

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE MANAGEMENT  
OF POLICE INFORMANTS

Held in Melbourne, Victoria

On Monday, 2 September 2019

Led by Commissioner:       The Honourable Margaret McMurdo AC

Also Present

Counsel Assisting:	Mr C. Winneke QC Mr A. Woods Ms M. Tittensor
Counsel for Victoria Police	Mr S. Holt QC Ms R. Enbom Ms K. Argiropoulos
Counsel for State of Victoria	Mr C. McDermott
Counsel for Nicola Gobbo	Mr P. Collinson QC Mr R. Nathwani
Counsel for DPP/SPP	Ms K. O'Gorman
Counsel for CDPP	Ms E. Hilliard
Counsel for Police Handlers	Mr G. Chettle Ms L. Thies
Counsel for John Higgs	Ms C. Dwyer
Counsel for AFP	Ms I. Minnett

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

09:34:53 1 COMMISSIONER: Yes Mr Woods.  
09:34:54 2  
09:34:55 3 MR WOODS: Good morning, Commissioner. I think the  
09:34:57 4 appearances are largely the same as last time.  
5  
09:34:59 6 COMMISSIONER: I think that's right, Mr Woods, yes. We  
09:35:01 7 have Mr McDermott for the State of Victoria today,  
09:35:04 8 otherwise they're the same.  
09:35:05 9  
09:35:06 10 MR WOODS: And Mr White's on the line.  
11  
09:35:07 12 COMMISSIONER: Mr White is on the line, yes, thank you.  
09:35:10 13 You'll be being joined by Mr Winneke and Ms Tittensor  
09:35:13 14 shortly.  
09:35:14 15  
09:35:14 16 MR WOODS: That's correct.  
17  
09:35:14 18 COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you. Yes, Mr White. Can you  
09:35:17 19 hear me?---Yes, I can, thank you, Commissioner.  
20  
09:35:21 21 COMMISSIONER: Yes. Mr Chettle.  
09:35:22 22  
09:35:24 23 <SANDY WHITE, recalled:  
09:35:26 24  
09:35:27 25 MR CHETTLE: When we concluded last time, Mr White, you  
09:35:30 26 might recall you said that there was a profile prepared in  
09:35:34 27 relation to Ms Gobbo and I formally I think called for that  
09:35:36 28 profile. Commissioner, can I give you a reference number.  
29  
09:35:40 30 COMMISSIONER: Yes.  
09:35:40 31  
09:35:41 32 MR CHETTLE: VPL.2000.0002.0761. If that could be shown to  
09:35:57 33 the witness.  
34  
09:35:58 35 COMMISSIONER: Yes.  
09:36:10 36  
09:36:43 37 MR CHETTLE: I haven't got it. I'll just look and make  
09:36:47 38 sure I've got the right document, that's all.  
39  
09:36:52 40 COMMISSIONER: Have you got the document, Mr White?  
09:36:53 41  
09:36:54 42 MR CHETTLE: Can you see that?---Yes, thank you,  
09:36:56 43 Commissioner.  
44  
09:36:57 45 Can you go to the next page, please. And the next. Yes.  
09:37:01 46 Is that the profile that was prepared, and that's  
09:37:05 47 previously been referred to, of Ms Gobbo?---Yes.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
09:37:09 2 Was it a document that in fact got updated as time went  
09:37:14 3 along by the analysts at the SDU?---Yes, that's right.  
4  
09:37:19 5 I'll formally tender that document, Commissioner, the  
09:37:22 6 profile of Nicola Gobbo.  
09:37:24 7  
09:37:25 8 #EXHIBIT RC374A - (Confidential) Profile of Nicola Gobbo  
09:37:30 9 for the SDU.  
09:37:37 10  
09:37:38 11 MR HOLT: Commissioner, that will need to be reviewed.  
09:37:40 12 There are some names I think in it at least that will need  
13 to be done, so if it could be dealt with on that basis I'd  
14 be grateful.  
15  
09:37:51 16 #EXHIBIT RC374B - (Redacted version).  
09:37:51 17  
09:37:51 18 MR CHETTLE: Commissioner, I don't propose to go through  
09:37:53 19 these documents now. They speak for themselves.  
20  
09:37:55 21 COMMISSIONER: Yes.  
09:37:56 22  
09:37:56 23 MR CHETTLE: If it suits you, I'll move on.  
24  
09:37:59 25 COMMISSIONER: Sure.  
09:37:59 26  
09:38:00 27 MR CHETTLE: I'll tender that and move to the next one.  
09:38:03 28 You recall when we left last time you were having  
09:38:08 29 difficulty locating an entry in your diary relating to a  
09:38:11 30 new point of liaison protocol?---Yes.  
31  
09:38:13 32 Have you been able to find that entry?---Yes, it's actually  
09:38:18 33 on 25 January 2006.  
34  
09:38:21 35 Thank you. What does it read?---"Meet with Officer Smith  
09:38:30 36 re information security. Agreed need point of liaison for  
09:38:39 37 all jobs for intel to go to. Cannot report to several  
09:38:42 38 different members. Running risk of intel being scattered  
09:38:44 39 through numerous notebooks which cannot be controlled when  
09:38:48 40 court comes along. Discussed viability of second diary for  
09:38:53 41 all source intel", "second document", sorry.  
42  
09:38:55 43 What does that mean?---Oh, it was just a thought whether we  
09:39:01 44 should introduce some new system where investigators could  
09:39:04 45 keep one book containing all notes relevant to human  
09:39:09 46 sources.  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

09:39:10 1 For management in court proceedings?---Yes. It never  
09:39:14 2 happened. We were happy with the fact that it went in the  
09:39:18 3 investigator's diary.  
4  
09:39:20 5 I'll tender the diary entry for 25 January of 06,  
09:39:24 6 Commissioner.  
09:39:31 7  
09:39:31 8 #EXHIBIT RC375A - (Confidential) diary entry of 25/01/06.  
9  
09:39:41 10 COMMISSIONER: Is there anything in there that will need to  
09:39:43 11 be redacted?  
09:39:44 12  
09:39:44 13 MR HOLT: There will be, Commissioner. We've provided all  
09:39:46 14 of these entries.  
15  
09:39:48 16 COMMISSIONER: All right then, A and B.  
17  
09:39:49 18 #EXHIBIT RC375B - (Redacted version).  
09:39:49 19  
20  
09:39:50 21 MR CHETTLE: There's some document, while I'm in tendering  
09:39:56 22 mode, before I go back to the log, can you put up  
09:40:14 23 VPL.6025.0001.5948. This is an email chain you'll see that  
09:40:17 24 at the top Mr Black sends it to you, can you see  
25 that?---Yes.  
09:40:19 26 Underneath that is one that, it's an email that's been sent  
09:40:23 27 to Mr Black from Ron Iddles, correct?---Yes, that's right.  
28  
09:40:32 29 It looks like there are two emails from Mr Iddles to  
09:40:36 30 Mr Black and he then forwards it on to you?---Yes.  
31  
09:40:44 32 You'll see on the second page of that document, that's on  
09:40:47 33 the first email in time, that there's reference to  
09:40:51 34 Mr Overland and the possibility if they go for dinner next  
09:40:55 35 week whether a listening device can be installed?---Yes.  
36  
09:41:00 37 Have you read this document before today?---No.  
38  
09:41:04 39 All right. I'll just simply tender it, Commissioner, and  
09:41:10 40 move on because there is a point to it but I'll come back  
09:41:15 41 to it with another witness.  
42  
09:41:17 43 COMMISSIONER: How will I describe this?  
09:41:19 44  
09:41:19 45 MR CHETTLE: Email chain between Iddles and Mr Black, which  
09:41:23 46 ultimately ends up with Mr White.  
09:41:30 47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

09:41:31 1 #EXHIBIT RC376A - (Confidential) Email chain between  
09:41:21 2 Iddles and Mr Black.  
09:41:37 3  
09:41:37 4 #EXHIBIT RC376B - (Redacted version).  
09:41:40 5  
09:41:41 6 MR CHETTLE: This one I think you have seen,  
09:41:47 7 VPL.6025.0003.8209. Again, this is an email chain which  
09:41:59 8 ends up getting sent to you by Mr Black, you see at the  
09:42:03 9 top?---Yes.  
10  
09:42:04 11 With Mr Black making some cryptic comments about meetings,  
09:42:07 12 but if you look at the letters themselves, if you start at  
09:42:11 13 the start of the email, does it come from Graham Ashton,  
09:42:15 14 then Deputy Director of the OPI, to Simon Overland?---Yes.  
15  
09:42:25 16 It's then passed on to Danye Moloney, who passes it on to  
09:42:32 17 Mr Biggin, who passes it on to eventually you and  
09:42:36 18 Mr Black?---Yes.  
19  
09:42:39 20 Again, I'll just tender it, Commissioner.  
09:42:43 21  
09:42:43 22 #EXHIBIT RC377A - (Confidential) Email chain commencing  
09:42:54 23 12/08/08.  
09:43:00 24  
09:43:01 25 #EXHIBIT RC377B - (Redacted version.)  
09:43:03 26  
09:43:04 27 MR CHETTLE: Perhaps in passing. The telephone numbers  
09:43:06 28 that are being requested by Ashton from Overland, and  
09:43:10 29 Overland passing it down the line, one of those numbers  
09:43:13 30 relates to Ms Gobbo; is that right?---That's right.  
31  
09:43:20 32 Thank you. We're dealing with the source management log at  
09:43:30 33 the moment. Can I put up VPL.6025.0011.3120. It's a  
09:44:02 34 letter. Do you remember last occasion we went through  
09:44:09 35 email chains that showed the movement of the source  
09:44:13 36 management log to other members such as Finn McCrae and  
09:44:16 37 things of that sort?---Yes.  
38  
09:44:20 39 It speaks for itself but did you have possession of the  
09:44:24 40 source management log in October of 2011?---Yes.  
41  
09:44:28 42 At that stage had you moved to the Briars Task  
09:44:32 43 Force?---Yes, that's right.  
44  
09:44:33 45 And you received from Mr O'Connor the request that he  
09:44:39 46 wanted and you responded and gave it to him?---Yes.  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

09:44:43 1 I tender that, Commissioner.  
2  
3  
09:44:58 4 #EXHIBIT RC378A - (Confidential) Email between Mr White  
09:44:51 5 and O'Connor dated 17/10/11.  
09:45:01 6  
09:45:02 7 #EXHIBIT RC378B - (Redacted version).  
09:45:04 8  
09:45:04 9 MR CHETTLE: Thank you. I'll come in due course to the  
09:45:09 10 circumstances about when the unit was shut down but  
09:45:14 11 immediately following the shutting down of the unit was  
09:45:17 12 there a course in, a handlers' course still to be  
09:45:30 13 conducted?---Yes, there had been a <sup>PII</sup> handlers' course  
09:45:35 14 planned for that year and the <sup>PII</sup> course was designed  
09:45:38 15 to - - -  
16  
09:45:39 17 Hang on a second. Mr Holt has some problem with the level  
09:45:45 18 of the course, but a course was being conducted and that  
09:45:49 19 was to be run by SDU operators, was it not?---Yes.  
20  
09:45:53 21 What happened as far as that course is concerned?---Well,  
09:46:01 22 the unit was shut down and we couldn't - we were directed  
09:46:03 23 that we still had to run the course and the course was  
09:46:06 24 designed to create members that could work in the Source  
09:46:11 25 Development Unit and we thought it was a bit ridiculous  
09:46:13 26 running a course for a unit that didn't exist any more, but  
09:46:16 27 we were directed to run that course and we did.  
28  
09:46:20 29 Can I put up 6025, VPL.6025.0007.7520. You apparently  
09:46:31 30 don't have this. I did, Commissioner, what you requested  
09:46:36 31 and provided a list of all these to hopefully avoid this  
09:46:39 32 problem. It's there now, good. Thank you. We're back to  
09:47:04 33 where we were. They don't have it. I don't know why, it's  
09:47:07 34 got a number, but apparently it hasn't been provided to the  
09:47:13 35 Commission. It has, according to Mr Holt.  
36  
09:47:16 37 COMMISSIONER: Yes.  
09:47:17 38  
09:47:17 39 MR CHETTLE: I'm happy to tender a hard copy and then move  
09:47:19 40 on, if that suits you, Commissioner.  
41  
09:47:22 42 COMMISSIONER: Yes, we can do that and we can update that.  
09:47:25 43  
09:47:25 44 MR CHETTLE: Mr White, have you sighted an email from Doug  
09:47:32 45 Fryer to O'Connor and <sup>PII</sup>, with copies to  
09:47:36 46 Sheridan and others, commenting on the standard of the  
09:47:38 47 delivery of the staff at the course?---Yes.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
09:47:42 2 I'll tender that. It's an email between Doug Fryer to  
09:47:46 3 O'Connor and others dated 24 March 2013, Commissioner.  
09:47:52 4 I'll hand you a hard copy of it.  
09:47:55 5  
09:47:57 6 #EXHIBIT RC379A - (Confidential) Email between Doug Fryer  
09:47:46 7 to O'Connor and others dated 24/03/13.  
09:48:00 8  
09:48:00 9 #EXHIBIT RC379B - (Redacted version).  
09:48:03 10  
09:48:03 11 MR CHETTLE: That is my only copy disappearing.  
12  
09:48:05 13 COMMISSIONER: We'll do a few copies of it and we'll return  
09:48:09 14 it to you.  
09:48:11 15  
09:48:12 16 MR CHETTLE: All right, thank you. Have you got the source  
09:48:17 17 management log there now, Mr White?---Yes.  
18  
09:48:21 19 We'd reached - on 1 February is there an entry in your  
09:48:31 20 source management log in relation to requesting an  
09:48:34 21 authority to meet with the source for a PII assessment -  
09:48:42 22 I'm not going to go into - for an assessment.  
09:48:46 23  
09:48:47 24 MR HOLT: Can the reference to those letters be removed,  
09:48:50 25 Commissioner?  
09:48:53 26  
09:48:53 27 WITNESS: Yes.  
09:48:53 28  
09:48:54 29 MR CHETTLE: Okay.  
30  
09:48:54 31 COMMISSIONER: All right. They can be removed.  
09:48:57 32  
09:48:57 33 MR HOLT: Thanks, Commissioner. I think it can be dealt  
09:48:58 34 with without reference - - -  
35  
09:49:00 36 COMMISSIONER: All right, remove the letters.  
09:49:02 37  
09:49:02 38 MR CHETTLE: There are topics that I'm going to deal with,  
09:49:02 39 Commissioner, that I'll - I just want to flag it and at the  
09:49:04 40 end I'll ask to deal with a number of matters in closed  
09:49:09 41 session and this is one of them. Just that it's in your  
09:49:13 42 log and this is one of them. It's just that it's in your  
09:49:13 43 log and I'll come back to it later. On 8 February do you  
09:49:17 44 receive a complaint from the, what was then the IMU?---Yes.  
45  
09:49:26 46 And in your diary is there an entry of a conversation you  
09:49:32 47 had with Senior Sergeant McLean?---Yes, there is.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
09:49:36 2 That's 8 February 06 at what page of your diary?---It's  
09:49:52 3 p.209.  
4  
09:49:54 5 What's your entry read for that day?---"Call from Senior  
09:50:05 6 Sergeant McLean, Informer Management Unit. Query re ICRs",  
09:50:10 7 contact reports. "Complaint not getting quick enough.  
09:50:14 8 Advised can't do any more than currently doing.  
09:50:17 9 Insufficient manpower and resources."  
10  
09:50:22 11 That's the topic you were giving evidence about last time  
09:50:25 12 you were here?---Yes.  
13  
09:50:29 14 I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
15  
09:50:31 16 COMMISSIONER: What date was that?  
09:50:33 17  
09:50:33 18 MR CHETTLE: 8 February 06.  
09:50:36 19  
09:50:36 20 #EXHIBIT RC380A - (Confidential) Diary entry for 08/02/06.  
09:50:47 21  
09:50:47 22 #EXHIBIT RC380B - (Redacted version).  
09:50:51 23  
09:50:52 24 MR CHETTLE: You'll see on 14 February, Mr White, you have  
09:50:56 25 a diary entry there about contact with Commissioner  
09:51:02 26 Maloney?---That's right.  
27  
09:51:04 28 It's Commander Maloney, isn't it, I think?---Commander,  
09:51:09 29 that's right.  
30  
09:51:09 31 What's your diary entry for that date read?---This is  
09:51:28 32 p.224. It says, "08:15. Meet with Commander Maloney.  
09:51:34 33 Brief re 38" - well it's brief re Ms Gobbo. "Request  
09:51:44 34 admin. officer PA01", which is an admin. level, "progress  
09:51:50 35 of DSU final report. Will go to Superintendent Porter on  
09:51:56 36 arrival". Do you want me to read the whole thing?  
37  
09:52:02 38 I've only got the extract that reads briefing Commander  
09:52:06 39 Maloney. What I want to ask you is what that means, what  
09:52:11 40 do you actually inform Commander Maloney of in that  
09:52:16 41 meeting?---I would have given an update in relation to the  
09:52:19 42 status of our operations with Ms Gobbo.  
43  
09:52:22 44 As set out in the log and the ICRs?---Yes.  
45  
09:52:25 46 Immediately following that meeting is there a monthly  
09:52:28 47 source review in relation to the risk levels of

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

09:52:34 1 Ms Gobbo?---Yes, there is.  
2  
09:52:49 3 I've got to be cryptic about this, but is there a reference  
09:52:53 4 in that entry to the employment of another unit?---Yes.  
5  
09:53:03 6 The risk that that involves?---Yes.  
7  
09:53:10 8 That then is dealt with in the rest of the entry. I'll  
09:53:16 9 come back to that when we close the hearing. On the 15th  
09:53:21 10 in your diary is there reference to a steering committee  
09:53:24 11 minute for Commander Maloney, 15 February 06 according to  
09:53:38 12 your diary? Sorry, before that, I better the diary entry  
09:53:48 13 for 14 February 06 relating to Commander Maloney  
09:53:53 14  
09:53:53 15 #EXHIBIT RC381A - (Confidential) Diary entry relating to  
09:53:57 16 Commander Maloney for 14/2/06.  
09:54:02 17  
09:54:02 18 #EXHIBIT RC381B - (Redacted version).  
09:54:05 19  
09:54:05 20 MR CHETTLE: Thank you. Have you found the reference to  
09:54:10 21 the steering committee on the 15th?---I'm just looking. I  
09:54:34 22 can't find that on the 15th.  
23  
09:54:36 24 Was there a steering committee that overlooked or oversaw  
09:54:41 25 the operations of the SDU?---Yes.  
26  
09:54:46 27 What was their role in relation to what occurred?---They  
09:54:52 28 were actually overseeing, I think it was the DSU at the  
09:54:56 29 time, and the steering committee was responsible for  
09:55:00 30 setting up the pilot and then the evaluation of the pilot,  
09:55:05 31 and then the creation of the unit as a permanent entity.  
32  
09:55:09 33 Did the steering committee - there were minutes obviously  
09:55:13 34 kept of the meetings of that committee?---There would have  
09:55:17 35 been, yes.  
36  
09:55:18 37 And who was on that committee apart from Commander  
09:55:21 38 Maloney?---I can't recall at this point in time.  
39  
09:55:27 40 There'll be documentation that will set that out  
09:55:30 41 somewhere?---Yes.  
42  
09:55:33 43 Although apparently your diary for the 15th has a reference  
09:55:38 44 to steering committee minutes, what role did you have in  
09:55:44 45 the preparation or providing of steering committee  
09:55:47 46 minutes?---I would go to the steering committee and brief  
09:55:51 47 them on the status of the DSU, the SDU, and what sort of

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

09:55:59 1 work we were doing.  
2  
09:56:00 3 Did that involve a discussion or briefing in relation to  
09:56:04 4 the role of Ms Gobbo?---It would have, yes.  
5  
09:56:17 6 Mr Winneke took you to a section of the ICRs where Mr Green  
09:56:25 7 was noting conversation with Ms Gobbo that related to what  
09:56:32 8 was happening in Tony Mokbel's current trial, you remember  
09:56:36 9 that section?---Yes.  
10  
09:56:44 11 Did you in fact take steps to deal with that issue of Green  
09:56:52 12 getting from Gobbo material relating to Tony Mokbel's  
09:56:55 13 trial?---Yes, I did. I spoke to Mr Green and told him, I  
09:57:03 14 cautioned him about obtaining any information that was  
09:57:07 15 relevant to Tony Mokbel's defence.  
16  
09:57:08 17 And if you have a look at your diary, it's either your  
09:57:13 18 diary or the source management log, for 18 February 06. Do  
09:57:24 19 you have an entry about cautioning Mr Green?---Yes, I do.  
20  
09:57:36 21 Is that in your diary or is it in the log, I can't tell  
09:57:40 22 from - or both?---It's in my diary and the logs. It's on  
09:57:55 23 p.240 in my diary.  
24  
09:57:57 25 What's your diary entry read?---"Discussed caution with  
09:58:04 26 Officer Green re speaking about Tony Mokbel trial. Prefer  
09:58:08 27 no DSU involvement unless crime being committed."  
28  
09:58:15 29 I'll tender that entry, Commissioner.  
09:58:18 30  
09:58:19 31 #EXHIBIT RC382A - (Confidential) Diary entry dated  
09:58:22 32 18/02/06.  
09:58:22 33  
09:58:23 34 #EXHIBIT RC382B - (Redacted version).  
09:58:26 35  
09:58:36 36 MR CHETTLE: I want to take you forward to 23 February 06.  
09:58:56 37 On that day did you and Mr Green meet with Ms Gobbo and  
09:59:02 38 have a meeting? Have you found the - perhaps if you go to  
09:59:17 39 the source management log?---Yes, I have that and, yes, we  
09:59:21 40 did have a meeting.  
41  
09:59:24 42 Is this the one meeting that was not recorded in the way  
09:59:29 43 that the others were?---Yes.  
44  
09:59:35 45 Can you explain to the Commission why this one wasn't  
09:59:37 46 recorded?---I can't recall this but I've got a note here  
09:59:43 47 that says, "No tape available. Conversation not recorded".

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
09:59:47 2 That's in your diary, is it?---Yes.  
3  
09:59:50 4 And it's apparent from the source management log itself and  
09:59:55 5 the ICRs that you made that there's reference in that  
10:00:02 6 meeting obviously to PII [REDACTED] - - -  
7  
10:00:06 8 COMMISSIONER: That name will have to be removed.  
10:00:08 9  
10:00:08 10 MR CHETTLE: Sorry, PII [REDACTED]?---Yes.  
11  
10:00:14 12 COMMISSIONER: There's a problem even with PII [REDACTED], isn't  
10:00:16 13 there? We're in open session at the moment.  
10:00:19 14  
10:00:20 15 MR CHETTLE: I'll remove that as well.  
16  
10:00:22 17 COMMISSIONER: A person.  
10:00:23 18  
10:00:23 19 MR CHETTLE: A person of interest was [REDACTED]?---Yes.  
20  
10:00:26 21 COMMISSIONER: What date is this, Mr Chettle?  
10:00:28 22  
10:00:28 23 MR CHETTLE: 23 February 06, Commissioner.  
24  
10:00:30 25 COMMISSIONER: Thank you.  
10:00:31 26  
10:00:31 27 MR CHETTLE: You remember telling Mr Winneke that there was  
10:00:34 28 an occasion where you remembered clearly Ms Gobbo saying to  
10:00:40 29 you, "I don't care what you say, Mr White, I'm going to be  
10:00:44 30 there" or words to that effect?---Yes.  
31  
10:00:55 32 From the timing of this meeting, 23 February 06, could this  
10:01:01 33 be the meeting where she in fact said that to you?---It  
10:01:06 34 could be.  
35  
10:01:10 36 Have you been able to find it in any of the other meetings  
10:01:12 37 that are available?---No, I haven't but I haven't had the  
10:01:18 38 opportunity to go through all those recordings.  
39  
10:01:23 40 Thank you. After the notation in the diary was there - - -  
41  
10:01:35 42 COMMISSIONER: Were you wanting to tender anything in  
10:01:37 43 respect to that?  
10:01:38 44  
10:01:39 45 MR CHETTLE: I'm going to tender it in completion when I  
10:01:42 46 finish the entry, Commissioner, thank you.  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:01:45 1 COMMISSIONER: Sure.  
10:01:45 2  
10:01:46 3 MR CHETTLE: Was there a discussion with Ms Gobbo about  
10:01:49 4 finding another barrister in relation to witness? If you  
10:01:51 5 look at your diary?---Yes.  
6  
10:01:52 7 And being cryptic, what's your diary entry say without  
10:01:55 8 reference to that particular individual?---"Find another  
10:02:00 9 barrister to negotiate for" that individual.  
10  
10:02:05 11 I'll tender that extract, that diary entry for 23 February,  
10:02:10 12 Commissioner.  
10:02:13 13  
10:02:13 14 #EXHIBIT RC383A - (Confidential) Diary entry dated  
10:02:18 15 23/02/06.  
10:02:18 16  
10:02:18 17 #EXHIBIT RC383B - (Redacted version).  
10:02:23 18  
10:02:24 19 MR CHETTLE: Can you go to your diary for 27 February of  
10:02:28 20 06, please. Do you have an entry there in relation to a  
10:02:37 21 selection panel and resources for the unit? They involve  
10:03:04 22 in Mr Calishaw?---Yes.  
23  
10:03:10 24 What page of that in your diary?---265.  
25  
10:03:13 26 What's the entry read?---"Call to Acting Superintendent  
10:03:16 27 Calishaw. Request progress re selection of PII [REDACTED].  
10:03:22 28 File still with Commander. Not signed off".  
29  
10:03:25 30 Sorry, stop there. What's still with the Commander?---The  
10:03:30 31 file, the selection file.  
32  
10:03:33 33 Right?---"Cannot do notification until then. State urgent  
10:03:39 34 meeting for staff. Operating with one handler. Too much  
10:03:42 35 work and struggling. Cannot assist until selection is  
10:03:46 36 signed off". Then there's reference to another source.  
10:03:56 37 And then, "Discuss Ms Gobbo".  
38  
10:04:00 39 As far as Ms Gobbo's concerned was there discussion about  
10:04:03 40 her being involved with another unit?---Yes.  
41  
10:04:08 42 And the need for more than one handler?---Yes.  
43  
10:04:12 44 I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
10:04:14 45  
10:04:15 46 #EXHIBIT RC384A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
10:04:19 47 dated 27/02/06.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:04:24 1  
10:04:25 2 #EXHIBIT RC384B - (Redacted version).  
10:04:30 3  
10:04:31 4 MR CHETTLE: On 2 March did you get, according to your  
10:04:35 5 diary, notification from Mr Calishaw about some goods news,  
10:04:45 6 in your diary?  
7  
10:04:47 8 COMMISSIONER: What date's that?  
10:04:49 9  
10:04:50 10 MR CHETTLE: 2 March 06?---Yes.  
11  
10:05:05 12 Did you get a message, a phone call from him?---It was a  
10:05:09 13 call to Acting Superintendent Calishaw by me that was  
10:05:13 14 regarding budget and I was told had PII [REDACTED] for the DSU  
10:05:25 15 and PII [REDACTED] in another account for infrastructure. Do you want  
10:05:34 16 me to keep reading all this?  
17  
10:05:36 18 No, no, not all of it. The entry will speak for itself.  
10:05:40 19 That's about resources being made available to police to  
10:05:42 20 some extent for the DSU?---Yes.  
21  
10:05:46 22 I'll tender that diary entry of Mr Calishaw.  
23  
10:05:49 24 COMMISSIONER: It's Mr Calishaw's diary entry, is it?  
10:05:57 25  
10:05:58 26 MR CHETTLE: No, it's an entry with Mr Calishaw. It's  
10:06:01 27 Mr White's diary.  
10:06:01 28  
10:06:02 29 #EXHIBIT RC385A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
10:06:07 30 dated 02/03/06.  
10:06:09 31  
10:06:09 32 #EXHIBIT RC385B - (Redacted version).  
10:06:11 33  
10:06:12 34 MR CHETTLE: On 6 March 06 did Inspector McWhirter commence  
10:06:18 35 duty at the DSU? Perhaps if you look at your diary for  
10:06:24 36 that date you'll see an entry - - - ?---I can see that I  
10:06:27 37 met Mr McWhirter at 8.35 and briefed him in relation to  
10:06:38 38 controlled operations.  
39  
10:06:40 40 Does that indicate he started duty at the DSU at that  
10:06:45 41 time?---Yes. I have an entry on 3 March where I got a  
10:06:54 42 message from him saying that he starts at the DSU on  
10:07:01 43 Monday, which was 6 March.  
44  
10:07:03 45 Was a part-time Inspector or full-time Inspector, or don't  
10:07:10 46 you know?---I think he was full-time. I'm not sure.  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:07:14 1 All right. Did you then on 13 March go on leave yourself?  
10:07:24 2 If you look at your diary for the 13th?---Yes.  
3  
10:07:31 4 For what leave period were you on leave?---It looks like  
10:07:35 5 about two weeks. Actually, I came back to work on the 27th  
10:07:43 6 of March.  
7  
10:07:45 8 In your absence, according to your diary, who was acting as  
10:07:49 9 the controller?---Detective Inspector McWhirter.  
10  
10:07:55 11 I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
12  
10:07:57 13 COMMISSIONER: What date's that?  
10:07:59 14  
10:07:59 15 MR CHETTLE: 13 March 06.  
10:08:01 16  
10:08:01 17 #EXHIBIT RC386A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
10:08:05 18 dated 13/03/06.  
10:08:05 19  
10:08:05 20 #EXHIBIT RC386B - (Redacted version).  
10:08:09 21  
10:08:19 22 MR CHETTLE: On 19 March of 06, according to the source  
10:08:24 23 management log, did Detective Inspector McWhirter give  
10:08:31 24 Mr Smith permission to meet with Ms Gobbo on 20 March?  
25  
10:08:42 26 COMMISSIONER: Sorry, what was that name again?  
10:08:45 27  
10:08:45 28 MR CHETTLE: McWhirter.  
29  
10:08:47 30 COMMISSIONER: Yes. Who did he give permission to meet  
10:08:50 31 with Ms Gobbo?  
10:08:51 32  
10:08:52 33 MR CHETTLE: He gave permission to Mr Smith to meet with  
10:08:54 34 Ms Gobbo on 20 March 06, according to the log. Have you  
10:08:59 35 got that entry, Mr White?---Yes, that's right.  
36  
10:09:03 37 And prior to any meeting of a handler with a source that  
10:09:12 38 sort of thing had to occur?---Yes.  
39  
10:09:18 40 PII [REDACTED]  
10:09:26 41 PII [REDACTED]  
42  
10:09:31 43 PII [REDACTED]  
10:09:46 44 [REDACTED]  
10:09:53 45 [REDACTED]  
10:09:58 46 [REDACTED]  
10:10:03 47 [REDACTED]

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:10:06 1 PII [REDACTED]  
 10:10:11 2 PII [REDACTED]  
 3  
 10:10:12 4 That's what that's a reference to?---Yes, I think so.  
 5  
 10:10:15 6 Thank you. On 25 March, according to the source management  
 10:10:22 7 log, does Detective Inspector McWhirter authorise Mr Smith  
 10:10:28 8 to meet Ms Gobbo alone and deliver a certain item to  
 10:10:34 9 her?---Yes.  
 10  
 10:10:41 11 As far as the log's concerned that occurred on that same  
 10:10:45 12 day?---Yes.  
 13  
 10:10:49 14 Can I take you to later on that day. Is there a management  
 10:10:53 15 entry regarding Assistant Commissioner Overland?---Yes.  
 16  
 10:11:04 17 Can you read the entry and then I'll ask you questions  
 10:11:06 18 about it.  
 19  
 10:11:07 20 COMMISSIONER: So this is 25 March 06?  
 21  
 10:11:10 22 MR CHETTLE: 25 March 06, Commissioner?---"Consult with  
 10:11:15 23 investigators. AC Overland inquire with AFP and confirm  
 10:11:21 24 that human source phone not being intercepted. Probable  
 10:11:28 25 that human source has been heard on Karam phones which are  
 10:11:33 26 the subject of AFP operation. Contact arrangements between  
 10:11:39 27 handlers and source changed with [REDACTED] provided  
 10:11:42 28 for each. Call charge records to be checked re Waters'  
 10:11:48 29 phone and possible contact with VicPol AFP member during  
 10:11:52 30 relevant period."  
 31  
 10:11:55 32 Firstly, had Mr Waters indicated about this time to  
 10:11:59 33 Ms Gobbo that her phones were being monitored?---Yes.  
 34  
 10:12:03 35 And did Ms Gobbo inform you that during one of the trials  
 10:12:07 36 that she was acting for in the Supreme Court, she and  
 10:12:11 37 Mr Karam used to play games by sending texts to each other  
 10:12:17 38 quoting passages from police documents?---Yes.  
 39  
 10:12:21 40 And that reference to "probable that she's been heard on  
 10:12:27 41 Karam phones" relates to the probability that that game she  
 10:12:31 42 was playing with Karam would be overheard?---Yes.  
 43  
 10:12:38 44 What's Mr Overland's role in this? Perhaps I'll go back  
 10:12:49 45 one step further. Is this your note or Mr McWhirter's note  
 10:12:53 46 or is it someone else's note?---No, this is my note.  
 47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:12:56 1 You told us you came back to work on the 27th. This is the  
10:13:02 2 25th?---Yes. I can see I've got an entry on the 25th, I  
10:13:06 3 got a call from Officer Smith.  
4  
10:13:09 5 He rang you while you were on leave?---Yes.  
6  
10:13:12 7 And what happened, what's that about?---He updated me in  
10:13:17 8 relation to this entry regarding Waters and his thoughts  
10:13:21 9 that her phone was being intercepted. I've got a message  
10:13:33 10 after that update, I've got a note, "Agreed", that is it  
10:13:38 11 was agreed between myself and Officer Smith, that "I will  
10:13:41 12 meet with AC Overland on Monday re inquires at his level".  
13  
10:13:47 14 About whether that phone was off or not?---Yes.  
15  
10:13:52 16 Did you meet on Monday with Mr Overland?---I can't recall.  
17  
10:14:03 18 Does your diary help you?---Eventually I've got an entry  
10:14:29 19 where I was informed by Mr Smith, and this is on 25 March,  
10:14:38 20 he was rung by Jim O'Brien. He had spoken to Assistant  
10:14:47 21 Commissioner Overland, who made inquires with AFP, "Human  
10:14:50 22 source is not subject of a telephone intercept".  
23  
10:14:54 24 Right. That's all in your relevant entry in your diary for  
10:14:58 25 25 March 06?---Yes.  
26  
10:15:00 27 I'll tender that entry, Commissioner  
10:15:04 28  
10:15:10 29 #EXHIBIT RC387A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
10:15:12 30 dated 25/03/06.  
10:15:12 31  
10:15:13 32 #EXHIBIT RC387B - (Redacted version).  
10:15:15 33  
10:15:16 34 MR CHETTLE: Ergo as a result you didn't have to see  
10:15:19 35 Mr Overland on the Monday?---No.  
36  
10:15:25 37 On 28 March did you make an entry in the source management  
10:15:34 38 log about Purana members being aware of Ms Gobbo's  
10:15:39 39 identity?---Yes.  
40  
10:15:55 41 Firstly, was there a concern that you had about how many  
10:15:59 42 members of Purana were aware of who she was?---Yes.  
43  
10:16:04 44 And what did you do about it? How did that get  
10:16:08 45 resolved?---I recall I spoke to Jim O'Brien at Purana  
10:16:18 46 asking for a list of names who knew the identity of  
10:16:21 47 Ms Gobbo.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
10:16:22 2 Yes?---And then I discussed with him my strategy whereby -  
10:16:32 3 I just need to get my head around it. There's an entry in  
10:16:37 4 the log about this which comes from my diary.  
5  
10:16:40 6 Yes. Perhaps if you open your diary to 28 March 06 you  
10:16:44 7 might find an entry about "coach's address"?---That's  
10:17:01 8 right. Jim O'Brien was going to give the members who were  
10:17:05 9 aware of the identity the coach's address about ensuring  
10:17:08 10 that they didn't talk about the operation or her role  
10:17:16 11 outside of that little group that knew who she was.  
12  
10:17:20 13 Right. Did you then on that day make some decisions about  
10:17:26 14 handling her and how she should be managed, that is whether  
10:17:34 15 she was to have one handler or more and whether they'd be  
10:17:38 16 shared? What I'm looking to direct your attention to,  
10:18:04 17 Mr White, is an entry, "Primary handling duties to be  
10:18:10 18 shared amongst handlers. Determination that no one single  
10:18:11 19 handler could manage same continuously as she was too  
10:18:13 20 demanding". Perhaps it's easier if you go to the log,  
10:18:44 21 rather than the diary entry, for the 28th of - - - ?---I  
10:18:48 22 have found it. I have found the diary entry.  
23  
10:18:50 24 Okay. What's the diary entry say?---"Discussion re welfare  
10:18:56 25 issues and handling of Ms Gobbo. Agreed human source very  
10:19:02 26 demanding but since TM trial completed or TM disappeared  
10:19:08 27 demands on source lessened considerably. Source is a two  
10:19:14 28 man job to stay on top re intel. Agreed to relieve over  
10:19:20 29 weekend and now and then as needs".  
30  
10:19:23 31 Who was that discussion with?---That was with Officer  
10:19:32 32 Smith.  
33  
10:19:33 34 Who had been the primary handler up to that point of  
10:19:35 35 time?---Up to that point, that's right.  
36  
10:19:38 37 I'll tender that diary entry for 28 March, Commissioner.  
10:19:41 38  
10:19:42 39 #EXHIBIT RC388A - (Confidential) Dairy entry of Sandy White  
10:19:46 40 dated 28/03/06.  
10:19:46 41  
10:19:47 42 #EXHIBIT RC388B - (Redacted version).  
10:19:50 43  
10:19:51 44 MR CHETTLE: Two days later on 30 March did you meet with  
10:19:54 45 Superintendent Porter?---Yes, I did.  
46  
10:19:58 47 What was the effect of that meeting?---That was a briefing

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:20:03 1 in relation to Ms Gobbo and also in relation to Waters, who  
10:20:10 2 was an ex-policeman, and the potential that he might have  
10:20:14 3 been accessing information from the AFP.  
4  
10:20:17 5 When you briefed him about Ms Gobbo, what does that involve  
10:20:21 6 in a general sense?---I would told him, I would have given  
10:20:27 7 him an update in relation to what sort of intel she was  
10:20:30 8 providing, who it was about, what any strategies were at  
10:20:33 9 that point in time.  
10  
10:20:37 11 You have a log entry but do you have a diary entry in  
10:20:40 12 relation to that meeting?---Yes.  
13  
10:21:00 14 At page?---I have - this is on p.8, "07:30 meet with  
10:21:07 15 Superintendent Porter. Meet with team". So I think he  
10:21:15 16 must have come down to our office. "Inspect office.  
10:21:21 17 Update re four active sources."  
18  
10:21:24 19 Including 3838?---Yes.  
20  
10:21:27 21 Yes?---"Need for new premises and [PII] lease. Need for  
10:21:34 22 admin. support re ICR preparation."  
10:21:40 23  
10:21:40 24 MR HOLT: Commissioner, can the reference to the nature of  
10:21:43 25 the lease be taken out.  
26  
10:21:46 27 COMMISSIONER: The word "[PII]".  
10:21:47 28  
10:21:48 29 MR HOLT: Yes. It's been removed from other documents.  
10:21:50 30 I'd be grateful if it could be taken from this.  
31  
10:21:54 32 COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right, we'll that that out.  
10:21:57 33  
10:21:57 34 MR CHETTLE: Sorry. Continue on, Mr White?---That's it for  
10:22:03 35 that particular entry in relation to meeting with  
10:22:06 36 Superintendent Porter.  
37  
10:22:07 38 All right. I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
10:22:11 39  
10:22:11 40 #EXHIBIT RC389A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
10:22:19 41 dated 30/03/06.  
10:22:19 42  
10:22:20 43 #EXHIBIT RC389B - (Redacted version).  
44  
10:22:23 45 According to your diary the following day, 30 March, you  
10:22:27 46 have a meeting with Mr McWhirter, Detective Inspector  
10:22:32 47 McWhirter?---On the 30th of March?

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
10:22:42 2 31st of March. I'll cut quickly to the point on this one,  
10:22:47 3 Mr White. It says, "Meet with McWhirter re final report  
10:22:52 4 recommendations". What's the final report recommendation  
10:22:55 5 that you are talking about?---That was a report that I  
10:23:01 6 prepared for the steering committee in relation to the  
10:23:05 7 findings of the Dedicated Source Unit pilot.  
8  
10:23:08 9 One of the documents we tendered at the start of your  
10:23:13 10 evidence?---Yes.  
11  
10:23:13 12 I won't take you through that then. On 4 April of 06 did  
10:23:19 13 you meet with Mr McLean at HSMU?---Yes. I had a phone  
10:23:48 14 call, phone conversation with him.  
15  
10:23:49 16 About what?---My note says, "Call to G Mc", which is Geoff  
10:24:02 17 McLean, "IMU re intel to AFP. No protocol in existence  
10:24:10 18 yet, to be discussed at (indistinct) human source working  
10:24:13 19 group. Discuss protocol and process for having demerit  
10:24:17 20 points withdrawn re licence speeding fines. Assistant  
10:24:26 21 Commissioner Traffic advised of approval by RW". I'm not  
10:24:37 22 sure who that is. "Direction then to Richie to withdraw."  
10:24:42 23 Richie was a Senior Sergeant at the traffic fines area.  
24  
10:24:46 25 He signs - - - ?---"Agreed new Assistant Commissioner  
10:24:51 26 Traffic need to brief same re security."  
27  
10:24:55 28 Does that relate to the speeding fines of Ms Gobbo being  
10:24:59 29 withdrawn?---Yes.  
30  
10:25:00 31 Or one of them anyway. Do you know who the Assistant  
10:25:05 32 Commissioner of Traffic was at the time?---No.  
33  
10:25:21 34 I'll move forward, if I can, to 7 April 06. Did you  
10:25:33 35 receive an update from Officer Smith in relation to  
10:25:38 36 Ms Gobbo wanting to get an adjournment of a proceeding that  
10:25:43 37 was to be listed in the County Court?---Yes.  
38  
10:25:54 39 Did you give, or were there any directions given to her  
10:26:00 40 about that adjournment?---Yes, there were discussions about  
10:26:25 41 her thinking about getting an adjournment if she's sick.  
10:26:31 42 She was planning to go to hospital. "That individual one  
10:26:37 43 adjournment so they could keep making money." Our advice  
10:26:42 44 was if she is sick her health is our priority. "If matter  
10:26:45 45 is adjourned will be advantageous to investigation but will  
10:26:51 46 not condone or request deception of the court. It is a  
10:26:55 47 matter for human source. Human source should not put

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:27:02 1 client's poor health."  
2  
10:27:04 3 Is that an entry you are reading from your diary?---That's  
10:27:09 4 - yes, I'm reading that from my diary. It's an update from  
5 Officer Smith.  
6  
10:27:16 7 That's 7 April 06?---Yes.  
8  
10:27:18 9 I'll tender that, Commissioner.  
10:27:20 10  
10:27:21 11 #EXHIBIT RC390A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
10:27:24 12 dated 07/04/06.  
10:27:24 13  
10:27:24 14 #EXHIBIT RC390B - (Redacted version).  
10:27:26 15  
10:27:27 16 MR CHETTLE: On 11 April 06 was a reward application for  
10:27:31 17 the speed camera fines that we referred to before hand  
10:27:41 18 delivered to Superintendent Porter? I'm referring to the  
10:27:50 19 source management log for that day?---Yes, it was.  
20  
10:28:06 21 Is that document - I perhaps formally ask if that  
10:28:10 22 document's available, the reward application for the  
10:28:19 23 speeding fine.  
10:28:20 24  
10:28:20 25 MR HOLT: My memory, Commissioner, is it's already been  
10:28:22 26 referred to and probably tendered.  
10:28:26 27  
10:28:26 28 MR CHETTLE: Thank you. I won't call it up, Commissioner.  
10:28:28 29 All right. Can I take you to two entries on [REDACTED] of  
10:28:36 30 06. This is in the log and perhaps - you'll see there's  
10:28:45 31 reference to a location at a [REDACTED] was provided and that she  
10:28:50 32 was asked to [REDACTED] for a certain individual, do  
10:28:54 33 you see that?---Yes.  
34  
10:28:57 35 This is her reporting - - -  
36  
10:29:01 37 COMMISSIONER: This is Nicola Gobbo.  
10:29:04 38  
10:29:04 39 MR CHETTLE: This is Nicola Gobbo reporting to Officer  
10:29:07 40 Black, who in turn tells you, is that the way it  
10:29:11 41 works?---Yes.  
42  
10:29:12 43 What instruction was she given, or what result - when she  
10:29:15 44 said she was asked to [REDACTED], what was she told? Can I  
10:29:47 45 take you to the log, Mr White, source management log. Do  
10:29:52 46 you have that there?---Yes.  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:29:53 1 For [REDACTED]. You'll see there's two entries?---Yes.  
2  
10:29:56 3 One following the other about - one, a phone call to and  
10:30:04 4 from Mr Black, and another one from Mr Green that follows  
10:30:07 5 subsequently?---Yes.  
6  
10:30:09 7 In relation to those there's instructions given to  
10:30:13 8 her?---So on [REDACTED] - this information is contained  
10:30:18 9 within a contact report - Ms Gobbo was asked to [REDACTED]  
10:30:22 10 for that individual.  
11  
10:30:23 12 Yes?---And Mr Black instructed her not to participate in  
10:30:28 13 any proceeds of crime offence and "cannot [REDACTED].  
14  
10:30:35 15 That "[REDACTED]", is there a procedure in place  
10:30:39 16 where sources can [REDACTED] for [REDACTED]  
10:30:45 17 [REDACTED]?---Yes.  
18  
10:30:46 19 But no [REDACTED] was given or sought in relation to  
10:30:50 20 Ms Gobbo?---No.  
21  
10:30:53 22 Mr Black told her that. What about Mr Green?---Again, he's  
10:31:02 23 had several contacts with her on the phone. She was asked  
10:31:05 24 by that individual to hold [REDACTED]. She was advised  
10:31:11 25 by Mr Green not to involve herself in proceeds of crime.  
26  
10:31:20 27 You recall Mr Winneke asked you about whether or not there  
10:31:23 28 would be any investigation as to whether or not she did  
10:31:26 29 [REDACTED] for that individual, do you remember  
10:31:29 30 that?---Yes.  
31  
10:31:31 32 Whose role would it be to investigate something like  
10:31:35 33 that?---We would have referred that off to a team of  
10:31:41 34 investigators.  
35  
10:31:43 36 Is it a role of the SDU to conduct investigations into  
10:31:47 37 matters like that?---No.  
38  
10:31:55 39 On [REDACTED] did you have a further discussion about the  
10:32:01 40 adjournment of the trial of the individual we're talking  
10:32:05 41 about?---Yes.  
42  
10:32:10 43 Was there a resolution or a discussion about Mr Overland  
10:32:18 44 approaching the Office of Public Prosecutions? Again if I  
10:32:33 45 take you to [REDACTED] for the log firstly?---So on [REDACTED]  
10:32:47 46 I had a meeting with Operation Purana.  
47

.02/09/19

5271

WHITE RE-XN

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:32:50 1 Does that mean Jim O'Brien or does it mean - - - ?---Jim  
10:32:54 2 O'Brien, yes.  
3  
10:32:55 4 Yes?---I was told that the individual we'd discussed  
10:33:00 5 previously and [REDACTED] had been located.  
6  
10:33:04 7 Yes?---There was discussions about the trial being  
10:33:06 8 adjourned and I've got a note, "Assistant Commissioner to  
10:33:10 9 approach OPP".  
10  
10:33:11 11 Who would arrange that?---Jim O'Brien would have made the  
10:33:19 12 approach to Mr Overland.  
13  
10:33:21 14 Do you know whether that happened or that's the end of it  
10:33:24 15 for you? Is there anything in your diary about that?---I'm  
10:33:39 16 just reading the entries. I've got an entry here, "Jim  
10:33:44 17 O'Brien to meet with Assistant Commissioner today re  
10:33:47 18 adjournment via Coghlan, OPP".  
19  
10:33:53 20 What date's that, [REDACTED]?---That is on [REDACTED] on p.52.  
21  
10:34:03 22 I'll tender that entry.  
10:34:07 23  
10:34:07 24 #EXHIBIT RC391A - (Confidential) Diary entry dated  
10:34:12 25 [REDACTED]/06.  
10:34:15 26  
10:34:15 27 #EXHIBIT RC391B - (Redacted version).  
10:34:18 28  
10:34:18 29 MR CHETTLE: On [REDACTED], the next day, did you meet with  
10:34:20 30 Superintendent Porter and were you advised on that day that  
10:34:25 31 Superintendent Biggin would be conducting his independent  
10:34:28 32 review that we spoke about last time you were here?---Yes.  
33  
10:34:46 34 On that same day did you have a meeting with Mr Black and  
10:34:53 35 Mr Smith in relation to problems that you were having with  
10:34:57 36 Ms Gobbo?---Yes.  
37  
10:35:03 38 What was that meeting, what was the upshot of that  
10:35:08 39 meeting?---The issue was her representing that individual  
10:35:15 40 after arrest. I've got a note, "Evidence from him  
10:35:24 41 implicating himself may not be admissible if counsel not  
10:35:27 42 impartial". And then, "Agreed investigators to be warned.  
10:35:33 43 Intended that that individual be interviewed prior to  
10:35:35 44 [REDACTED] PII  
45  
10:35:38 46 [REDACTED] PII - when he was, that individual was  
10:35:47 47 arrested, did [REDACTED] PII attend at the police

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:35:51 1 station?---Yes.  
2  
10:36:03 3 I'll come back to that in closed hearing as well,  
10:36:06 4 Commissioner.  
5  
10:36:07 6 COMMISSIONER: What date is that that we're talking about?  
10:36:11 7  
10:36:11 8 MR CHETTLE: [REDACTED] 06, Commissioner.  
9  
10:36:14 10 COMMISSIONER: Are you wanting to tender that diary entry?  
10:36:18 11  
10:36:18 12 MR CHETTLE: Yes, I will. Do you have your diary there,  
10:36:21 13 Mr White?---Yes.  
14  
10:36:22 15 What page?---That entry is on p.56.  
16  
10:36:25 17 I'll tender that.  
10:36:27 18  
10:36:28 19 #EXHIBIT RC392A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
10:36:32 20 dated [REDACTED] 06.  
10:36:32 21  
10:36:33 22 #EXHIBIT RC392B - (Redacted version).  
10:36:39 23  
10:36:43 24 MR CHETTLE: You'll remember you were asked questions about  
10:36:45 25 Ms Gobbo being shown - I think, Commissioner, I'll have to  
10:36:54 26 ask that we go into closed session. It's becoming almost  
10:36:58 27 impossible. At this stage I'm mentioning things I can't do  
10:37:02 28 in open session.  
29  
10:37:04 30 COMMISSIONER: All right then. We'll be in closed session  
10:37:06 31 for the rest of the re-examination?  
10:37:08 32  
10:37:09 33 MR CHETTLE: I hope not. When I can get out of it I'll  
10:37:11 34 tell you, Commissioner.  
35  
36  
10:37:17 37 COMMISSIONER: Do we need an adjournment or are we able to  
10:37:19 38 go into closed session? We'll go into closed session then  
10:37:31 39 forthwith with the orders, the following orders. from  
10:37:36 40 10.39 am I'm satisfied that under the Inquiries Act access  
10:37:39 41 to the Inquiry during the evidence of this witness is  
10:37:42 42 limited to legal representatives and staff assisting the  
10:37:45 43 Royal Commission, the following parties with leave to  
10:37:47 44 appear in the private hearing and their legal  
10:37:50 45 representatives: The State of Victoria, Victoria Police  
10:37:53 46 including Media Unit representatives, Director of Public  
10:37:54 47 Prosecutions and Office of Public Prosecutions,  
Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions, Ms Nicola

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police.  
These claims are not yet resolved.

10:37:58 1 Gobbo, SDU handlers and Australian Federal Police. The  
10:38:02 2 legal representatives of the following parties with leave  
10:38:04 3 to appear: Stephen Asling, Pasquale Barbaro, Faruk Orman,  
10:38:09 4 Person 14, John William Higgs. Media representatives  
10:38:15 5 accredited by the Royal Commission are allowed to be  
10:38:17 6 present in the hearing room. The hearing is to be recorded  
10:38:18 7 but not streamed or broadcast. Subject to any further  
10:38:19 8 order there is to be no publication of any material,  
10:38:22 9 statements, information or evidence given, made or referred  
10:38:26 10 to before the Commission which could identify or tend to  
10:38:30 11 identify the persons referred to as Witness A, Witness B,  
10:38:35 12 Witness X, Person 14, any member of the Source Development  
10:38:41 13 unit or their whereabouts. A copy of this order is to be  
10:38:42 14 posted on the hearing room door.

15

(IN CAMERA HEARING FOLLOWS)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47