her all of a sudden?Yes.
16:18:37	11	This is around the time that there's some rumours flying
16:18:40	12	about about Carl Williams. She thinks he might have a
16:18:44		message for her to pass on to Carl Williams. She indicates
16:18:49		that she's concerned that the OPI might be watching if they
		did meet?Right.
16:18:54	16	
16:18:55	17	She's told that she should not meet with Paul Dale outside
16:19:00	18	of normal business hours and it should be in her normal
16:19:05	19	professional capacity?Yes.
	20	F
16:19:11		If we go ?Not something I was ever told.
10.19.11	22	
16:19:18		The last dot point on that page, she says she doesn't know
16:19:21		the exact relationship between Paul Dale and Carl
16:19:25	25 26	Williams?Right.
16:19:27	27	Do you see that? Do you become aware at some stage of a
16:19:32	28	telephone call?Yes.
	29	'
16:19:38		Between Ms Gobbo's phone and Carl Williams phone on
16:19:41		which?Dale was speaking.
10.19.41	32	
16:19:42		Dale was speaking?Yes.
16:19:42		Date was speaking?les.
	34	De very larger when were because and that 0. I halt we
16:19:44	35	Do you know when you became aware of that?I believe
16:19:48	36	after Petra was formed and I think from memory it was
	37	either Gavan Ryan alerted me to it or Officer Pearce
16:19:59	38	who looked after the telephone intercept material.
16:20:06	39	Or it may have been before because I'm just wondering if
16:20:10	40	that was the motivation for me to go and speak to Williams
16:20:13	41	in the first place.
	42	
16:20:16	43	There had been an interview of Ms Gobbo back in mid-2004 in
16:20:25	44	relation to her knowledge of Terrence Hodson having been an
	45	informer, about who she'd spoken to about those kinds of
		•
16:20:33		matters?Right.
	47	

16:20:34	1 2	Were you aware of that by now?No, I don't believe so.
16:20:40	2	Aside from that communication that we referred to, I
16:20:40	4	referred to earlier relating to Charlie Bezzina, in the
16:20:50	5	course of these events did you have any further
16:20:55	6	communication with Charlie Bezzina about the investigation
16:20:57	7	to that point?I don't think so.
	8	
16:21:01	9	Did you have the investigation file?No, I didn't. I
16:21:10	10	imagine it would have gone straight to Petra, I would
16:21:12	11	imagine, they would have picked it up.
	12	
16:21:15		It may not be that Petra ?Were formed.
	14	
16:21:18	15	were formed quite yet and it seems as though perhaps
	16	you're having a few dealings in relation to communications
16:21:28	17	with the DPP and George Williams and so forth still?Yes.
	18	
	19	Do you think now that it might be that the reason you went
16:21:43		to see Carl Williams is because you became aware of that
16:21:47 16:21:51		Gobbo conversation?I believe so, yes. Something motivated me to go and speak to him and it was the only
16:21:51		saving grace I considered he had.
10:21:30	23	saving grace i considered ne nad.
16:21:58		Did you have any conversation with the SDU about needing to
16:21:59		get to the bottom of what Gobbo knew about any relationship
16:22:01		between Dale and Williams?No, I did not.
	28	
16:22:07	29	Did you ask for any information that they already had that
16:22:11	30	she might have disclosed in that regard?I don't believe
16:22:13	31	SO.
	32	
16:22:22		If we have a look at your diary on 28 February 2007 at
16:22:27		13:05. There's another conversation with Mr Horgan. You
16:22:35		were advised in relation to a plea offer re Carl
16:22:38		Williams?Yes.
	37	There's reference to undeting the same. To it you advising
16:22:49	38	There's reference to updating the same. Is it you advising
	39 40	Mr Horgan of that plea offer seemingly?I wouldn't think
16:22:58	40 41	so. It would have been Mr Horgan advising me what the offer was.
16:23:01	41	
16:23:10	42	It says, "Update same to phone inquiries re Carl Williams'
16:23:10	44	plea of guilty to advising the Premier and Chief
16:23:20		Commissioner of Police"?Sorry. Can you tell me - oh
16:23:30		sorry.
	47	

I may have a bit of missing information. Anyway, there's 16:23:41 1 some advice on that day about the plea offer; is that 16:24:01 **2** right?---There was. 3 16:24:05 4 16:24:06 5 If you go down, by the end of the day there's an indication of, and no doubt updates through the day and the Premier 16:24:14 **6** and the Chief Commissioner are to visit the office the 16:24:22 **7** 16:24:24 **8** following day, do you see that?---This is on here, is it? 9 16:24:52 **10** Sorry, no, it's essentially at the top of p.290 of your 16:24:56 **11** diary?---290, all right. 12 16:25:03 **13** By the end of the day the Premier and Chief Commissioner were to come to the office the next day?---Yes. 16:25:06 **14** 15 16:25:15 **16** If we have a look at 664 of the ICRs. At 8.21 we see Ms Gobbo's discussed an interview by Simon Overland on the 16:25:27 **17** television in relation to Carl Williams, presumably in 16:25:32 **18** relation to his plea. You see under the heading of "Carl 16:25:34 **19** Williams" her expressing her feelings that it was 16:25:50 **20** inappropriate?---Yes. 16:25:53 **21** 22 16:25:59 **23** On 1 March, the same day, the Chief Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner are at the Purana office and the 16:26:05 **24** Premier and Police Minister arrive?---Sorry, is that in 16:26:11 25 this document here somewhere? 16:26:20 **26** 27 No, sorry, I'm back to your diary. I apologise?---And the 16:26:22 **28** 16:26:26 **29** date was? 30 16:26:27 **31** It's 1 March?---All right. Yes, that's correct. 32 This is a matter I was raising before in terms of there 16:26:40 **33** being a lot of stock put in the outcome, the result of this 16:26:43 **34** matter at this particular point in time; is that 16:26:49 **35** 16:26:51 **36** right?---Yes. 37 16:26:54 **38** Was there too much focus, do you think, on the police being 16:27:03 **39** - sorry, too much focus on outcomes in relation to cases rather than the process?---Well, I don't think so. 16:27:08 40 You know, the State was a bit of a blood bath I suppose up to 16:27:17 **41** this point. Obviously it was a matter of a lot of media, 16:27:21 **42** it was getting a lot of media attention. 16:27:28 **43** Obviously that involved the government showing some attention to it and 16:27:31 **44** 16:27:36 45 this was probably just the normal flow on from that effect. As I said, this was probably more about Purana stage 1 than 16:27:44 **46** my part in it. 16:27:46 **47**

OBRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

1 I've taken you through a number of matters over the last 16:27:48 2 week, Mr O'Brien?---Yes. 3 16:27:51 4 16:27:53 **5** Which indicates or seems to indicate that the integrity of the investigation process has failed, do you accept 16:27:55 **6** that?---I don't think the integrity of the investigative 16:27:59 **7** 8 process failed, no. 16:28:05 9 Do you accept that there were failings, there seemed to 16:28:07 10 16:28:10 **11** have been significant failings in relation to disclosure issues relating to Ms Gobbo?---Certainly I accept that, 16:28:13 **12** 16:28:21 **13** yes, given the passage of time and hindsight, that perhaps we should have done a lot more than we did. 16:28:26 **14** 15 16:28:31 **16** Is it the case because of what was going on, the media in relation to the gangland wars that were going on, that 16:28:38 17 there was a blinding to the process so that we might 16:28:44 **18** achieve a desired outcome?---Look, I don't think so. 16:28:49 19 You know, look - you know, if I can be completely honest about 16:28:56 20 my feelings about - you know, I've been thinking 16:29:01 **21** intentionally about this issue since the Royal Commission 16:29:06 22 16:29:11 **23** was first announced, you know, and I think about how did we 16:29:15 **24** end up in this position and for me it was probably the death of the Hodsons, the corruption issues in the Drug 16:29:19 **25** Squad over a number of years, you know, where I walked into 16:29:23 26 16:29:28 **27** a minefield, basically. I'd come from working a country CIB division for six years, went back into the Drug Squad 16:29:34 **28** with the view that I would progress. I really wanted to 16:29:37 **29** get back into the Homicide Squad and run a team there. 16:29:40 **30** Ι 16:29:43 **31** had no intention of staying in the Drug Squad. And when I 16:29:46 **32** got there it was just chaotic and we no sooner got through one passage of chaotic behaviour, as I said a few days 16:29:54 **33** earlier, being the baby-sitter for the executioners and not 16:29:58 **34** 16:30:05 **35** knowing who around me was to fall next, and then rebuilding, Tony Biggin coming in and ethically rebuilding 16:30:07 **36** the whole place only to have Miechel and Dale do what they 16:30:11 **37** 16:30:17 **38** did, and the death of Terry and Christine Hodson and its 16:30:21 **39** effect on us. But then thinking more broadly about it, and I look at, you know, these days I'm subject to external 16:30:24 40 audits by Price Waterhouse Coopers and Ernst & Young on a 16:30:27 **41** regular basis across a business and they always look at 16:30:33 **42** what was the root cause, what were the root causes that 16:30:38 **43** caused this to happen and I think, you know, I look at what 16:30:40 **44** 16:30:44 **45** was happening in the court system, the average sentence for 16:30:49 **46** amphetamine type substances was four and a half years for large commercial quantities. Heroin was attracting 16:30:52 **47**

16:30:55	1	sentences of 18 years. Amphetamine has always outstripped
16:31:00	2	by a country mile heroin use in Australia, it's the drug of
16:31:04	3	choice. You look at the effect of ice today and it's
16:31:07	4	ongoing effect. Then you look at no distinction between
16 : 31 : 13	5	the Tony Mokbels of this world and how they're treated by
16:31:16	6	the legal system and the average person who makes a mistake
16:31:19	7	or does something stupid in their life that deserves a
16 : 31 : 23	8	chance. There's just no distinction in the system.
16:31:27	9	There's no drawing any distinction. You can just go on and
16:31:29	10	commit criminal offences as he did and buy your way out of
16 : 31 : 34	11	the system, pay for the best QCs. You know, have people
16:31:38	12	like stuck five minutes
16 : 31 : 41	13	after he's been granted bail and driven to a property so he
16:31:45	14	can I think all
16:31:50	15	those things, all those things, led to this outcome.

16:31:5617Do you think what ultimately has led us here though is a16:32:0018fundamental failing in terms of police understanding of16:32:0819their obligations relating to disclosure?---I don't know16:32:1220whether that's it. I think, as I say, it was something I16:32:1621didn't turn my mind to. My whole focus was probably about16:32:2022keeping her alive.

16:32:2224You've spoken about your sort of discontent in relation to16:32:2625the way the system seems to have operated. Do you think16:32:3226that that might have led to an attitude on behalf of some16:32:3927police that, well, if they can break the rules along the16:32:4328way - - ?---No.

- - we can at least bend the rules to achieve a desirable outcome?---No, I don't think there was any intent by us to bend the rules.

16:32:5534Did you think that there was - in order to win this war, to16:32:5935win this gangland war, there was some need to bend the16:33:0436rules, a need to break the rules to get to that16:33:0937outcome?---I don't think so.

This all seems to have stemmed initially from a desire to 16:33:09 **39** protect Ms Gobbo from - well, her role in relation to 16:33:16 **40** is where it initially started - to protect from 16:33:28 **41** disclosure the fact that she represented this person, an 16:33:33 **42** 16:33:37 **43** independent barrister representing a witness the police seemed to think, "We need to protect this person because 16**:**33**:**39 **44** 16:33:43 **45** she's aligned herself with the criminals"?---I didn't have 16:33:48 **46** that belief because I really didn't know what she'd told Bateson in any event at that point. The first time I 16:33:51 **47**

.09/09/19

16

23

29

33

38

16:32:45 **30**

16:32:48 **31**

16:32:52 **32**

became aware that she was actually an informer was when 1 16:33:57 approached by Mansell and Rowe. 16:34:04 **2** 3 From what we understand from what she said has said once 4 16:34:06 she has become an informer as to what occurred with the 16:34:10 **5** process, and that's before she became a 16:34:11 6 16:34:13 **7** registered informer, that there appeared to have been a 16:34:16 **8** need on the part of the - or a concern on the part of the police to withhold knowledge of her role in the process of 16:34:19 **9** assisting someone to become a witness and that's happened 16:34:27 **10** but then it seems to have occurred time and 16:34:31 **11** with time again in relation to each witness that she's been 16:34:34 **12** 16:34:38 **13** involved in rolling and as a result disclosure requirements are not being met?---I see what you're saying but, as I 16:34:43 **14** 16:34:49 **15** say, that wasn't front of my mind. 16 16:34:51 **17** And ultimately that's what may cause the unravelling of a number of convictions that have been achieved by Purana, do 16:34:56 **18** you understand that?---I understand that. 16:35:01 **19** 16:35:02 **20** 16:35:08 **21** COMMISSIONER: I think it's probably time to adjourn if 16:35:10 **22** you've finished. 16:35:12 **23** MS TITTENSOR: Thank you, Commissioner. 16:35:12 **24** 25 16:35:13 **26** COMMISSIONER: You'll be a little while longer? 16:35:15 **27** 16:35:15 **28** MS TITTENSOR: Yes, I hope to finish not too long into the 16:35:19 **29** morning, Commissioner. 30 COMMISSIONER: Yes. So cross-examination is likely to take 16:35:20 **31** how long, Mr Nathwani? 16:35:25 **32** 16:35:27 **33** 16:35:27 **34** MR NATHWANI: About an hour for me. 35 16:35:29 **36** COMMISSIONER: You said about an hour, Mr Holt? 16:35:32 **37** 16:35:32 **38** MR HOLT: Probably, Commissioner, yes. 39 COMMISSIONER: In re-examination. 16:35:34 **40** 16:35:35 **41** MR CHETTLE: Very little, Commissioner. It looks like 16:35:35 **42** 16:35:38 **43** lunchtime. 44 16:35:39 **45** COMMISSIONER: Maybe. The point is I think we do need to have the next witness, who I think is Mr Smith. 16:35:46 **46** 16:35:49 **47**

.09/09/19

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

MR CHETTLE: He will be available at 10 o'clock, 1 16:35:49 Commissioner. 16:35:52 **2** 3 COMMISSIONER: He won't be needed at ten. 16:35:53 **4** 16:35:55 **5** MR CHETTLE: He has to come some distance but I'll have him 16:35:56 **6** 16:36:00 7 here ready to go. 8 COMMISSIONER: I think 12 o'clock would be safe. 9 16:36:01 16:36:03 10 16:36:03 **11** MR HOLT: Commissioner, I'm conscious of time. Can I just indicate one thing for the police? 16:36:06 12 13 COMMISSIONER: Yes. 14 15 MR HOLT: That is, Mr O'Brien was asked questions about a 16:36:07 **16** transcript and the indication was that that - - -16:36:09 17 18 16:36:12 **19** COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 481. 16:36:13 20 16:36:13 **21** MR HOLT: That's been provided today. I ought just make 16:36:16 **22** clear that the reason for the delay and why matters have 16:36:20 **23** been raised today is that that was the corrupted audio in a 16:36:21 **24** technological sense that was referred to some months ago. 25 COMMISSIONER: Yes, it's perhaps a rather apt term. 16:36:24 **26** 16:36:27 **27** MR HOLT: Commissioner, that's why I say in a technological 16:36:28 **28** 16:36:32 **29** sense. Victoria Police had arranged for it to be unencrypted, if it could be, or uncorrupted. Locally that 30 16:36:36 **31** couldn't be done and it had to be sent to the United 16:36:37 **32** States. That result has been relatively recent but successful fortunately and that's the reason why that 16:36:43 **33** information is available late. 16:36:44 **34** 35 COMMISSIONER: Yes. It's very comforting to see, Mr Holt, 16:36:44 **36** that the continuing discovery obligations of Victoria 16:36:47 **37** 16:36:52 **38** Police are being met. 16:36:54 **39** 16:36:54 40 MR HOLT: Yes. 41 COMMISSIONER: And I look forward to that continuing. 16:36:54 **42** 16:36:56 **43** MR HOLT: Thank you, Commissioner. 16:36:57 **44** 45 16:36:58 **46** COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes, we'll adjourn. 16:37:24 **47**

O'BRIEN XXN - IN CAMERA

16:37:24	1	<(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)	
16:37:25 16:37:32	2 3	ADJOURNED UNTIL TUESDAY 10 SEPTEMBER 2019	
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15 16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
	29		
	30		
	31		
	32		
	33		
	34 35		
	36		
	37		
	38		
	39		
	40		
	41		
	42		
	43		
	44		
	45		
	46		
	47		