

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

1            PROCEEDINGS IN CAMERA:

10:38:47 2  
10:38:48 3            MR CHETTLE: Thank you, Commissioner. Mr White, I'll take  
10:38:50 4 you back to that entry of [REDACTED] now that we're in closed  
10:38:53 5 session. It's the diary entry on p.56?---Yes.

6  
10:38:58 7            You said there that there was a problem if she acted for  
10:39:05 8 [REDACTED] all right?---Yes.

9  
10:39:09 10           Then you've got "investigators to be warned". What's that  
10:39:11 11 mean?---So the investigators were to be told about our  
10:39:20 12 concern about the fact that she might try and come to  
10:39:22 13 represent [REDACTED] and what the possible ramifications of  
10:39:31 14 that would be.

15  
10:39:32 16           Did that happen, were the investigators warned or not?  
10:39:36 17 Does your diary throw light on that?---I'm sure they would  
10:39:40 18 have been but I don't know where it is at this moment.

19  
10:39:48 20           Page 56 of his diary. Have you got it, Mr Winneke? Would  
10:39:57 21 you read out your entry on p.56, Mr Winneke doesn't have  
10:40:01 22 it?---18:00, "Meet with Black and Smith re Ms Gobbo. Issue  
10:40:20 23 re human source representing [REDACTED] after arrest.  
10:40:26 24 Evidence from [REDACTED] implicating self may not be  
10:40:30 25 admissible if counsel not impartial. Agreed investigators  
10:40:36 26 to be warned. Intended that [REDACTED] be interviewed prior  
10:40:46 27 to recruitment pitch", and then we're on p.57.

28  
10:40:53 29           Is that the end of the entry?---No, it goes on for the rest  
10:40:56 30 of the page.

31  
10:40:57 32           What's the next part of the entry, does it relate to that  
10:41:00 33 or to some other matter?---It's the same matter. "Big  
10:41:05 34 picture is Mokbel cartel. [REDACTED] is avenue of inquiry  
10:41:10 35 re same. Investigators intend to use [REDACTED] as a  
10:41:19 36 witness if he agrees. Possibly task [REDACTED] to visit  
10:41:26 37 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] Purana request that  
10:41:36 38 DSU assist in deployment".

39  
10:41:39 40           Just stopping you there. Does that mean they wanted you to  
10:41:42 41 help in the deployment of [REDACTED] ---Yes, I remember  
10:41:45 42 there were some discussions about [REDACTED]  
10:41:51 43 [REDACTED] and us managing him.

44  
10:41:55 45           For that purpose did anyone - well, did anyone from [REDACTED]  
10:42:00 46 [REDACTED] at the police station when he was arrested?---Yes.

47

.02/09/19

5275

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

10:42:05 1 Who was that?--It was [REDACTED] I can't remember  
10:42:12 2 whether it was [REDACTED] or [REDACTED].  
3  
10:42:15 4 I think you'll find from the records it was Green. [REDACTED]  
10:42:20 5 [REDACTED]  
10:42:23 6  
10:42:24 7 MR HOLT: Commissioner, there's been a reference just given  
10:42:29 8 to a [REDACTED] being taken in respect of [REDACTED] which  
10:42:29 9 has been avoided to date, even in respect of closed  
10:42:30 10 hearings. I wonder if there could be an order of  
10:42:35 11 non-publication of that, at least in the interim,  
10:42:37 12 Commissioner.  
13  
10:42:39 14 COMMISSIONER: Okay. What are you suggesting the order be?  
15  
10:42:46 16 MR HOLT: For those assisting, Commissioner, it's line 21  
10:42:51 17 and 22 of the transcript on p.5286.  
18  
10:42:59 19 COMMISSIONER: Can you go back to that, please? What line  
10:43:09 20 was it?  
10:43:10 21  
10:43:11 22 MR HOLT: 21 and 22, Commissioner.  
23  
10:43:13 24 COMMISSIONER: Okay. You can take out the words, lines 21  
10:43:29 25 and 22, from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED].  
10:43:36 26  
10:43:37 27 MR HOLT: Yes, Commissioner. Those two lines.  
28  
10:43:39 29 COMMISSIONER: Are the whole of the two lines meant to go  
10:43:42 30 out? "There were some discussions about" can stay in and  
10:43:47 31 [REDACTED] goes out.  
10:43:47 32  
10:43:47 33 MR HOLT: Just from the word about, Commissioner, I think  
10:43:50 34 would be sufficient.  
10:43:50 35  
10:43:50 36 COMMISSIONER: "Just about" can stay in and then just  
10:43:50 37 [REDACTED] through to [REDACTED]" needs to go out, doesn't  
10:43:53 38 it?  
10:43:53 39  
10:43:54 40 MR WINNEKE: Again, I just make the point that it's not  
10:43:57 41 accepted that this is a matter of public interest immunity.  
10:43:59 42 It's not accepted.  
43  
10:44:01 44 COMMISSIONER: At some time we'll have to have this  
10:44:03 45 argument to sort it out.  
46  
10:44:04 47 MR WINNEKE: We're going to have to have the argument. But

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

10:44:06 1 I just wanted to make the point, Commissioner. What has  
10:44:08 2 occurred - we're in private hearing with a non-publication  
10:44:13 3 order - what occurs is that there a period of about two or  
10:44:16 4 three days where - - -  
10:44:18 5  
10:44:18 6 MR HOLT: Sorry, Mr Winneke. Can I indicate, Commissioner,  
10:44:22 7 rather than dealing with this in this way, can I say this:  
10:44:27 8 if it's a matter that counsel assisting consider needs to  
10:44:31 9 be explored for the Commission to do its job, and we accept  
10:44:33 10 that, it's simply a question of what arrangements need to  
10:44:36 11 be in place in terms of publication and I suspect we can  
12 simply agree to that at some point during the course of the  
10:44:40 13 day and the issue can be explored along with some of the  
10:44:43 14 others Mr Chettle wishes to explore. I'm happy to discuss  
10:44:45 15 that with my friend briefly and then it could be explored.  
10:44:48 16 If our friends say it's relevant, we understand that. We  
10:44:52 17 don't assert PII in the sense that the Commissioner  
10:44:55 18 shouldn't be entitled to hear evidence about it, it simply  
10:44:59 19 would be an issue of publication and who was present. If  
10:45:01 20 we could just discuss that at the break rather than dealing  
10:45:04 21 with it in running, I'm happy to do that.  
10:45:04 22  
10:45:04 23 COMMISSIONER: Should the order rather be that there be  
10:45:08 24 non-publication then of the words from [REDACTED] to  
10:45:09 25 [REDACTED] - - -  
10:45:11 26  
10:45:11 27 MR HOLT: Yes, thank you, Commissioner. Then we'll see if  
10:45:13 28 we can deal with the issue later. We don't wish to hold up  
10:45:18 29 or interfere with the work of the Commission.  
30  
31 COMMISSIONER: Thanks. That's the order then, they'll be  
10:45:19 32 no publication of the words at lines 21 to 22 from  
10:45:22 33 [REDACTED] through to [REDACTED].  
10:45:25 34  
10:45:25 35 MR CHETTLE: Commissioner, it's obviously a relevant  
10:45:27 36 factor. In cross-examination Mr Winneke explored what they  
10:45:31 37 were doing at the police station line, if I can put it that  
10:45:34 38 way. There were questions asked of Mr White about why [REDACTED]  
10:45:37 39 were [REDACTED] at the relevant time. This goes  
10:45:41 40 directly to that issue. That's why I'm doing it, to  
10:45:44 41 explain why they were there.  
42  
10:45:46 43 COMMISSIONER: You understand it's just a non-publication  
10:45:49 44 order.  
10:45:50 45  
10:45:50 46 MR CHETTLE: It's not stopping me proceeding at this point.  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

10:45:55 1 COMMISSIONER: No.  
10:45:56 2  
10:45:56 3 MR HOLT: I think Mr Winneke wishes to explore it as well.  
10:46:00 4 It's just a matter I didn't realise it was going to be  
10:46:02 5 raised in morning. I just will need to take some  
10:46:04 6 instructions on it and work out whether there are any other  
10:46:07 7 steps or orders that would need to be made. I wonder if it  
10:46:13 8 could be left for now. I know we are going to do with a  
9 number of sensitive issues later in relation to this  
10:46:15 10 witness's evidence and it might be better to deal with them  
10:46:16 11 as a block, Commissioner, because they'll probably need to  
10:46:19 12 have, at least in our submission, a similar set of orders  
13 associated with them.  
14  
10:46:21 15 COMMISSIONER: Are you wanting, Mr Holt, to go on to  
10:46:24 16 another topic and come back to this?  
10:46:27 17  
10:46:27 18 MR HOLT: Yes, Commissioner, or deal with this topic but in  
10:46:30 19 a way that doesn't deal with that particular issue.  
20 There's not difficulty otherwise.  
21  
10:46:33 22 COMMISSIONER: Mr Chettle, I gather the topic is fairly  
10:46:36 23 entwined with this area.  
10:46:38 24  
10:46:38 25 MR CHETTLE: It is, Commissioner.  
26  
10:46:39 27 COMMISSIONER: Are you able to come back to this?  
10:46:44 28  
10:46:44 29 MR CHETTLE: That's why I asked for the court to be closed.  
30  
10:46:47 31 COMMISSIONER: To be closed, yes.  
10:46:48 32  
10:46:48 33 MR CHETTLE: Commissioner, do you have a copy of the log?  
34  
10:46:50 35 COMMISSIONER: Do I have a copy of the log?  
10:46:52 36  
10:46:53 37 MR CHETTLE: The source management log up there?  
38  
10:46:55 39 COMMISSIONER: No, I don't. They can get it up for me  
10:46:59 40 though.  
10:47:00 41  
10:47:00 42 MR CHETTLE: I'm dealing with his diary entry, sorry.  
43  
10:47:03 44 COMMISSIONER: I haven't got that either.  
10:47:06 45  
10:47:07 46 MR CHETTLE: It is going to break - I'm now going on to a  
10:47:11 47 whole lot of topics that flow from this. Could we have the

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

10:47:16 1 mid-morning break now and I'll talk to Mr Winneke and  
10:47:20 2 Mr Holt about it straight away.  
3  
10:47:42 4 COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right then. We'll do that.  
5  
6 (Short adjournment.)  
7  
11:13:43 8 COMMISSIONER: Yes Mr Holt.  
11:13:45 9  
11:13:45 10 MR HOLT: Yes Commissioner. The issue that arises  
11:13:47 11 presently is the issue around the activities of ██████████  
11:13:52 12 in the ██████████ days following his arrest on ██████████ 2006.  
11:13:57 13 Can I say this: we accept without reservation that what  
11:14:00 14 occurs over that ██████████ period is relevant and indeed  
11:14:04 15 important to the Commission's work. On that basis the way  
11:14:07 16 in which we respectfully submit matters could proceed in  
11:14:09 17 this instance is for the Commissioner to make an order  
11:14:12 18 prohibiting the publication of the activities of ██████████  
11:14:16 19 in the ██████████ days following his arrest on ██████████ 2006. I  
11:14:22 20 put it in those terms, Commissioner, because otherwise the  
11:14:25 21 terms of the order which would need to go on the door would  
11:14:29 22 defeat the purpose of the making of the order.  
11:14:32 23  
11:14:32 24 COMMISSIONER: Just give me those words again, please.  
11:14:35 25  
11:14:36 26 MR HOLT: Yes Commissioner. "The activities of ██████████  
11:14:38 27 in the ██████████ days following his arrest on ██████████ 2006."  
11:14:44 28 In fact it probably should say "of the status and  
11:14:47 29 activities" I would think to be safe.  
11:14:55 30  
11:14:56 31 COMMISSIONER: ██████████ days following his arrest in when?  
11:14:58 32  
11:14:59 33 MR HOLT: On ██████████ 2006. Our learned friends assisting  
11:15:07 34 the Commissioner obviously legitimately raise the question  
11:15:09 35 of whether that ought be a permanent or a temporary  
11:15:13 36 position in terms of public interest immunity. Might I  
11:15:16 37 respectfully suggest the way that we deal with that would  
11:15:18 38 be in this way. We will prepare, and I'll attempt to do it  
11:15:20 39 tonight, a submission to the Commissioner explaining why we  
11:15:23 40 say those arrangements ought be permanent on that basis and  
11:15:28 41 the Commission can consider those in whatever form the  
11:15:33 42 Commission wishes to. And I will attempt to do that  
11:15:34 43 overnight, Commissioner.  
11:15:34 44  
11:15:34 45 COMMISSIONER: All right then. No doubt this has already  
11:15:35 46 been said to you, but obviously you can see it's relevant,  
11:15:39 47 it's highly relevant.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:15:40 1  
11:15:41 2 MR HOLT: It's central, Commissioner, we don't take any  
11:15:46 3 issue with that.  
11:15:47 4  
11:15:47 5 COMMISSIONER: I understand that. There's the important  
11:15:49 6 difference between when somebody's an informer and when  
11:15:53 7 they become a witness, which is what happened here, he  
11:15:56 8 became a witness. And then once he becomes a witness is  
11:16:03 9 his previous role with the police a matter of public record  
11:16:09 10 then and can be explored publicly?  
11:16:09 11  
11:16:10 12 MR HOLT: That would be determined on a case by case basis,  
11:16:13 13 Commissioner. In our submission the status of a person as  
11:16:16 14 a human source would still prima facie at least be  
11:16:17 15 protected by public interest immunity and it will be a  
11:16:20 16 question of balancing. One could well see situations  
11:16:23 17 where, for example, a person's role as a human source might  
11:16:27 18 extend over a long period of time and deal with matters  
11:16:30 19 that were unrelated to the status of a witness, and then  
11:16:32 20 one can see things at the other end of the spectrum.  
11:16:36 21  
22 COMMISSIONER: Which this tends to be at the other end of  
11:16:37 23 the spectrum, it's very close to him becoming a witness.  
11:16:40 24  
25 MR HOLT: I accept that without reservation, Commissioner.  
11:16:41 26 But of course the public policy imperative that underlies  
11:16:44 27 the protection of human sources applies at a level of  
11:16:49 28 generality as well as a level of specificity in terms of  
11:16:50 29 the risks of persons not, the chilling effect of the  
11:16:52 30 release of the name of a person. As we say, Commissioner,  
11:16:55 31 we think - and it may in fact be that all of this is moot  
11:16:59 32 in this sense, because the non-publication order in respect  
11:17:05 33 of this particular person's identity may well in fact mean  
11:17:07 34 that these details might be likely to identify that person.  
35 So it may be that I'm being overly cautious in seeking this  
11:17:12 36 order but I do seek it. But what we'll do, as I say, if  
11:17:16 37 the Commissioner pleases is to articulate that submission  
11:17:19 38 so that the Commissioner can consider it in its full way.  
11:17:23 39 I don't expect in the circumstances we will be filing  
11:17:25 40 evidence with it, though we may. The other benefit of  
11:17:29 41 this, Commissioner, is that it gives us an opportunity to  
11:17:30 42 advise those representing ██████████ in case he wishes to be  
11:17:35 43 heard on the matter, which is obviously an issue which  
11:17:37 44 would need to be resolved too and we would undertake to do  
11:17:41 45 that today to ensure that he was aware of it.  
11:17:42 46  
11:17:42 47 COMMISSIONER: The other thing which concerns me is whether

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:17:45 1 those who were convicted on the evidence of [REDACTED] I  
11:17:48 2 think it was [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], have they been given  
11:17:56 3 full discovery of the material relating to [REDACTED] as an  
11:18:00 4 informer immediately leading up to the evidence gathering  
11:18:05 5 and then becoming a witness in their case?  
11:18:08 6  
11:18:08 7 MR HOLT: Commissioner, that's a matter upon which I will  
11:18:11 8 need to take instructions and I will do so shortly.  
11:18:13 9 Certainly if there is material that would need to be  
11:18:16 10 disclosed to them then we would need to find a way of doing  
11:18:21 11 that in an appropriate way and that might be a matter that  
11:18:22 12 the Commissioner would need to hear submissions on also.  
11:18:23 13 But again I'll look at that issue too if I may,  
11:18:25 14 Commissioner.  
11:18:25 15  
11:18:25 16 COMMISSIONER: You're wanting a temporary order. I'll just  
11:18:28 17 see what others say about that.  
11:18:29 18  
11:18:31 19 MR HOLT: From our perspective that would permit the matter  
11:18:32 20 to be explored in the way that it obviously should be  
11:18:34 21 explored over the next period of time, today.  
22  
23 COMMISSIONER: Mr Chettle, you don't want to make any  
11:18:36 24 submissions?  
11:18:36 25  
11:18:37 26 MR CHETTLE: I have nothing to say about that.  
27  
28 COMMISSIONER: Anybody other than Mr Winneke to make  
11:18:45 29 submissions at this point? No. Yes Mr Winneke.  
11:18:45 30  
11:18:45 31 MR WINNEKE: Commissioner, we are content at this stage for  
11:18:47 32 a temporary order in the terms that our learned friend has  
11:18:50 33 suggested, obviously we will wait and see what he has by  
11:18:55 34 way of submissions and I won't say anything further until I  
11:19:02 35 get those.  
11:19:02 36  
37 COMMISSIONER: All right then.  
38  
39 MR WINNEKE: Thank you Commissioner.  
40  
11:19:03 41 COMMISSIONER: I'll make an interim order that there be no  
11:19:04 42 publication of the status and activities of [REDACTED] in  
11:19:07 43 the [REDACTED] days following his arrest on [REDACTED] 2006.  
11:19:11 44  
11:19:12 45 MR HOLT: As the Commission pleases.  
11:19:17 46  
11:19:17 47 COMMISSIONER: Yes Mr Chettle.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
11:19:20 2 MR CHETTLE: Do we have the witness, Commissioner?  
11:19:20 3  
11:19:20 4 COMMISSIONER: Mr White, you can hear us?---Yes,  
5  
6 Commissioner.  
7  
11:19:21 7 Mr White is there.  
11:19:21 8  
11:19:22 9 MR CHETTLE: Thank you Commissioner. Mr White, can I take  
11:19:23 10 you back to the [REDACTED] 06 entry in your diary please.  
11:19:34 11 It's Exhibit 392, Commissioner, I've already tendered it.  
11:19:39 12 You note there that it's intended that [REDACTED] be  
11:19:43 13 interviewed prior to recruitment pitch, do you see  
11:19:46 14 that?---Yes.  
11:19:47 15  
11:19:48 16 In relation to that, was it anticipated that he may in fact  
11:19:53 17 be recruited in a more general way rather than just as a  
11:19:58 18 witness?---The investigator's plan was to see if he would  
11:20:10 19 agree to have meetings with other people in the Mokbel  
11:20:13 20 crew.  
11:20:15 21  
11:20:15 22 That is the ones named in your diary?---Yes.  
11:20:19 23  
11:20:19 24 They asked, Purana that is when I say they, asked for your  
11:20:24 25 group to assist in deployment?---They did at one point,  
11:20:29 26 yes.  
11:20:29 27  
11:20:31 28 That's in your diary entry, isn't it?---Yes.  
11:20:33 29  
11:20:33 30 By that, did that mean they wanted you to actually help  
11:20:38 31 with getting [REDACTED] to speak to [REDACTED]  
11:20:43 32 and [REDACTED]?---Yes.  
11:20:45 33  
11:20:45 34 Did you agree to that?---No.  
11:20:48 35  
11:20:49 36 I need to take you to the rest of your entry. Do you then  
11:20:54 37 have an entry in relation to what was agreed and what the  
11:20:56 38 discussion was with Purana about that?---I have a, I have a  
11:21:08 39 recording here, "Purana request that DSU assist in  
11:21:12 40 deployment". I've then got notes in relation to Ms Gobbo's  
11:21:17 41 file being reviewed by Superintendent Biggin, but I don't  
11:21:23 42 think this is about the actual request from Purana to  
11:21:27 43 deploy [REDACTED]  
11:21:30 44  
11:21:30 45 There was a note, "Agreed three dot points", do you see  
11:21:35 46 that?---Yes, on that page?  
11:21:42 47

.02/09/19

5282

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:21:42 1 Yes?---Yes.  
11:21:43 2  
11:21:43 3 What was agreed and who with?---"Tactical decisions re  
11:21:49 4 Ms Gobbo made in accordance with investigators.  
11:21:53 5 Independent review to check process being complied with.  
11:22:01 6 Consideration whether Ms Gobbo too high risk." And then,  
11:22:07 7 "Agreed will minimise chance of compromise [REDACTED]  
11:22:13 8 [REDACTED].  
11:22:13 9  
11:22:13 10 Those three points, tactical decision is made - the  
11:22:19 11 investigators decide the tactics, is that what that  
11:22:23 12 means?---That's right.  
11:22:23 13  
11:22:23 14 Whatever they're doing will be independently checked by  
11:22:27 15 what, Biggin in relation to whether they're doing it  
11:22:30 16 appropriately, or is that what that means?---I'm not sure -  
11:22:35 17 I think this is a reference to Superintendent Porter's  
11:22:40 18 direction that Ms Gobbo's file be independently reviewed or  
11:22:45 19 audited by Mr Biggin.  
11:22:48 20  
11:22:49 21 And the notation, "Consideration whether 3838 too high a  
11:22:53 22 risk", who was to give that consideration?---I'm not sure  
11:22:59 23 at this stage, I think it's a reference once again to  
11:23:02 24 Mr Biggin's independent audit.  
11:23:04 25  
11:23:04 26 What his task is?---Yes.  
11:23:06 27  
11:23:07 28 That's Exhibit 392. On the following date, do you have a  
11:23:22 29 notation about Ms Gobbo being shown [REDACTED]'s  
11:23:27 30 transcripts, a matter Mr Winneke asked you about,  
11:23:33 31 remember?---I remember the matter Mr Winneke asked me  
11:23:41 32 about. I'm not sure where it is.  
11:23:43 33  
11:23:43 34 It's your source management log on [REDACTED] 06 after the  
11:23:49 35 intelligence about Tony Bayeh?---Yes, there's an entry  
11:23:55 36 there that she was shown transcripts at investigator  
11:24:01 37 request.  
11:24:01 38  
11:24:01 39 That's what I wanted to ask you. The investigators asked  
11:24:05 40 you to show her those transcripts or that  
11:24:09 41 transcript?---Yes.  
11:24:09 42  
11:24:14 43 Then on [REDACTED] do you recall what Mr Winneke took you to  
11:24:19 44 about the Supreme Court asking Ms Gobbo to come over and  
11:24:24 45 explain what she's doing talking to [REDACTED] --Yes.  
11:24:39 46  
11:24:43 47 Were you given an explanation as to what it was she was

.02/09/19

5283

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:24:47 1 doing? Why was she having contact with [REDACTED] at that  
 11:24:52 2 time?---Why was she having contact with [REDACTED]  
 11:25:03 3  
 11:25:06 4 Does your note record that?---I've got some notes in  
 11:25:09 5 relation to being called to court by Judge King. Do you  
 11:25:14 6 want me to read those?  
 11:25:16 7  
 11:25:16 8 Yes, please. That goes further than what's in the  
 11:25:22 9 log?---She was subpoenaed to court by Judge King and  
 11:25:27 10 solicitor - - -  
 11:25:29 11  
 11:25:30 12 Two?---Two was also subpoenaed. She was asked if she's  
 11:25:37 13 briefed to act for [REDACTED] "No problem re court  
 11:25:40 14 appearance. Judge concerned conflict of interest for  
 11:25:45 15 Ms Gobbo. Judge King interested in Solicitor 2 trying to  
 11:25:50 16 arrange conference with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] Source  
 11:25:59 17 happy reputation with court intact. From Jim O'Brien  
 11:26:08 18 concerned re intel that [REDACTED] and Solicitor 2  
 11:26:13 19 questioning Ms Gobbo's allegiance and she hasn't heard from  
 11:26:22 20 [REDACTED] Bateson spoke to her now re [REDACTED]  
 11:26:32 21  
 11:26:33 22 Is that the extent of your entry?---Yes.  
 11:26:35 23  
 11:26:35 24 That's the [REDACTED] diary entry?---Yes.  
 11:26:39 25  
 11:26:39 26 I'll tender that, Commissioner.  
 11:26:41 27  
 11:26:41 28  
 11:26:43 29 #EXHIBIT RC393A - (Confidential) Diary entry of Sandy White  
 11:26:49 30 21/4/06.  
 11:26:49 31  
 11:26:49 32 #EXHIBIT RC393B - (Redacted version.)  
 11:26:54 33  
 11:26:54 34 On [REDACTED], according to your diary, did you have a  
 11:26:57 35 meeting with Mr O'Brien and Superintendent Biggin?---Yes.  
 11:27:04 36  
 11:27:06 37 What does your diary entry say in relation to that  
 11:27:10 38 meeting?---I've got the word "plan" underlined, [REDACTED]  
 11:27:16 39 to meet with [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] coffee shop. Discuss  
 11:27:22 40 [REDACTED].  
 11:27:24 41  
 11:27:25 42 That's [REDACTED]?---Yes. A [REDACTED].  
 11:27:30 43 [REDACTED] to report conversation." There's a reference to  
 11:27:39 44 a unit assisting with [REDACTED] "Consider possibilities  
 11:27:46 45 [REDACTED] taking [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has been pushing for  
 11:27:52 46 [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] site  
 11:28:02 47 currently. One set of keys in police possession. Believed

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:28:08 1 to be [REDACTED] in the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] by  
 11:28:16 2 Superintendent Biggin. Discuss whether [REDACTED] should be  
 11:28:20 3 managed by DSU. Will be giving evidence, not to be managed  
 11:28:27 4 by DSU. Once charged [REDACTED] will have to be remanded.  
 11:28:33 5 Would not get bail because [REDACTED] to be utilised as best  
 11:28:38 6 as possible in short space of time" - short time frame,  
 11:28:43 7 sorry. "If does not make statement then will be 56A'd for  
 11:28:52 8 Crime Commission hearing. Will have much better idea of  
 11:28:55 9 [REDACTED] potential after first contact with [REDACTED]  
 11:28:59 10 Investigators seeking" - this touches on methodology in  
 11:29:08 11 relation to the meeting - [REDACTED] is to have with  
 11:29:12 12 [REDACTED]".

11:29:16 13  
 11:29:16 14 No, we don't need that detail, although Mr Winneke might.  
 11:29:22 15 Have you finished the note?---It goes on to the next page.  
 11:29:27 16 "Officer Smith check with source re any contact. Nil.  
 11:29:35 17 Investigators to arrange strategy with the two other  
 11:29:41 18 support services and agreed with Officer Smith source to be  
 11:29:45 19 met after first meeting between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]  
 11:29:52 20 Strategy potential clear up then."

11:29:56 21  
 11:29:57 22 So apart from yourself, O'Brien and Biggin, was there  
 11:30:00 23 another investigator present at this meeting when this was  
 11:30:04 24 discussed?---Mr Flynn and there was also another member  
 11:30:10 25 from a support area.

11:30:13 26  
 11:30:14 27 So far as the Purana request for SDU to assist with the  
 11:30:21 28 management, did they accept your refusal with that for  
 11:30:25 29 management purposes?---Yes.

11:30:26 30  
 11:30:31 31 Subsequent to that was there a meeting between Officer  
 11:30:35 32 Smith and Ms Gobbo where she explained some concerns and  
 11:30:39 33 the opportunity to enter a particular program was discussed  
 11:30:43 34 with her? I'm being cryptic but I hope you know what I  
 11:30:58 35 mean?---I'm just trying to find it.

11:31:01 36  
 11:31:01 37 It's in your diary, a meeting on [REDACTED] on - I haven't  
 11:31:07 38 got the page but I'll get it for you in a minute. 170  
 11:31:13 39 according to Mr Winneke. 71?---I've got an entry on p.73.

11:31:25 40  
 11:31:26 41 Does that refer to a certain program?---Yes, it does,  
 11:31:31 42 "Primary concern for source safety. Believe she will be  
 11:31:34 43 confronted by [REDACTED] for not warning him re [REDACTED]  
 11:31:39 44 arrest" and then we offered her some protection type  
 11:31:43 45 arrangements.

11:31:44 46  
 11:31:44 47 That program?---Not interested.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:31:46 1  
11:31:48 2 That offer that you made, was that the only time you made  
11:31:51 3 that offer to her during the course of your  
11:31:54 4 relationship?---No, it was discussed on a couple of  
11:31:57 5 occasions at least.  
11:31:58 6  
11:32:00 7 And was her reaction on each occasion the same?---Yes.  
11:32:03 8  
11:32:10 9 Then on [REDACTED] 06 - sorry, yes, I'll tender the diary  
11:32:18 10 entry for [REDACTED], Commissioner.  
11:32:19 11  
11:32:21 12 #EXHIBIT RC394A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
13 23/04/06.  
11:32:25 14  
11:32:25 15 #EXHIBIT RC394B - (Redacted version.)  
11:32:28 16  
11:32:28 17 On [REDACTED] do you have an entry in the log in relation to  
11:32:34 18 Crime Department Command?---Yes.  
11:32:38 19  
11:32:40 20 I'm only interested in the first half of it. What's the  
11:32:44 21 entry say?---"Crime Department Command to speak to Lunny",  
11:32:51 22 who was a journalist, "Re keeping story quiet. Agreed to  
11:32:56 23 exclusive for same".  
11:32:57 24  
11:32:59 25 Can you amplify on that? Who was it who spoke to the  
11:33:03 26 reporter?---I'll have to check my diary. I don't know off  
11:33:08 27 the top of my head. It was Superintendent Richard Graham.  
11:33:19 28  
11:33:21 29 What story were they keeping quiet?---Darren Lunny, the  
11:33:31 30 journalist, had got some information that [REDACTED]  
11:33:41 31 [REDACTED] had been arrested.  
11:33:43 32  
11:33:43 33 That's the story that the Commander is speaking to him  
11:33:48 34 about?---Yes, I've got a note at 1200 I spoke to  
11:33:52 35 Superintendent Graham on the phone. "Discussed keeping  
11:33:56 36 media quiet, risk to source. Plan to ensure source not  
11:34:00 37 compromised. Lunny to be offered exclusive."  
11:34:05 38  
11:34:05 39 That's where you left that, is that right?---Yes.  
11:34:08 40  
11:34:11 41 That same day did you have a unit meeting in relation to  
11:34:17 42 the need for a round table conference about Ms Gobbo? It's  
11:34:32 43 in your diary I think for that day?---We did have a unit  
11:34:47 44 meeting and we discussed all the operations that we had  
11:34:52 45 under way.  
11:34:57 46  
11:34:58 47 Was Mr Black upgraded to controller on 1 May?---Yes. Yes,

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:35:19 1 he was.  
11:35:19 2  
11:35:27 3 I can perhaps jump forward to [REDACTED]. Do you record a  
11:35:47 4 direction or instruction that Ms Gobbo should not have any  
11:35:51 5 further contact with the Mokbels?---Yes, I do.  
11:36:07 6  
11:36:07 7 What do you record?---"Handlers don't believe source should  
11:36:12 8 have anything further to do with the Mokbels. Would  
11:36:17 9 highlight source if she breaks contact. Need to consider  
11:36:22 10 ongoing value re Horty and others."  
11:36:24 11  
11:36:24 12 Then did you meet with, on that same date, with  
11:36:28 13 Superintendent Biggin about the audit he was  
11:36:32 14 conducting?---Yes, I did.  
11:36:33 15  
11:36:34 16 Did you make any notes in your diary about what he said to  
11:36:38 17 you?---"No issues with file. Should continue with Mokbels  
11:36:48 18 via Horty if source feels secure and DSU happy. Discuss  
11:36:53 19 reward for source. Recommend acknowledge appreciation by  
11:37:00 20 AC Overland."  
11:37:01 21  
11:37:01 22 That records what Mr Biggin said to you, does it?---Yes.  
11:37:06 23  
11:37:10 24 At around about this time to your recollection was Ms Gobbo  
11:37:14 25 asking for recognition from the hierarchy, as it  
11:37:21 26 were?---She definitely did. I'm not 100 per sure it was at  
11:37:29 27 this time.  
11:37:30 28  
11:37:30 29 In any event, that recommendation came from Mr Biggin, did  
11:37:34 30 it, that Mr Overland give that appreciation?---I'm not sure  
11:37:38 31 if it was my recommendation or his recommendation.  
11:37:41 32  
11:37:45 33 On 1 May - I should tender that diary entry for [REDACTED],  
11:37:54 34 Commissioner.  
11:37:54 35  
11:37:55 36  
11:37:56 37 #EXHIBIT RC395A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
11:37:56 38 [REDACTED] 06.  
11:37:59 39  
11:38:00 40 #EXHIBIT RC395B - (Redacted version.)  
11:38:09 41  
11:38:09 42 On 1 May there's an entry in the source management log  
11:38:14 43 about being advised by Jim O'Brien that \$15,000 had been  
11:38:21 44 found by a search in Ms Gobbo's car. Do you see that  
11:38:28 45 entry?---Yes.  
11:38:28 46  
11:38:31 47 You were shown a document, and I can't put my hand on it at

.02/09/19

5287

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:38:36 1 the moment, by Mr Winneke which was identified possibly as  
11:38:40 2 a handover document from Officer Smith to Officer Green  
11:38:47 3 when there was a change of handlers, do you see that? Do  
11:38:51 4 you remember that?---Yes, yes.  
11:38:53 5  
11:38:56 6 I have forgotten the exhibit number of it, Commissioner.  
11:38:58 7  
11:38:58 8 COMMISSIONER: We'll see if we can find it.  
11:39:01 9  
11:39:02 10 MR CHETTLE: It was tendered during cross-examination by  
11:39:05 11 Mr Winneke.  
11:39:09 12  
11:39:09 13 COMMISSIONER: It's a handover document from Smith to  
11:39:13 14 Green.  
11:39:14 15  
11:39:14 16 MR CHETTLE: It was. Mr White wasn't sure what it was. I  
11:39:17 17 put the proposition to Mr Winneke what it was and Mr White  
11:39:22 18 said it might have been. Can I make this shorter. On the  
11:39:26 19 third page of that document, be it what it may, there's a  
11:39:29 20 reference to that \$15,000 cash - Exhibit 301, apparently -  
11:39:38 21 perhaps I will pull it up there.  
11:39:40 22  
11:39:41 23 COMMISSIONER: Let's get it on the screens, it will make it  
11:39:43 24 easier to see. Current issues document, 26 May 06.  
11:39:50 25  
11:39:51 26 MR CHETTLE: That's it, Commissioner. Can you go to the  
11:40:18 27 third page of that. No, back to the one before, the page  
11:40:32 28 before. Maybe it's the first page.  
11:40:58 29  
11:40:59 30 MR HOLT: Commissioner, while we're finding the right spot.  
11:41:01 31 Public interest immunity claims have been communicated to  
11:41:04 32 the Commission, I understand this is one of the ones we are  
11:41:07 33 waiting back from the Commission for a response. This  
11:41:09 34 doesn't look like a shaded version that's up. Obviously  
11:41:12 35 care needs to be taken.  
11:41:14 36  
11:41:15 37 MR CHETTLE: Sorry, that is my mistake. There it is, yes,  
11:41:18 38 the second-last entry on that page. Would you highlight  
11:41:22 39 that. "A recent routine search of human source's car  
11:41:28 40 outside Barwon Prison. HS had 15,000 in boot. This matter  
11:41:32 41 has not been discussed with handlers. To raise at next  
11:41:37 42 meeting", right?---Yes.  
11:41:38 43  
11:41:44 44 It would appear that that matter was never followed up  
11:41:49 45 after that notation. Do you have any recollection of it  
11:41:55 46 being raised at subsequent meetings?---No.  
11:41:58 47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:42:00 1 Thank you. If I can go forward to 8 May. Did you have a  
11:42:18 2 workshop for the unit at [REDACTED] ---Yes.  
11:42:23 3  
11:42:24 4 Do you record in your diary on 8 May what was covered in  
11:42:29 5 that workshop?---I don't record specifically what was  
11:42:44 6 covered in my diary.  
11:42:51 7  
11:42:52 8 Is there a notation about 3838 case study?---Yes. Yes,  
11:43:06 9 there is, p.115 of my diary.  
11:43:09 10  
11:43:09 11 Do you have four dot points about what you wanted to  
11:43:13 12 cover?---Yes.  
11:43:13 13  
11:43:13 14 What are they?-- [REDACTED]  
11:43:24 15  
11:43:24 16 [REDACTED] I think it is, yes. Waters  
11:43:29 17 intel, Karam consignment details. [REDACTED] to  
11:43:35 18 [REDACTED] Handler - sorry.  
11:43:40 19  
11:43:41 20 Yes, go on?---Handler overload, burn out.  
11:43:45 21  
11:43:45 22 Right. Can I take you to - Commissioner, there are both  
11:43:54 23 redacted and unredacted copies of these documents of the  
11:43:58 24 minutes of that meeting. The unredacted minute is  
11:44:05 25 VPL.6025.0002.0624. And the redacted version is  
11:44:21 26 VPL.0025.0002.0024. I think that might be wrong.  
11:44:40 27 Commissioner, I think it's the same number for both  
11:44:43 28 actually. Just for the purposes - can the witness be shown  
11:44:48 29 on the screen only that document which is some eight pages.  
11:44:56 30  
11:44:56 31 COMMISSIONER: These are what, minutes of the - - -  
11:44:59 32  
11:44:59 33 MR CHETTLE: Source Development Unit seminar on Tuesday, 5  
11:45:04 34 May 2008.  
11:45:05 35  
11:45:06 36 COMMISSIONER: 5 May.  
11:45:10 37  
11:45:10 38 MR CHETTLE: Have you got that on the screen in front of  
11:45:13 39 you, Mr White?---It's just coming up now, yes.  
11:45:16 40  
11:45:17 41 It would appear that present at that meeting, apart from  
11:45:20 42 the handlers and the analysts and the controllers, there  
11:45:25 43 was Andrew Glow who you've told us was your Inspector at  
11:45:29 44 the time?---Yes.  
11:45:30 45  
11:45:32 46 You discuss a whole lot of things that don't relate to  
11:45:36 47 Ms Gobbo and to other sources which clearly are PIIed, but

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:45:41 1 in the redacted version the minutes set out what you  
11:45:45 2 discussed in relation to the problems or issues you were  
11:45:48 3 having with Ms Gobbo. I'll simply tender it, Commissioner,  
11:46:04 4 and move on, they speak for themselves.  
11:46:05 5  
11:46:06 6 COMMISSIONER: That's 5 May 08, not 06, the meeting?  
11:46:12 7  
11:46:12 8  
11:46:13 9 #EXHIBIT RC396A - Source Development Unit [REDACTED] seminar.  
11:46:19 10 minutes 05/05/08.  
11:46:30 11  
11:46:30 12 #EXHIBIT RC396B - (Redacted version.)  
11:46:37 13  
11:46:42 14 MR CHETTLE: I'll move on from that. On 9 May do you have  
11:46:53 15 an entry in your diary in relation to - - -  
11:46:58 16  
11:46:58 17 COMMISSIONER: We're back to 06 now?  
11:47:00 18  
11:47:01 19 MR CHETTLE: Yes, 9 May 06 still.  
11:47:02 20  
11:47:03 21 COMMISSIONER: The minutes were 08, that's what I'm telling  
11:47:05 22 you.  
11:47:06 23  
11:47:06 24 MR CHETTLE: I see.  
11:47:07 25  
11:47:07 26 COMMISSIONER: That's the date on them anyway.  
11:47:09 27  
11:47:10 28 MR CHETTLE: It says 08, 5 May 08, correct. Is that a  
11:47:16 29 typographical - we've got the wrong year, haven't we,  
11:47:22 30 Mr White? Can you have a look at your diary for 8 May - -  
11:47:26 31 - ?---Yes, my diary shows me at [REDACTED] on 8 and 9 May 06.  
11:47:32 32  
11:47:32 33 COMMISSIONER: So the date on that document's obviously a  
11:47:35 34 mistake.  
11:47:35 35  
11:47:36 36 MR CHETTLE: The typo on the document that says 08, yes.  
11:47:39 37  
11:47:39 38 COMMISSIONER: Do you see that there? See it on the  
11:47:41 39 screen?---Yes. Yes, it must be a mistake.  
11:47:45 40  
11:47:45 41 MR CHETTLE: Unless you had another meeting in 2008 as well  
11:47:49 42 as one in 06?---No.  
11:47:51 43  
11:47:51 44 Was there only one [REDACTED] meeting?---Yes.  
11:47:54 45  
11:47:54 46 So we can assume that the date on the document is  
11:48:00 47 incorrect?---Yes, I think so.

.02/09/19

5290

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:48:01 1  
11:48:02 2 Can I come to 9 May 06 again.  
11:48:05 3  
11:48:05 4 COMMISSIONER: I was getting excited, I thought we'd moved  
11:48:08 5 on two years.  
11:48:10 6  
11:48:10 7 MR CHETTLE: Commissioner, nothing would make me happier.  
11:48:14 8 I have to ask you to control your excitement. Can we go to  
11:48:18 9 9 May, please?---Yes.  
11:48:20 10  
11:48:21 11 Do you have a diary entry there in relation to Ms Gobbo  
11:48:26 12 socialising with Horty Mokbel?---Yes, I do.  
11:48:35 13  
11:48:35 14 What is it?---At 18:20 I've been updated. "She is to meet  
11:48:50 15 with Horty today very briefly re Ak1. Couldn't talk. Has  
11:48:56 16 been asked to meet Horty for dinner at Japanese restaurant  
11:49:01 17 in Clarendon Street tonight. Agree advise source against  
11:49:08 18 meeting Horty at night time alone. Instruct source to  
11:49:14 19 defer meeting until tomorrow."  
11:49:15 20  
11:49:15 21 Despite that instruction do you know whether she  
11:49:24 22 went?---She did, yes.  
11:49:27 23  
11:49:27 24 So did you subsequently on 11 May have a meeting, an update  
11:49:31 25 with Mr Biggin about Horty Mokbel?---I did.  
11:49:46 26  
11:49:47 27 What's your entry read?---"1600 meet with Acting Commander  
11:49:53 28 Biggin." There's a reference to SDU infrastructure and  
11:50:00 29 then there's a dot point update re Ms Gobbo, "Threat by  
11:50:05 30 Horty Mokbel".  
11:50:05 31  
11:50:06 32 Does that mean at that stage he'd be upgraded to take  
11:50:11 33 Commander Moloney's position on a temporary basis?---Yes,  
11:50:16 34 it would have.  
11:50:17 35  
11:50:18 36 I'll tender that entry, Your Honour.  
11:50:20 37  
11:50:20 38  
11:50:20 39 #EXHIBIT RC397A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
40 11/05/06.  
11:50:30 41  
11:50:31 42 #EXHIBIT RC397B - (Redacted version.)  
11:50:35 43  
11:50:36 44 And forward to 17 May, please. On that day did you and  
11:50:42 45 Mr Smith meet with Acting Commissioner Overland?---Yes.  
11:50:46 46  
11:50:49 47 Do you have a diary entry in relation to that

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:50:51 1 meeting?---Yes.  
11:50:58 2  
11:50:59 3 What's it say?---Page 137, "12.30 meet with AC Overland  
11:51:08 4 with Smith re Ms Gobbo. Discussion re reward process.  
11:51:16 5 Discussed motivation. Financial reward not appropriate.  
11:51:22 6 Consider acknowledgement of appreciation by AC Overland.  
11:51:27 7 AC advised source is aware of source existence, not extent  
11:51:36 8 of same".  
11:51:37 9  
11:51:38 10 Sorry, repeat that again, "AC advised" - - - ?---"AC  
11:51:43 11 advised source is aware of source existence, not extent of  
11:51:47 12 same."  
11:51:49 13  
11:51:49 14 Sorry, excuse me?---That doesn't make sense. I think it  
11:51:54 15 must be "AC has advised the source" - - -  
11:52:00 16  
11:52:01 17 He's aware of the source's existence or the source is aware  
11:52:05 18 of something?---I think this is a reference to the fact  
11:52:11 19 that she had been told that the AC knew of her existence  
11:52:17 20 but that he didn't know everything she had done.  
11:52:23 21  
11:52:24 22 So he's told that she knows he exists, that he knows she's  
11:52:33 23 the source but not everything she's done, is that what it  
11:52:37 24 comes down to?---I think that's what it was.  
11:52:40 25  
11:52:40 26 Did you have any discussion about the termination of her as  
11:52:45 27 a source?---We further discuss the options for reward and  
11:52:49 28 we discussed a need for counselling, "Consider as  
11:52:54 29 appropriate thing to do from VicPol perspective", and  
11:53:00 30 that's the end of that entry.  
11:53:02 31  
11:53:03 32 The note that I have, and it may be that it's an error  
11:53:06 33 reads, "Met with AC Overland Crime re issue of potential  
11:53:11 34 reward to HS and termination process", do you have that in  
11:53:14 35 your diary?---No, that's on the management log.  
11:53:19 36  
11:53:19 37 Was there a discussion of a termination process with  
11:53:22 38 Overland?---There must have been if I put it in the log.  
11:53:25 39  
11:53:28 40 The log goes on to mention issues with a member and with  
11:53:35 41 Waters, all right?---Yes.  
11:53:37 42  
11:53:39 43 On the same date had Ms Gobbo asked for, to go to Las Vegas  
11:53:46 44 to see Celine Dion?---That was discussed, yes. I don't  
11:53:53 45 know who brought that up, whether it was Ms Gobbo or one of  
11:53:57 46 the handlers.  
11:53:58 47

.02/09/19

5292

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:53:58 1 But that was deemed inappropriate?---Yes.  
11:54:00 2  
11:54:04 3 Then on 23 May - I better check that I - I'll tender your  
11:54:12 4 diary entry for 17 May, Commissioner.  
11:54:16 5  
11:54:16 6  
11:54:17 7 #EXHIBIT RC398A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
11:54:22 8 17/05/06.  
11:54:22 9  
11:54:23 10 #EXHIBIT RC398B - (Redacted version.)  
11:54:26 11  
11:54:26 12 On 23 May do you have an entry in your diary about a  
11:54:33 13 discussion with Biggin and Overland?---I've got a note of  
11:55:10 14 meeting issues for discussion with Superintendent Biggin.  
11:55:13 15  
11:55:13 16 Did that include something about Mr Overland?---Yes, and  
11:55:18 17 one of the issues to be discussed was whether the AC of  
11:55:22 18 Crime would meet with Ms Gobbo.  
11:55:23 19  
11:55:24 20 Is that Overland?---Yes.  
11:55:26 21  
11:55:27 22 Did you discuss that with Mr Biggin?---Yes.  
11:55:30 23  
11:55:32 24 We've seen a couple of occasions where there's been  
11:55:35 25 reference to the possibility of Mr Overland meeting her.  
11:55:38 26 Did that ever occur to your knowledge or memory?---No.  
11:55:51 27  
11:55:51 28 I'll tender the 23 May entry, Commissioner.  
11:55:54 29  
11:55:54 30  
11:55:55 31 #EXHIBIT RC399A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
32 23/05/06.  
11:56:00 33  
11:56:00 34 #EXHIBIT RC399B - (Redacted version.)  
11:56:11 35  
11:56:12 36 Then did you on 25 May have another conversation with then  
11:56:18 37 Acting Commander Biggin, still?---Yes, I did.  
11:56:41 38  
11:56:43 39 So he's still Acting Commander at that stage?---Yes.  
11:56:46 40  
11:56:47 41 Did you discuss with him some detailed intelligence you'd  
11:56:53 42 received in relation to Mr Waters and another member of the  
11:56:56 43 Police Force?---Yes.  
11:56:56 44  
11:56:57 45 Were you given an instruction by Acting Commander Biggin in  
11:57:01 46 relation to that information, or did you give him an  
11:57:08 47 advice, which one was it?---In regards to the intel about

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

11:57:12 1 the other member, not Waters.  
11:57:14 2  
11:57:14 3 Yes?---I have a note, "Cannot do anything about it for fear  
11:57:19 4 of compromising source".  
11:57:23 5  
11:57:24 6 I'll tender that diary note, Commissioner.  
11:57:27 7  
11:57:28 8  
11:57:29 9 #EXHIBIT RC400A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
10 25/05/06.  
11:57:31 11  
11:57:31 12 #EXHIBIT RC400B - (Redacted version.)  
11:57:36 13  
11:57:42 14 On 2 June, do you have an entry firstly in the log about  
11:57:49 15 meeting with Mr Biggin and some other officers and another  
11:57:53 16 Inspector?---2 June?  
11:57:58 17  
11:57:58 18 Yes?---I met with Superintendent Biggin, Detective  
11:58:11 19 Inspector Wolf and two Senior Sergeants from one of the  
11:58:17 20 support areas.  
11:58:17 21  
11:58:18 22 Who is Detective Inspector Wolf?---That is Glen Wolf. He  
11:58:25 23 at the time was in charge of one of the [REDACTED] areas.  
11:58:32 24  
11:58:34 25 That's cryptic. And O'Connor and [REDACTED], are they from one  
11:58:39 26 of those [REDACTED] units?---All three are from the same unit.  
11:58:45 27  
11:58:45 28 You gave those officers a briefing about some particular  
11:58:49 29 intelligence?---Yes, this related to a fellow called  
11:58:54 30 [REDACTED]  
11:58:55 31  
11:58:55 32 Had that intelligence come from Ms Gobbo? Perhaps you can  
11:59:03 33 tell us, what was that about? It's in the 3838 log?---I  
11:59:29 34 can't remember exactly. It was to do with an individual  
11:59:35 35 called [REDACTED], or [REDACTED], who was a person of interest,  
11:59:41 36 and [REDACTED] had some sort of relationship with [REDACTED]  
11:59:48 37 I think there was some suggestion there might have been  
11:59:52 38 some information had leaked and there was a discussion  
11:59:55 39 about whether [REDACTED] was involved in that intentionally  
11:59:59 40 or accidentally.  
12:00:00 41  
12:00:01 42 Does the information that leads to this meeting come from  
12:00:05 43 Ms Gobbo, that's what I'm seeking to ascertain?---Yes, I  
12:00:08 44 think it did.  
12:00:10 45  
12:00:10 46 I assume it's not in the log, just out of interest.  
12:00:15 47 Perhaps if you go over to 5 June now. There's another

.02/09/19

5294

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:00:21 1 meeting with the same people?---Yes.  
12:00:24 2  
12:00:25 3 And the matter was to be reported to ESD?---Yes.  
12:00:32 4  
12:00:34 5 There was - that support agency was to receive target  
12:00:42 6 application from Purana about Ms Gobbo, to [REDACTED] that  
12:00:47 7 she'd [REDACTED], making her [REDACTED] a  
12:00:51 8 [REDACTED]?---That's correct.  
12:00:52 9  
12:00:52 10 So to put those two entries in context, in order to conceal  
12:00:57 11 that she had been the provider of intelligence [REDACTED]  
12:01:02 12 [REDACTED] is that what it comes  
12:01:05 13 down to?---Yes, that's right.  
12:01:07 14  
12:01:07 15 Again, was Mr Wolf made aware of Ms Gobbo's involvement in  
12:01:14 16 the matter?---Yes, he was.  
12:01:16 17  
12:01:18 18 Then on 6 June, the following day, did you have a  
12:01:27 19 conversation with Superintendent Wilson from Ethical  
12:02:05 20 Standards?---Is this 5 June?  
12:02:06 21  
12:02:07 22 6 June according to the source management log?---6 June?  
12:02:11 23  
12:02:11 24 Sorry, my mistake?---Yes. Yes, I did.  
12:02:13 25  
12:02:13 26 Is it in your diary or in the log that you're referring  
12:02:17 27 to?---Both.  
12:02:17 28  
12:02:19 29 Take your diary entry and would you read it, please?---It's  
12:02:24 30 p.206, 17:45, call to Superintendent Rod Wilson ESD. "ESD  
12:02:34 31 have joined an agreement with OPI re investigation into  
12:02:38 32 activities at [REDACTED] police station. Intend to use  
12:02:44 33 coercive powers to interview Ms Gobbo re knowledge of two  
12:02:50 34 people called Shields" - - -  
12:02:53 35  
12:02:53 36 And another man?---And another man.  
12:02:57 37  
12:02:57 38 I think there's a pseudonym for that man. [REDACTED]  
12:03:09 39 12B, yes, he's called [REDACTED] you'll see on the - -  
12:03:14 40 -?---Okay.  
12:03:14 41  
12:03:14 42 And the other one is a police officer who I don't think  
12:03:17 43 there is an order but he's an associate of  
12:03:23 44 Ms Gobbo's?---Yes.  
12:03:23 45  
12:03:23 46 So ESD were working on two people and they were planning to  
12:03:28 47 use coercive hearing powers to her, all right?---Yes.

.02/09/19

5295

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:03:32 1  
12:03:32 2 Go on with your note?---"Were considering", this is ESD,  
12:03:38 3 "Were considering telephone intercept re Ms Gobbo but  
12:03:41 4 thought we'd check with Tony Biggin first in case Purana  
12:03:45 5 had TI already. Tony Biggin recommended to speak to AC  
12:03:52 6 Overland. Met with same today. Luke Cornelius and Phil  
12:03:58 7 Masters present. Assistant Commissioner", that is  
12:04:02 8 Overland, "Stated Ms Gobbo registered as human source.  
12:04:07 9 Also stated DSU were working on exit strategy. Recommend  
12:04:15 10 speak to" myself.  
12:04:20 11  
12:04:20 12 Is that the end of the entry?---No, it goes on.  
12:04:23 13  
12:04:24 14 Sorry?---"Expected source would not answer questions unless  
12:04:28 15 forced by examiner. Issue regarding Adam" - can I say his  
12:04:40 16 name?  
12:04:40 17  
12:04:41 18 You can. Ahmed, isn't it?---Yes. "Issue regarding Adam  
12:04:47 19 Ahmed and money stolen from him. Member of the Police  
12:04:51 20 Force, [REDACTED] involved. Possibly stole money. Was  
12:04:56 21 approached by other police to give it back. Money belonged  
12:05:00 22 to Tony Mokbel. Not happy. Possibly gave some back. OPI  
12:05:09 23 do not know about Ms Gobbo and will not be told."  
12:05:15 24  
12:05:15 25 This is all coming from Overland to Wilson, is it? Is that  
12:05:24 26 how I understand it?---Yes, this is what Wilson is telling  
12:05:27 27 me.  
12:05:27 28  
12:05:28 29 That Overland has told him?---Yes.  
12:05:30 30  
12:05:32 31 Okay?---"Advise Rod Wilson that list re people who know is  
12:05:40 32 growing weekly and very concerned. Agreed will consider  
12:05:43 33 the possibility that source will be prepared to speak about  
12:05:47 34 issue. Advise that source has raised corruption issue re  
12:05:52 35 Ahmed but would not discuss it unless he allows her. Ahmed  
12:05:57 36 has told source not to talk about it, to consider issues  
12:06:03 37 and speak to Rod Wilson Thursday and Friday." That's the  
12:06:06 38 end of the entry.  
12:06:07 39  
12:06:07 40 I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
12:06:09 41  
12:06:10 42  
12:06:10 43 #EXHIBIT RC401A - (Confidential) Diary entry p. 206 Sandy.  
12:06:14 44 White 06/06/06.  
12:06:19 45  
12:06:19 46 #EXHIBIT RC401B - (Redacted version.)  
12:06:28 47

.02/09/19

5296

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:06:28 1 On 9 June do you have an entry relating to Ms Gobbo  
12:06:37 2 reviewing statements prepared by [REDACTED] Perhaps the  
12:07:10 3 shortest way is go to the source management log for that  
12:07:14 4 date, on 9 June. The last entry on 9 June?---Yes, "Source  
12:07:23 5 reads statements by [REDACTED] prepared by Detective  
12:07:27 6 Sergeant Flynn. Re possibility of compromise issues".  
12:07:30 7  
12:07:30 8 Does that explain why it was she was looking at those  
12:07:33 9 statements?---Yes.  
12:07:34 10  
12:07:42 11 On 14 June 06, I'm taking you to your diary, is there an  
12:07:51 12 entry in your diary to show that it was checked by a  
12:07:55 13 Detective Inspector on that day?---Yes.  
12:07:57 14  
12:07:58 15 Which Detective Inspector was that?---Rob Hardy.  
12:08:05 16  
12:08:05 17 He's deceased now, is he?---Yes, he is.  
12:08:08 18  
12:08:08 19 But was he fulfilling the role of what an earlier - what  
12:08:16 20 subsequently became Mr Glow but before that was someone  
12:08:19 21 else?---Yes, he had shared responsibility between the SDU  
12:08:24 22 and another unit.  
12:08:26 23  
12:08:26 24 So your diary as checked by the Inspector contains the sort  
12:08:31 25 of entries we've been going through now for over a  
12:08:36 26 day?---Yes.  
12:08:36 27  
12:08:39 28 On 15 June did you in fact consider the issues that you'd  
12:08:45 29 had with Mr Wilson that you referred to, Superintendent  
12:08:49 30 Wilson, and did you meet with him on that date?---Yes, I  
12:08:54 31 did.  
12:08:54 32  
12:08:57 33 What happened at that meeting?---So there's about half a  
12:09:06 34 page of notes, this is on p.235.  
12:09:09 35  
12:09:09 36 Yes?---"Met with Wilson and Attrill", that's Lindsay  
12:09:14 37 Attrill, "Re [REDACTED] (indistinct). Express concern re  
12:09:21 38 Attrill being informed of the identity of Ms Gobbo (too  
12:09:26 39 many). Attrill conducting [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] inquiry.  
12:09:36 40 Oppose suggestion that Graham Ashton at OPI be informed.  
12:09:42 41 Agree. OPI not to be told source a source".  
12:09:46 42  
12:09:47 43 Stopping right there. At that stage it was agreed that  
12:09:49 44 Ashton would not be told that she was a source, is that  
12:09:53 45 what it means?---Yes.  
12:09:54 46  
12:09:54 47 Yes, go on?---"Informed source met Rod Wilson corporate box

.02/09/19

5297

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:10:07 1 round 1", that's at the football at the MCG. "Update re  
12:10:13 2 intel from source and primarily corroborated re other  
12:10:18 3 material. Informed Rod Wilson, Lindsay Attrill source may  
12:10:25 4 assist voluntarily but doesn't want to give evidence.  
12:10:28 5 Advise the risk if source is before Chief Examiner. Can't  
12:10:33 6 happen. Agreed."  
12:10:34 7  
12:10:35 8 Hang on. Does that mean you put the advice and they agreed  
12:10:38 9 with your position, is that what that means?---I've given  
12:10:48 10 them the advice.  
12:10:49 11  
12:10:49 12 What's the agreed then?---And then the agreed - so the  
12:10:53 13 following points refer to what was agreed.  
12:10:55 14  
12:10:55 15 Right, thank you?---First is, "Human source to be spoken to  
12:10:59 16 as witness. Nil clandestine meetings. SDU to smooth the  
12:11:06 17 way. Source may be able to assist with Adam. Advised as  
12:11:15 18 above has intel re theft of 7 to \$900,000 from Operation  
12:11:24 19 Gallop. Advised Adam Ahmed unwilling to talk".  
12:11:28 20  
12:11:29 21 That's the Dublin Street burglary, isn't it?---Yes.  
12:11:32 22  
12:11:35 23 That's an entry, is it?---Yes.  
12:11:38 24  
12:11:38 25 I tender that, Commissioner.  
12:11:39 26  
12:11:39 27 COMMISSIONER: What date was that one?  
12:11:41 28  
12:11:41 29 MR CHETTLE: 15 June.  
12:11:43 30  
12:11:43 31 #EXHIBIT RC402A - (Confidential) Diary entry p.235 Sandy.  
32 White 15/06/06.  
12:11:52 33  
12:11:52 34 #EXHIBIT RC402B - (Redacted version.)  
12:11:57 35  
12:11:57 36 Go to 24 June, please, in your diary. Have you got an  
12:12:07 37 entry from DDI McWhirter? It's about a move?---I can't see  
12:12:47 38 that.  
12:12:47 39  
12:12:49 40 The note I've got here, Mr White, is, "DDI McWhirter  
12:12:54 41 advises SDU to move to CSD from SIO", whatever that  
12:13:02 42 means?---That is a reference to the change of command. The  
12:13:06 43 unit was initially set up under the command of the State  
12:13:14 44 Intelligence Office and it was moved - which was under the  
12:13:18 45 command of Superintendent Thomas - and it was moved to the  
12:13:22 46 command of the Covert Support Division, which was  
12:13:26 47 Mr Biggin.

.02/09/19

5298

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:13:27 1  
12:13:27 2 So at that stage Biggin assumes line management for the SDU  
12:13:32 3 effectively?---Yes.  
12:13:32 4  
12:13:37 5 You can't find that reference to Mr McWhirter or that move  
12:13:41 6 in your diary, but just assume for the purposes of the  
12:13:44 7 exercise that that's what it says. Is it in your log for  
12:13:47 8 that date or is it just your diary?---It's in my log but it  
12:13:53 9 would be in my diary.  
12:13:55 10  
12:13:55 11 Okay. It's in the log as well, is it, on 24 June?---Yes,  
12:13:59 12 it is.  
12:14:00 13  
12:14:00 14 Just accepting that for the time being, does that indicate  
12:14:03 15 that McWhirter is taking Hardy's job at this stage or is  
12:14:10 16 that something different?---I don't know. He, McWhirter  
12:14:20 17 was working in one of those areas the CSV or the SIO. I  
12:14:27 18 don't think he took over from Hardy, he was only in charge  
12:14:31 19 of the unit for a short period in the very early days.  
12:14:34 20  
12:14:35 21 7 July, please. Again, this is a diary entry about a  
12:14:51 22 direction to the staff that you gave on that day?---There's  
12:15:13 23 a reference to a unit meeting.  
12:15:18 24  
12:15:18 25 Yes. Do you record there a direction you gave to the staff  
12:15:22 26 about ICRs and IRs?---Yes. There's a direction in relation  
12:15:28 27 to the ICRs that, "There be no slang or direct speech.  
12:15:35 28 Must be capable of being understood by non-interested  
12:15:39 29 party".  
12:15:40 30  
12:15:41 31 Who is that?---"IRs."  
12:15:43 32  
12:15:44 33 Just stopping you there for the ICRs. "Must be capable of  
12:15:47 34 being understood by non-interested party." Who is the  
12:15:49 35 non-interested party you're referring to?---That would have  
12:15:52 36 been a reference to anybody outside of the SDU.  
12:15:56 37  
12:15:56 38 Including a court?---Yes. This came about I think because  
12:16:04 39 some of the contact reports were, you could only understand  
12:16:09 40 them if you actually had been involved in the events, so I  
12:16:13 41 directed that they be written so that somebody who wasn't  
12:16:16 42 involved in the events could understand it.  
12:16:19 43  
12:16:20 44 The next part related to information  
12:16:23 45 reports?---"Information reports to include detail of who  
12:16:26 46 intel disseminated verbally to."  
12:16:29 47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:16:29 1 Again, with the idea being when somebody, for example, rang  
12:16:34 2 Jim O'Brien and told him the information report in relation  
12:16:36 3 to that would record that fact?---Yes.  
12:16:40 4  
12:16:43 5 And that's recorded in your diary for 7 July, is that  
12:16:46 6 right?---That's right.  
12:16:47 7  
12:16:48 8 I'll tender that entry, Commissioner.  
12:16:50 9  
12:16:51 10 #EXHIBIT RC403A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
12:16:59 11 07/07/06.  
12:17:01 12  
12:17:01 13 #EXHIBIT RC403B - (Redacted version.)  
12:17:06 14  
12:17:06 15 Can I take you to 19 July. Are there two entries on that  
12:17:19 16 day in relation to some information reports that were the  
12:17:24 17 subject of ESD activity?---Can you bear with me one second,  
12:17:38 18 I just need to change folders?  
12:17:42 19  
12:17:42 20 Yes. What I'm asking about, firstly, you have a  
12:18:07 21 conversation with somebody from ESD, an [REDACTED]  
12:18:21 22 [REDACTED]--Yes.  
12:18:22 23  
12:18:29 24 To cut it short, ESD wanted to talk to who it was that  
12:18:32 25 provided the information behind those information  
12:18:36 26 reports?---That's right.  
12:18:36 27  
12:18:37 28 And they intended to send the person to the OPI to get  
12:18:43 29 information?---Yes.  
12:18:47 30  
12:18:50 31 The information had come, or intelligence I should say, had  
12:18:54 32 come from Ms Gobbo?---Yes.  
12:18:55 33  
12:18:56 34 What did you do when that arose, when you had that  
12:19:01 35 issue?---I advised [REDACTED] from ESD that the matter had to  
12:19:09 36 be referred to Superintendent Biggin for a decision.  
12:19:11 37  
12:19:11 38 Did you refer it to Mr Biggin?---Yes, I did, I spoke to him  
12:19:15 39 later that day.  
12:19:16 40  
12:19:17 41 What did he say?---There was another individual involved in  
12:19:28 42 this that could have provided further information, so that  
12:19:35 43 was to be mentioned to [REDACTED]. "Agreed that the IRs not  
12:19:41 44 to be forwarded to OPI, human source could be compromised."  
12:19:45 45  
12:19:46 46 I tender those entries from 19 July, Commissioner.  
12:19:49 47

.02/09/19

5300

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:19:50 1  
12:19:51 2 #EXHIBIT RC404A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
3 19/07/06.  
12:19:54 4  
12:19:54 5 #EXHIBIT RC404B - (Redacted version.)  
12:20:01 6  
12:20:03 7 On 24 July 06 did you have another monthly source review  
12:20:13 8 meeting?---Yes.  
12:20:14 9  
12:20:15 10 I don't want to go through any of the detail save and  
12:20:19 11 except for the risk assessment in relation to her as at  
12:20:23 12 that date, as at 24 July. What was the notation you made  
12:20:26 13 in relation to her risk at that stage?---"Risk remains  
12:20:32 14 high. This source is now under suspicion of assisting  
12:20:38 15 police, not as a source but in helping an associate become  
12:20:41 16 a Crown witness. Source continues to believe that she  
12:20:44 17 needs to maintain contact with the group for her own  
12:20:51 18 safety."  
12:20:51 19  
12:20:52 20 And you recorded that in the log?---Yes.  
12:20:53 21  
12:20:55 22 On 25 July did you, in your diary did you brief Detective  
12:21:03 23 Inspector Hardy about Ms Gobbo in relation to issues that  
12:21:06 24 we've just been talking about, the Attrill matters?---I see  
12:21:33 25 a reference to briefing Superintendent Biggin.  
12:21:36 26  
12:21:36 27 After you had spoken to Hardy, I suggest, reference to  
12:21:40 28 briefing Hardy and then updating Biggin re same. Have you  
12:21:49 29 found that or not there? I appreciate there's a number of  
12:22:16 30 entries on that day and I intend to go to all of them but  
12:22:22 31 you've updated Biggin about the [REDACTED] issues and the  
12:22:27 32 ESD issue, is that right?---Yes, I have. I'm just  
12:22:36 33 struggling to find the Hardy briefing.  
12:22:41 34  
12:22:42 35 All right. We won't hold up. Then on the same day do you  
12:22:49 36 ring Superintendent Wilson?---My diary shows that I met  
12:23:03 37 with Superintendent Biggin and Superintendent Wilson at  
12:23:09 38 16:00.  
12:23:09 39  
12:23:10 40 I know, I want to go back before that. Before the meeting  
12:23:13 41 there was a telephone call to Superintendent Rod Wilson  
12:23:17 42 about the Attrill interview with Ms Gobbo and disclosing  
12:23:27 43 that he knew she was a source. Do you have your log there,  
12:23:49 44 Mr White?---I do have the log, I just don't seem to be able  
12:23:53 45 to find that in my diary.  
12:23:55 46  
12:23:55 47 Let's cut to the shorter point. Do you record in your log

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:23:58 1 a reference to briefing DDI Hardy?---Yes, I do.

12:24:02 2

12:24:02 3 And then speaking - - - ?---It reads, "Criticised re

12:24:07 4 Attrill disclosing to source that he knew she was

12:24:12 5 assisting. Suggested AC Overland approach Graham Ashton

12:24:17 6 OPI and brief and request no further action re 3838", and

12:24:24 7 then, "Agreed need to meet with Superintendent Biggin".

12:24:28 8

12:24:28 9 Did you do so on that day, and other people? This is the

12:24:34 10 meeting you were referring to before?---Yes.

12:24:36 11

12:24:36 12 Who was at the meeting that day?---Superintendents Tony

12:24:40 13 Biggin and Rod Wilson and Officer Smith was also present.

12:24:46 14

12:24:47 15 Are you reading from your diary at this stage?---Yes.

12:24:49 16

12:24:50 17 What's your diary entry say for that meeting?---The time is

12:24:54 18 16:00. I was told, "Luke Cornelius briefed. Agrees AC

12:25:01 19 Overland to speak to Graham Ashton OPI re issue. Advised

12:25:07 20 not to pursue. Tony Biggin to speak to Simon Overland re

12:25:12 21 same. Gauge if info resource ID can be limited to only

12:25:20 22 Graham Ashton at OPI. What will staff think if

12:25:25 23 investigators or source involvement in investigation

12:25:28 24 stopped? Agree will not pursue Ahmed investigation because

12:25:34 25 will further highlight source assistance to police. Rod

12:25:39 26 Wilson to supply recording of Attrill/Swindells with

12:25:44 27 source. Summary of notes handed over".

12:25:47 28

12:25:48 29 Who was Luke Cornelius at that stage?---Luke Cornelius I

12:25:58 30 think was an Assistant Commissioner at that time.

12:26:00 31

12:26:01 32 I tender the diary notes, Mr White's diary notes of 25

12:26:06 33 July, Commissioner.

12:26:07 34

12:26:07 35 #EXHIBIT RC405A - (Confidential) Diary notes Sandy White.

12:26:10 36 25/07/06.

12:26:10 37

12:26:11 38 #EXHIBIT RC405B - (Redacted version.)

12:26:16 39

12:26:18 40 On 26 July, according to your diary, did you have another

12:26:22 41 unit meeting about 3838 where a number of issues were

12:26:26 42 discussed?---Yes.

12:26:32 43

12:26:35 44 What does your note record?---I've got three dot points.

12:26:39 45 The first says, "Duty of care". The second says, "Stop

12:26:46 46 taskings" and the third says, "Human source can't back away

12:26:50 47 from suspects without creating suspicion".

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:26:54 1  
 12:26:54 2 They were obviously memory prompts for you at the time.  
 12:26:58 3 Can you say what they mean?---This was a meeting with the  
 12:27:01 4 staff regarding Ms Gobbo, that's the heading of that entry,  
 12:27:05 5 so these were the points that we discussed. I would have  
 12:27:10 6 highlighted the duty of care to her and what would happen  
 12:27:13 7 if she was compromised. I would have told everybody that  
 12:27:16 8 we're stopping tasking her and also we discussed the issue  
 12:27:21 9 of the fact that she felt that if she backed away from the  
 12:27:24 10 suspects that would create some suspicion.  
 12:27:29 11  
 12:27:30 12 Again on the same date after that meeting did you speak to  
 12:27:36 13 Superintendent Biggin?---Yes, I did.  
 12:27:37 14  
 12:27:37 15 And did he tell you whether he'd spoken to Overland?---He  
 12:27:41 16 said, "He spoke to Overland and he is meeting with Graham  
 12:27:44 17 Ashton re issue tomorrow morning. Will request no further  
 12:27:47 18 action re Ms Gobbo and investigators VicPol primary, OPI  
 12:27:52 19 not interested".  
 12:27:53 20  
 12:27:53 21 What does that mean?---Actually, I think that says "VicPol  
 12:27:58 22 primacy", so VicPol has control of that investigation.  
 12:28:01 23  
 12:28:03 24 That's what Overland is going to ask Ashton, is that what  
 12:28:07 25 it comes down to?---Yes.  
 12:28:08 26  
 12:28:09 27 I'll tender those diary entries, both of them, for 26 July.  
 12:28:13 28  
 12:28:14 29 #EXHIBIT RC406A - (Confidential) Diary entries Sandy White.  
 12:28:18 30 26/07/06.  
 12:28:18 31  
 12:28:19 32 #EXHIBIT RC406B - (Redacted version.)  
 12:28:23 33  
 12:28:24 34 Then on 27 July 06 did you meet with Mr Biggin and AC  
 12:28:28 35 Overland?---Yes.  
 12:28:38 36  
 12:28:39 37 You've got an extensive diary entry about what was  
 12:28:42 38 discussed that day?---Yes.  
 12:28:44 39  
 12:28:45 40 Perhaps the easier - would you read it, please?---This is  
 12:28:50 41 p.30. "13:30 Crime Department meet with Superintendent  
 12:28:57 42 Biggin and Assistant Commissioner Overland re 3838 and OPI.  
 12:29:03 43 Assistant Commissioner has met with Graham Ashton OPI. OPI  
 12:29:07 44 happy to drop off" - - -  
 12:29:09 45  
 12:29:10 46 [REDACTED]---" [REDACTED]" and the other fellow issue. "No  
 12:29:15 47 requirement to examine 3838 re same. Believe source and

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:29:22 1 Paul Dale had relationship. Want to examine source in  
12:29:26 2 future re leaked IR 44. Belief that source may have been  
12:29:32 3 conduit between Mokbel and Williams and Dale re IR.  
12:29:39 4 Leading to killing of Hodsons. Source believes Dale  
12:29:44 5 involved in Oakleigh burglary. Belief that Mokbel T", for  
12:29:50 6 Tony, "And Williams K", for Carl, "Ordered killing.  
12:29:56 7 Fitzgerald to conduct inquiry". And then I've written,  
12:30:01 8 "Agreed", the following dot points. "Source can be told no  
12:30:05 9 OPI hearing re [REDACTED]", et cetera. "Over time in the  
12:30:11 10 future source can be pre-warned re OPI hearing re Dale",  
12:30:16 11 et cetera. "Source may speak to handlers re same. Trust  
12:30:20 12 issue re informing source of hearing before it happens.  
12:30:27 13 Officer Smith to be told only."  
12:30:29 14  
12:30:30 15 He was the only handler to be informed of this  
12:30:35 16 content?---Yes.  
12:30:36 17  
12:30:36 18 I tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
12:30:37 19  
12:30:39 20 COMMISSIONER: What is the date of that one, please?  
12:30:41 21  
12:30:42 22 MR CHETTLE: 27 July 06.  
12:30:45 23  
12:30:45 24 #EXHIBIT RC407A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
12:30:45 25 27/07/06.  
12:30:49 26  
12:30:49 27 #EXHIBIT RC407B - (Redacted version.)  
12:30:53 28  
12:30:57 29 On the same day did you in fact update Officer  
12:31:03 30 Smith?---Yes, I did.  
12:31:04 31  
12:31:04 32 Was there a discussion in that conversation about her  
12:31:08 33 motivation in becoming a police source?---Yes.  
12:31:12 34  
12:31:13 35 What's the notation you have in relation to that?---It  
12:31:16 36 says, "Motivation is issue. Is source informing because of  
12:31:23 37 guilt re being involved in incident leading to murder of  
12:31:29 38 Hodsons?" And then, "Has source ever mentioned Hodsons?"  
12:31:33 39  
12:31:34 40 That's all in your diary for 27 July?---Yes.  
12:31:37 41  
12:31:37 42 That's covered by the same exhibit, Commissioner. I want  
12:31:48 43 to take you to 3 August 06. Did you, according to your  
12:31:56 44 diary, receive a phone call from Officer Smith?---Yes.  
12:32:13 45  
12:32:14 46 What did he tell you?---So this is at 17:30, "I received an  
12:32:35 47 update from Officer Smith re Ms Gobbo. Horthy gone to

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:32:40 1 Darwin. Told her he could be contacted by Charlie Manotti.  
12:32:46 2 Manotti came to source today. Gave her a letter, sealed  
12:32:50 3 with sticky-tape and writing, to do with shipping document  
12:32:57 4 to be given to Karam. Manotti told her to open it, watched  
12:33:06 5 her. Has copied it, unbeknown to them. Assumed it is from  
12:33:12 6 Horthy". Goes on to the next page, it's quite lengthy. Do  
12:33:19 7 you want me to keep going?  
12:33:20 8

12:33:20 9 Does it deal with that document? That's what I was  
12:33:26 10 interested in, the material that she got from Manotti from  
12:33:30 11 Horthy to be given to Karam. That's the summary of all  
12:33:34 12 that. Does it go on about that document?---No.  
12:33:47 13

12:33:47 14 All right. Now, during the course of early days in your  
12:33:54 15 cross-examination by Mr Winneke you were referred to  
12:33:56 16 Exhibit 280, which is the, I'll call it the manual, the  
12:34:04 17 English manual I think is what we determined to call it.  
12:34:08 18 Could you pull it up?---Yes.  
12:34:09 19

12:34:21 20 I haven't got a VPL number on this. You might remember,  
12:34:25 21 Commissioner, the original was handed to you - now.  
12:34:29 22

12:34:29 23 COMMISSIONER: Right. I've got the original.  
12:34:32 24

12:34:33 25 MR CHETTLE: I've got a copy.  
12:34:35 26

12:34:35 27 COMMISSIONER: Has it got a VPL on it or not? It doesn't.  
12:34:39 28

12:34:39 29 MR HOLT: It was produced in running through the witness.  
12:34:43 30

12:34:44 31 COMMISSIONER: So it's not going to come up electronically  
12:34:47 32 then. It hasn't been put on to Relativity? No.  
12:34:51 33

12:34:52 34 MR CHETTLE: Not on the system, all right. You'll remember  
12:34:56 35 when you were being cross-examined, Mr White, you were  
12:34:59 36 taken to, in that manual, to a definition of matters  
12:35:03 37 subject to legal privilege, do you recall that?---Yes.  
12:35:06 38

12:35:08 39 Mr Winneke took you to - I'll read it and take you to the  
12:35:13 40 relevant bit. It refers to the English legislation and  
12:35:18 41 said, "It includes both oral and written communications  
42 between" - - -  
43

12:35:22 44 COMMISSIONER: What page are we on?  
12:35:24 45

12:35:25 46 MR CHETTLE: Paragraph 2.14, Commissioner. It does not  
12:35:28 47 have a page number. But it is paragraph 2.14.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:35:32 1  
12:35:32 2 COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.  
12:35:33 3  
12:35:34 4 MR CHETTLE: "It includes both oral and written  
12:35:36 5 communications between a professional legal advisor and his  
12:35:40 6 client or any person representing his client, made in  
12:35:43 7 connection with the giving of legal advice to the client or  
12:35:45 8 in contemplation of legal proceedings and for the purposes  
12:35:49 9 of such proceedings, as well as items enclosed with or  
12:35:52 10 referred to in such communication. Privilege is maintained  
12:35:56 11 where a professional legal advisor is properly advising the  
12:36:01 12 person who is suspected of having committed a criminal  
12:36:06 13 offence. Communications and items held with the intention  
12:36:07 14 of furthering a criminal purpose are not matters subject to  
12:36:12 15 legal privilege whether the legal representative is acting  
12:36:14 16 unwittingly or culpably". Do you remember that  
12:36:18 17 definition?---Yes.  
12:36:19 18  
12:36:19 19 And it's one you told Mr Winneke you relied upon during the  
12:36:23 20 course of your dealings with Ms Gobbo?---Yes.  
12:36:25 21  
12:36:26 22 Mr Winneke asked you whether you knew of Ms Gobbo being  
12:36:29 23 involved in criminal activity and you said you didn't. But  
12:36:33 24 in relation to the exception whether she was acting  
12:36:38 25 unwittingly involved in criminal activities, were there any  
12:36:41 26 examples of that that you observed in your dealings with  
12:36:44 27 her?---Yes. Yes, there were.  
12:36:50 28  
12:36:50 29 From what we've just gone to is the first of a number of  
12:36:54 30 times where she gets documents from one person to hand on  
12:36:57 31 to another that have to do with an importation, do you  
12:37:01 32 understand that?---Yes. I think she'd also passed over  
12:37:06 33 phones.  
12:37:07 34  
12:37:07 35 Yes, to Mr Dale?---Yes.  
12:37:11 36  
12:37:17 37 And **Mr Bickley** yes, I'm sorry. In your view at the time,  
12:37:29 38 Ms Gobbo's activities in passing on documents that she has  
12:37:35 39 received from one criminal to another criminal to effect an  
12:37:39 40 importation, were any of those communications with you  
12:37:43 41 legally privileged?---I didn't believe so.  
12:37:48 42  
12:37:48 43 And in coming to that conclusion were you mindful of the  
12:37:51 44 definition that I just read to you?---Yes.  
12:37:54 45  
12:38:00 46 Then on 4 August, in relation to those documents that she  
12:38:04 47 provided you or told you about on 3 August, were those



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:38:10 1 documents checked, the ones she got from Manotti? Maybe  
12:38:17 2 you need to go to your diary or the log?---Yes, they were.  
12:38:33 3  
12:38:34 4 To cut to the chase, without going through this, what Karam  
12:38:40 5 was importing on that occasion was tobacco, or it was  
12:38:45 6 thought to be tobacco?---Yes, that's right.  
12:38:47 7  
12:38:47 8 Ultimately did you go and update Mr Biggin on that day,  
12:38:52 9 according to your diary, in relation to that container  
12:38:55 10 intelligence? I'm referring to your diary at this  
12:39:16 11 stage?---I am referring to my diary, yes.  
12:39:18 12  
12:39:19 13 Probably towards the end of the day. There are a number of  
12:39:23 14 entries on the 4th?---I did receive a call from  
12:39:46 15 Superintendent Biggin.  
12:39:49 16  
12:39:49 17 And did you update him?---I did, yes.  
12:39:51 18  
12:39:52 19 About what?---There was a query re a threat to Ms Gobbo.  
12:40:05 20  
12:40:05 21 Was there a discussion about containing intel?---Yes, there  
12:40:09 22 was, "Discussed Customs issue re container arriving Sydney  
12:40:13 23 on Monday".  
12:40:14 24  
12:40:15 25 Do you have any note of what Mr Biggin said or instructed  
12:40:18 26 you to do in relation to that information?---No.  
12:40:27 27  
12:40:27 28 We'll go to 5 August then. Was the information about that  
12:40:33 29 container disseminated?---There's a reference, an update  
12:40:53 30 from Officer Smith that, "He will ring Customs re container  
12:40:58 31 at Sydney, satisfied will not be linked to VicPol".  
12:41:01 32  
12:41:02 33 So that was the tobacco container at that stage?---Yes, I  
12:41:07 34 think so.  
12:41:07 35  
12:41:07 36 Do you have a reference to somebody called Hardiman in your  
12:41:11 37 notes? Perhaps go to your log, it might be easier?---On my  
12:41:27 38 log on 5 August the intel reads, "Tobacco container went to  
12:41:31 39 a fellow called Hardiman at Customs".  
12:41:35 40  
12:41:35 41 Then on 7 August Manotti delivered yet another envelope to  
12:41:47 42 Ms Gobbo for Mr Karam, according to her. Again, perhaps  
12:42:16 43 the quickest way is to go to your source management  
12:42:19 44 log?---I found the entry in my diary, p.64, "Source given  
12:42:23 45 documents re storage details in New South Wales, possibly  
12:42:29 46 for container job".  
12:42:30 47

.02/09/19

5307

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:42:30 1 Did you give a direction about what would happen with the  
 12:42:33 2 information that she had provided? Again, Mr White, if I  
 12:42:51 3 take you to the log for the second entry for 7 August. It  
 12:42:55 4 might be the quickest way?---Yes, the log states, "Manotti  
 12:43:00 5 drops another envelope off for source for Karam. Agreed  
 12:43:03 6 intel not to be disseminated, will compromise source if  
 12:43:07 7 another container is intercepted, especially having regard  
 12:43:11 8 to contents, being tobacco".  
 12:43:13 9  
 12:43:13 10 Was that your direction in relation to what would happen  
 12:43:17 11 with that information?---Yes, it would have been.  
 12:43:19 12  
 12:43:19 13 At this stage from the log it appears there's a number of  
 12:43:23 14 threats and contracts being put on Ms Gobbo's head that I  
 12:43:26 15 won't go through the details of them, but did you update  
 12:43:33 16 Mr Biggin about the status of the threats against her on  
 12:43:36 17 that same day, 7 August?---Yes.  
 12:43:40 18  
 12:43:43 19 That's according to your diary on that day?---Yes, 14:14 I  
 12:43:51 20 spoke to Superintendent Biggin, "Updated re Ms Gobbo  
 12:43:54 21 threats".  
 12:43:55 22  
 12:44:01 23 I'll jump forward. You were asked some questions about the  
 12:44:19 24 complaint by Mr Williams to the Victorian Bar about  
 12:44:23 25 Ms Gobbo. Do you recall questions along that line?---Yes.  
 12:44:27 26  
 12:44:27 27 On 7 September, can I take you to your log, as part of the  
 12:44:33 28 monthly source review that was conducted on that day.  
 12:44:39 29  
 12:44:39 30 COMMISSIONER: 7 September, is it?  
 12:44:40 31  
 12:44:41 32 MR CHETTLE: 7 September 06, Commissioner?---Yes, I have  
 12:44:49 33 that.  
 12:44:49 34  
 12:44:51 35 Did you record, "Update the position in relation to her  
 12:44:58 36 status" on that day?---Yes, I did.  
 12:44:59 37  
 12:45:00 38 Perhaps the easiest way is to just read it,  
 12:45:04 39 please?---"Monthly source review update. Carl Williams has  
 12:45:08 40 made written complaint to Bar Council re source in  
 12:45:14 41 particular relationship [REDACTED] Source must respond to  
 12:45:21 42 same and is becoming stressed re the continual attacks on  
 12:45:26 43 her reputations by Williams with the aid of Solicitor 2.  
 12:45:31 44 Request by source to assist with payments to [REDACTED]  
 12:45:36 45 [REDACTED] Refer to Purana Task Force for consideration as  
 12:45:40 46 witness management issue. Source is currently paying for  
 12:45:46 47 [REDACTED] as there is no one else to assist".

.02/09/19

5308

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:45:49 1  
12:45:49 2 So against that background was the risk reassessed?---Yes.  
12:45:53 3  
12:45:53 4 What was the finding in relation to risk?---"Source remains  
12:45:58 5 high risk." This is under the heading "risk assessment".  
12:46:04 6  
12:46:04 7 Yes. You made reference to Solicitor 2 and Mr Williams in  
12:46:08 8 what you've just talked about?---Yes.  
12:46:10 9  
12:46:10 10 And [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] After that, after [REDACTED]  
12:46:16 11 do you go on to refer to the containers that we've just  
12:46:19 12 been talking about?---Yes.  
12:46:20 13  
12:46:21 14 What's that?---"Recent interception of container in Sydney  
12:46:27 15 may also have impact on source if Karam or Mokbel establish  
12:46:32 16 that she had knowledge of details and could have reported  
12:46:35 17 same to police."  
12:46:37 18  
12:46:38 19 You come to the conclusions that you set out in your log.  
12:46:42 20 Your reference there to the recent interception of the  
12:46:44 21 container in Sydney, the first of the envelopes that you  
12:46:49 22 gave evidence of which Manotti gave her to give to  
12:46:55 23 Karam?---Yes.  
12:46:56 24  
12:46:56 25 And was then passed on to Customs?---Yes.  
12:46:59 26  
12:46:59 27 If you look quickly at 9 August 06 in the log, that  
12:47:05 28 particular container was in fact intercepted by Customs and  
12:47:10 29 had \$2.5 million worth of cigarettes in it, is that the  
12:47:15 30 case?---Yes, that's correct.  
12:47:16 31  
12:47:23 32 On 15 September did you have a conversation with Mr O'Brien  
12:47:36 33 at Purana and were you accompanied by Mr Anderson and  
12:47:40 34 Mr Green for that update?---Yes.  
12:47:41 35  
12:47:41 36 Again, going to your diary for 15 September, what do you  
12:47:47 37 record as that meeting?---At 15:55, p.131, we updated Jim  
12:48:05 38 O'Brien regarding a message from a fellow [REDACTED]  
12:48:11 39  
12:48:11 40 He has a pseudonym, Mr White, [REDACTED] --Yes.  
12:48:18 41  
12:48:19 42 Then there were some issues about her security?---Yes,  
12:48:26 43 there was a veiled threat from him, "Consider security for  
12:48:30 44 source, cameras", et cetera.  
12:48:35 45  
12:48:35 46 Your next point?---This is concerning somebody called  
12:48:42 47 Speedy.

.02/09/19

5309

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:48:43 1  
12:48:44 2 Speedy's real identity - I don't think he's got a  
12:48:50 3 pseudonym?---I can't remember his name.  
12:48:52 4  
12:48:53 5 We'll call him Speedy. Was he involved in the importation  
12:48:57 6 of apparently concrete from China?---Yes.  
12:49:08 7  
12:49:09 8 Does the name Khouri mean anything to you, Simon  
12:49:16 9 Khouri?---It rings a bell but I can't place why.  
12:49:19 10  
12:49:19 11 If you look at the next entry, you've got relationship with  
12:49:23 12 an individual called Speedy?---Yes.  
12:49:24 13  
12:49:24 14 And what was happening with a concrete import?---There was,  
12:49:37 15 "There was intel from [REDACTED] that Horthy was using a  
12:49:45 16 concrete importing as a cover for illegal drug import and  
12:49:51 17 Speedy is a drug dealer and close supporter of Horthy, owes  
12:49:56 18 Horthy \$80,000".  
12:49:57 19  
12:49:58 20 This is information that you are giving O'Brien, having  
12:50:02 21 received it from her, from Ms Gobbo?---Yes.  
12:50:05 22  
12:50:13 23 And if you go back to 10 September, as my able junior  
12:50:17 24 points out, do you see that she tells you that - according  
12:50:26 25 to - perhaps you check the source management log on 10  
12:50:31 26 September, Mr White?---Yes.  
12:50:35 27  
12:50:35 28 Was there some reference to Ms Gobbo going to China with  
12:50:39 29 Speedy?---That's right. She'd been asked to travel to  
12:50:43 30 China with Speedy and she was instructed not to go.  
12:50:49 31  
12:50:50 32 That puts in context what you're talking about on the 15th.  
12:50:53 33 I'll tender that diary entry for p.131 for 15 September,  
12:50:58 34 Commissioner.  
12:50:58 35  
12:50:59 36 #EXHIBIT RC408A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
37 15/09/06.  
12:51:03 38  
12:51:03 39 #EXHIBIT 408B - (Redacted version.)  
12:51:06 40  
12:51:06 41 The same day do you have a discussion with Ms Gobbo, a  
12:51:14 42 meeting with her, or is that a - that's a dinner. Sorry,  
12:51:20 43 now I've got it. Was there a dinner on that evening with  
12:51:23 44 yourself, Mr Smith, Mr Anderson and Mr Green?---Yes.  
12:51:30 45  
12:51:30 46 COMMISSIONER: This is 15 September, is it?  
12:51:32 47

.02/09/19

5310

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:51:32 1 MR CHETTLE: Yes. Is that the dinner in St Kilda Road, is  
12:51:35 2 that the one?---Yes. Yes, it is.  
12:51:37 3  
12:51:37 4 His name is not mentioned there but do you have any  
12:51:40 5 recollection whether Gavan Ryan came to that meeting?---I  
12:51:44 6 thought Gavan did come to this meeting.  
12:51:46 7  
12:51:46 8 He came to one of them he says. There were two dinners,  
12:51:50 9 weren't there?---Yes, that's right, there was two. I  
12:51:53 10 thought he was at this one.  
12:51:54 11  
12:51:55 12 At this meeting did you have a discussion with her in  
12:51:57 13 relation to a number of topics?---Yes.  
12:52:01 14  
12:52:02 15 What were they, what was discussed with her?---In my diary  
12:52:08 16 I've got some dot points. "Issues covered. Roberta  
12:52:14 17 Williams, contract to kill. Relationship with Speedy.  
12:52:19 18 [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] for [REDACTED] Tasking to meet  
12:52:27 19 Manella and Gatto. Speedy problem re stalking."  
12:52:34 20  
12:52:34 21 That's it?---Yes.  
12:52:36 22  
12:52:38 23 In the source management log are there further  
12:52:57 24 points?---Yes, the source management log shows that for  
12:53:01 25 that contact there was, "Phone contact and a meeting with  
12:53:06 26 the individuals already mentioned. It was a 12 month  
12:53:10 27 anniversary, welfare meeting and meal. General intel.  
28 Discussion re termination of relationship and timing of  
12:53:18 29 same. Discussed exit strategy. Source to remove self  
12:53:23 30 slowly from associates. Options re same. Value of intel  
12:53:28 31 gained and human source welfare". The last dot point is,  
12:53:33 32 "Suggestions re outlet, psychologist, priest", et cetera.  
12:53:38 33  
12:53:38 34 So your diary has slightly further information. Can I  
12:53:43 35 tender the diary entry for 15 September, Commissioner.  
12:53:45 36  
12:53:50 37 COMMISSIONER: We've done that already, haven't we, 15  
12:53:54 38 September 408A and B.  
39  
40 MR CHETTLE: Sorry, Mr Winneke tendered it.  
41  
12:54:02 42 COMMISSIONER: I thought you tendered it, 408A and B?  
12:54:02 43  
12:54:02 44 MR CHETTLE: I'm sorry, it's all the same date.  
45  
46 COMMISSIONER: You then found some more but it should all  
47 be in the same exhibit, yes.

.02/09/19

5311

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
12:54:04 2 MR CHETTLE: Thank you, Commissioner. Can you look at 10  
12:54:16 3 October 06, please, in your diary. On that date did you  
12:54:40 4 meet with Superintendent Biggin and Detective Inspector  
12:54:42 5 Hardy?---Yes.  
12:54:48 6  
12:54:50 7 What happened at that meeting? What did you discuss?---My  
12:54:56 8 notes show, "Meet with Superintendent Tony Biggin and DDI  
12:54:59 9 Rob Hardy re" first dot point, "3838 and Karam approach.  
12:55:04 10 Agree". The second dot point was about (indistinct)  
12:55:11 11 infrastructure and so was the third.  
12:55:12 12  
12:55:12 13 What's the reference to Karam approach? Or if you don't  
12:55:24 14 know, say so?---I can't recall at this time.  
12:55:29 15  
12:55:32 16 As I read it, and I'll be asking the Commission to find,  
12:55:36 17 you had a discussion about something you planned with  
12:55:38 18 approach to do with Karam and Biggin and Hardy agreed with  
12:55:42 19 you, in summary?---Yes.  
12:55:43 20  
12:55:50 21 I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
12:55:52 22  
12:55:53 23 #EXHIBIT RC409A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
24 10/10/06.  
12:56:02 25  
12:56:02 26 #EXHIBIT RC409B - (Redacted version.)  
12:56:10 27  
12:56:12 28 If I can perhaps do this in a global form. You've already  
12:56:16 29 given some evidence about an issue arising as to whether or  
12:56:19 30 not a man called Amatruda had a contact with somebody  
12:56:25 31 called Pierce in Purana, do you recall that issue?---Yes.  
12:56:28 32  
12:56:28 33 That was raised with Mr O'Brien, who had total confidence  
12:56:34 34 in Mr Pierce?---Yes.  
12:56:35 35  
12:56:35 36 But the issue in relation to that, the information  
12:56:41 37 intelligence in relation to him, was coming from her,  
12:56:47 38 Gobbo?---Yes.  
12:56:47 39  
12:57:02 40 The point of all that, did you meet with Detective  
12:57:07 41 Superintendent Biggin and Detective Inspector O'Brien in  
12:57:11 42 relation to that matter on a number of occasions?---Yes.  
12:57:14 43  
12:57:26 44 Did you have a meeting on 18 October of 06, according to  
12:57:30 45 your diary, with Officer Smith and Officer Anderson in  
12:57:37 46 relation to what you describe as the 3838 exit  
12:57:49 47 strategy?---Yes.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

12:57:49 1  
12:57:50 2 What's your diary entry for that date say, please?---First  
12:57:57 3 dot point, "Discussed deactivation". The next "Need to  
12:58:04 4 continue contact for duty of care, threats against same and  
12:58:07 5 court discovery processes. If contact to continue keep  
12:58:12 6 registered. Discussed telling Purana that human source  
12:58:18 7 deactivated. Loose talk may become worse if members  
12:58:23 8 believe source is no longer active. Agreed deactivation  
12:58:28 9 not possible until contact stops. Exit strategy to revolve  
12:58:36 10 around arrest Horty. Promote to talent spotter, make feel  
12:58:40 11 important. Continue ban on no tasking. Source to be  
12:58:45 12 reminded. Meet for tomorrow approved. Source to be  
12:58:51 13 encouraged to withdraw from relationships with targets".  
12:58:55 14  
12:58:55 15 I tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
12:58:58 16  
12:58:58 17 #EXHIBIT RC410A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
18 18/10/06.  
12:59:03 19  
12:59:03 20 #EXHIBIT RC410B - (Redacted version.)  
12:59:06 21  
12:59:07 22 On 26 October 06 did you attend, according to your diary, a  
12:59:15 23 covert support department's meeting, comstat  
12:59:40 24 meeting?---Yes.  
12:59:41 25  
12:59:41 26 Did you make a reference in your diary to Chief  
12:59:47 27 Commissioner Christine Nixon making comments about the SDU  
12:59:52 28 and HSMU?---Yes.  
12:59:55 29  
12:59:55 30 What did you record as far as those comments are  
12:59:58 31 concerned?---She said that, in reference to the SDU, HSMU,  
13:00:05 32 "One of the best risk management tools for the support and  
13:00:11 33 investigations. Needs to further develop and grow (to be  
13:00:13 34 supported) and evidence that you can implement cultural  
13:00:19 35 change".  
13:00:19 36  
13:00:19 37 I tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
13:00:21 38  
13:00:21 39 COMMISSIONER: What date is that one?  
13:00:23 40  
13:00:24 41 MR CHETTLE: 26 October 2006.  
13:00:26 42  
13:00:27 43 #EXHIBIT RC411A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
44 26/10/06.  
13:00:34 45  
13:00:34 46 #EXHIBIT RC411B - (Redacted version.)  
13:00:42 47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

13:00:43 1 On 30 October of 06 was there a meeting that you - I'm  
13:00:54 2 sorry, you didn't attend. There was a meeting between  
13:01:01 3 Anderson and Smith with Ms Gobbo. Can I take you to the  
13:01:07 4 source management log to conveniently deal with  
13:01:22 5 that?---Yes.  
13:01:23 6  
13:01:23 7 Was she shown briefs of evidence against [REDACTED] and  
13:01:28 8 [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] had made statements  
13:01:33 9 about?---Yes.  
13:01:33 10  
13:01:36 11 Were there issues raised about how she could be compromised  
13:01:40 12 in those statements?---Yes.  
13:01:45 13  
13:01:47 14 Was Detective Flynn advised of those issues of  
13:01:51 15 compromise?---Yes.  
13:01:56 16  
13:01:58 17 Thank you, I'll move forward. On 1 November of 06, I'm  
13:02:08 18 dealing here with issues that were put to you by counsel  
13:02:14 19 for Ms Gobbo that she was suffering health issues that made  
13:02:23 20 her unusable really which is what was being put. Do you  
13:02:27 21 recall those propositions?---Yes.  
13:02:29 22  
13:02:30 23 On those days, on 1 November of 06 was there an entry in  
13:02:35 24 the log about advice given to her in relation to her  
13:02:40 25 health?---Yes.  
13:02:43 26  
13:02:44 27 What was she advised?---She, "Source advised to reduce  
13:02:54 28 efforts for police if was causing health problems and  
13:02:57 29 stress".  
13:02:58 30  
13:02:59 31 Were issues like that raised with her from time to time  
13:03:04 32 throughout the relationship?---Yes, they were.  
13:03:07 33  
13:03:26 34 Can I take you to 18 November, please. Did you have a  
13:03:34 35 meeting with Superintendent Biggin, Mr Anderson and  
13:03:39 36 Mr Smith?---Yes.  
13:03:41 37  
13:03:44 38 Perhaps the quickest way - is it in your diary for that  
13:03:51 39 day?---No.  
13:04:19 40  
13:04:19 41 All right. Go to the source management log, please, for 18  
13:04:24 42 November?---Yes.  
13:04:25 43  
13:04:27 44 That records that there was a meeting with Biggin and  
13:04:30 45 yourself and those other two officers. Does that mean it's  
13:04:33 46 not in your diary or it's been redacted out?---I can't find  
13:04:38 47 it on that date in my diary.

.02/09/19

5314

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

13:04:42 1  
13:04:43 2 According to the log, in that meeting did you discuss  
13:04:46 3 deactivating her?---Yes.  
13:04:48 4  
13:04:48 5 And the duty of care that you have to her?---Yes.  
13:04:52 6  
13:04:53 7 So you couldn't de-register her because of that duty, the  
13:04:57 8 need to maintain contact?---That's right.  
13:05:00 9  
13:05:01 10 Was there a direction given by Mr Biggin as to what was to  
13:05:05 11 happen with intelligence from there on?---"The source was  
13:05:10 12 to be advised that intelligence could not be acted upon or  
13:05:14 13 passed on. The source was to be advised that the contact  
13:05:17 14 will be ongoing, urgent issues re info will be addressed as  
13:05:21 15 they arise."  
13:05:22 16  
13:05:22 17 You then have a source management, a monthly source review  
13:05:27 18 of her on that day?---Yes.  
13:05:28 19  
13:05:30 20 And you outline about halfway down the page health issues  
13:05:35 21 that she has, including weight loss and issues she has with  
13:05:39 22 stress?---Yes.  
13:05:40 23  
13:05:41 24 Do you then make a notation about providing psychological  
13:05:45 25 consultation for her in the New Year?---That's right.  
13:05:48 26  
13:05:49 27 And she said the stress was unavoidable in her job?---Yes.  
13:05:54 28  
13:05:59 29 On 19 November, if you just flick over the log, you had a  
13:06:04 30 resolution earlier from when you spoke to Mr Biggin that  
13:06:07 31 she was to be told that intelligence will not be acted on.  
13:06:11 32 On 19 November was she in fact told that by  
13:06:16 33 Mr Anderson?---Yes.  
13:06:17 34  
13:06:20 35 On 20 November did you have a consultation with somebody  
13:06:26 36 called [REDACTED] - no.  
13:06:31 37  
13:06:31 38 MR HOLT: It's an employee of another agency, Commissioner,  
13:06:34 39 I'm certain they would have a view about it.  
13:06:37 40  
13:06:37 41 MR CHETTLE: Did you have a consultation with a  
13:06:39 42 psychologist from another agency?---Yes.  
13:06:42 43  
13:06:42 44 Do you make a note of the effect of that consultation as  
13:06:46 45 far as Ms Gobbo was concerned?---Yes.  
13:06:49 46  
13:06:49 47 What did you note?---"I spoke to that individual in

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

13:06:55 1 relation to dependence issues and welfare of primary  
13:07:00 2 sources. It was agreed psychologist who can commit to  
13:07:05 3 long-term welfare of source is the most appropriate action  
13:07:08 4 to take with intention of changing dependence on handlers."  
13:07:12 5  
13:07:12 6 That was the advice you got in order to try and reduce her  
13:07:16 7 dependence upon your crew?---Yes.  
13:07:19 8  
13:07:20 9 On the next day did you meet with Mr Biggin, on the  
13:07:25 10 21st?---Yes.  
13:07:26 11  
13:07:26 12 Do you have a diary entry in relation to that meeting?  
13:07:36 13  
13:07:36 14 COMMISSIONER: 21 November, is that right?  
13:07:38 15  
13:07:38 16 MR CHETTLE: 21 November?---Yes, I do.  
13:07:41 17  
13:07:42 18 What's the entry read, Mr White?---"Meet with  
13:07:46 19 Superintendent Tony Biggin re Ms Gobbo. Advised re plan  
13:07:50 20 not to receive or report intel from same. Agrees. Advised  
13:07:57 21 intend to attempt to encourage source to meet with  
13:08:00 22 psychologist." That's the end of that.  
13:08:05 23  
13:08:06 24 Did you amplify that in the source management log on 21  
13:08:13 25 November?---The note I have in the log, "Meeting with  
13:08:21 26 Superintendent Biggin. Advised re position to report any  
13:08:25 27 intel from source as part of exit strategy re management of  
13:08:31 28 source. Also informed that source has not been tasked for  
13:08:35 29 seven months and will push to meet with psychologist in  
13:08:41 30 near future."  
13:08:42 31  
13:08:42 32 I'll push forward. On the issue of the need for resources  
13:08:49 33 that you gave evidence about last time, can I take you to 7  
13:08:53 34 December 06, please. Did you have a conversation with  
13:09:02 35 Detective Inspector Hardy in relation to your  
13:09:07 36 administrative problems?---I just need to change diaries.  
13:09:15 37  
13:09:16 38 Yes. 7 December?---Yes, I have that.  
13:09:51 39  
13:09:51 40 What's the conversation you have with Mr Hardy according to  
13:09:55 41 your diary?---At 15:00, "Meet with Detective Inspector Rob  
13:09:59 42 Hardy re impress" and then there's dot points. "Advised  
13:10:03 43 need for PAO greater than TIO", so that was need for a,  
13:10:11 44 it's basically an admin officer is greater than a need for  
13:10:17 45 an analyst.  
13:10:17 46  
13:10:18 47 What does PAO stand for?---I think it's something admin

.02/09/19

5316

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

13:10:22 1 officer, I'm not quite sure. It's basically admin support.  
13:10:27 2  
13:10:28 3 TIO is trained intelligence officer or something, is  
13:10:33 4 it?---Sorry, all the lights have gone out here.  
5  
13:10:35 6 COMMISSIONER: We can still see you but it might not help.  
13:10:42 7 I can still see you?---Here we go, they're coming back on.  
13:10:46 8 Sorry, Mr Chettle, what was the question?  
13:10:52 9  
13:10:54 10 MR CHETTLE: The need for an admin officer is greater than  
13:10:57 11 the need for an analyst?---Yes.  
13:11:00 12  
13:11:00 13 That's a reflection on the clerical work you needed to do  
13:11:03 14 or to keep up to speed, is it?---Yes, that's right.  
13:11:06 15  
13:11:07 16 What page in your diary is that? It's probably not one  
13:11:10 17 Mr Winneke has got?---So there's a reference to it on p.65,  
13:11:17 18 which is a general office meeting, "Need for PAO much  
13:11:21 19 greater than TIO", then there's a reference to the same  
13:11:25 20 point on p.66 when I met with Detective Inspector Hardy.  
13:11:30 21  
13:11:31 22 MR HOLT: These have been provided, Commissioner,  
13:11:33 23 Mr Winneke should have them.  
13:11:35 24  
13:11:35 25 MR CHETTLE: I'll tender those diary entries, Commissioner.  
13:11:37 26  
13:11:38 27 COMMISSIONER: Are they both on 7 December?  
13:11:40 28  
13:11:40 29 MR CHETTLE: Both on the 7th.  
13:11:41 30  
13:11:42 31 #EXHIBIT RC412A - (Confidential) Diary entry re request for  
13:11:48 32 administrative assistance 07/12/06.  
13:11:51 33  
13:11:52 34 #EXHIBIT RC412B - (Redacted version.)  
13:11:57 35  
13:11:57 36 11 December. Did you have a meeting with Mr Ryan,  
13:12:03 37 Detective Inspector Ryan, who told you about a meeting he'd  
13:12:05 38 had with Mr Overland? This is your diary?---Yes.  
13:12:18 39  
13:12:18 40 Perhaps if you read the entry and then I'll ask you some  
13:12:21 41 questions about it?---This is p.68 at 13:30, "Meet with  
13:12:27 42 Detective Inspector Ryan who told me he had met with  
13:12:30 43 Assistant Commissioner Overland, who is concerned re the  
13:12:34 44 exit strategy for Ms Gobbo. Advised phase process already  
13:12:43 45 implemented. Phase 1, cease tasking. Phase 2, cease  
13:12:48 46 dissemination of intel. Human source advised. Phase 3,  
13:12:54 47 psyche connection. Phase 4, reward process. Advise Gavan

.02/09/19

5317

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

13:13:00 1 Ryan ongoing duty of care issue re discovery process at the  
13:13:03 2 court. Gavan Ryan to update Deputy Commissioner Overland  
13:13:06 3 re same".  
13:13:07 4  
13:13:08 5 Is that, before lunch, is Mr Ryan conveying to you  
13:13:11 6 Mr Overland's concerns about you getting rid of Ms Gobbo,  
13:13:16 7 or your plan to get rid of her?---Yes.  
13:13:18 8  
13:13:21 9 You were telling him the steps you'd already taken?---Yes.  
13:13:25 10  
13:13:27 11 That's in your diary for that date, have I got the entirety  
13:13:31 12 of the entry?---Yes.  
13:13:32 13  
13:13:32 14 I will tender that, Commissioner.  
13:13:34 15  
13:13:34 16 COMMISSIONER: That's 11 December, is it?  
13:13:38 17  
13:13:38 18 MR CHETTLE: Yes.  
13:13:38 19  
13:13:39 20 #EXHIBIT RC413A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
13:13:43 21 11/12/06.  
13:13:43 22  
13:13:44 23 #EXHIBIT RC413B - (Redacted version.)  
13:13:48 24  
13:13:48 25 I note the time, Commissioner, is that a convenient time?  
13:13:52 26  
13:13:52 27 COMMISSIONER: Yes. We'll adjourn until 2 o'clock.  
28  
13:14:03 29 <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)  
13:14:03 30  
13:14:03 31 LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

13:14:04 1 UPON RESUMING AT 2.00 PM:  
2  
14:04:27 3 COMMISSIONER: I might just clarify one matter. Earlier  
14:04:33 4 today you tendered an exhibit we couldn't find on  
14:04:37 5 relativity, it was Exhibit 379. I think it was suggested  
14:04:41 6 it was on relativity, but to explain, it wasn't.  
14:04:45 7 Apparently it hadn't been produced to the Commission and  
14:04:48 8 it's now being uploaded and put on to relativity. It would  
14:04:53 9 have been a discoverable document under the Notices to  
14:04:58 10 Produce but I think perhaps it was missed.  
14:05:01 11  
14:05:02 12 MR HOLT: Commissioner, what happened was it doesn't in  
14:05:05 13 fact on its face for the search terms which we've been  
14:05:09 14 using for emails between us and the Royal Commission and  
14:05:12 15 that's why it wasn't picked up. Then the reason why  
14:05:14 16 Mr Chettle had it was in fact because of an error in  
14:05:17 17 transposing a number of. So we've confirmed that it's the  
14:05:20 18 only document that falls into that category that went to  
14:05:23 19 the SDU handlers but didn't go to the Commission and we're  
14:05:26 20 taking steps to ensure that doesn't happen again,  
14:05:29 21 Commissioner.  
22  
14:05:29 23 COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Holt. It is now on  
14:05:31 24 relativity.  
14:05:32 25  
14:05:33 26 MR HOLT: Thank you, Commissioner.  
27  
14:05:33 28 COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes.  
14:05:36 29  
14:05:36 30 MR CHETTLE: Thank you, Commissioner.  
14:05:38 31  
14:05:38 32 <SANDY WHITE, recalled:  
14:05:39 33  
14:05:40 34 MR CHETTLE: Before lunch, Mr White, we were dealing with  
14:05:42 35 the meeting on 11 December where you met with - Mr Ryan  
14:05:47 36 told you he'd met with Overland and there were a number of  
14:05:52 37 factors that were being raised and one was what we called  
14:05:55 38 the psychological connection?---Yes.  
39  
14:05:57 40 I'm going to do this as quickly as I can. If I can refer  
14:06:02 41 you to your source management log and we'll quickly flick  
14:06:04 42 through this. On the same day did you have a meeting with  
14:06:08 43 Mr Smith, Mr Anderson and Mr Green about a plan to have  
14:06:13 44 Ms Gobbo commit to seeing a psychologist?---Yes.  
45  
14:06:18 46 Then there was a meeting later that day where you planned,  
14:06:26 47 discussed the plan to have her meet with a

.02/09/19

5319

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:06:28 1 psychologist?---Yes.  
2  
14:06:40 3 And then if we go quickly to 13 December, according to your  
14:06:48 4 diary. Do you ring that psychologist in order to arrange,  
14:06:55 5 make arrangements for Ms Gobbo to visit the  
14:06:58 6 psychologist?---Yes.  
7  
14:07:01 8 On 15 December you have a conversation with her - - -  
9  
14:07:09 10 COMMISSIONER: Is that the psychologist?  
14:07:11 11  
14:07:11 12 MR CHETTLE: Yes, with the psychologist who I'm not naming,  
14:07:14 13 Commissioner.  
14  
14:07:14 15 COMMISSIONER: Sure.  
14:07:15 16  
14:07:15 17 MR CHETTLE: Whose told you that she needed to know the  
14:07:17 18 full story of what assistance has been provided, not the  
14:07:20 19 details of targets, and she told you that Ms Gobbo needs to  
14:07:26 20 be advised that the psychologist is aware of these things  
14:07:30 21 otherwise it might do harm to the relationship if it comes  
14:07:32 22 out later, do you see that?---Yes.  
23  
14:07:35 24 Was that a conversation you had with the  
14:07:38 25 psychologist?---Yes.  
26  
14:07:47 27 If you go to 18 December. Mr Green reported to you that  
14:07:53 28 Ms Gobbo had an appointment with the psychologist on 20  
14:07:56 29 December, she's to meet on the 20th?---Yes.  
30  
14:08:05 31 Incidentally, there's an entry on that date, on 23  
14:08:10 32 December, that Karam has a container worth \$200 million in  
14:08:15 33 quarantine on the docks with the note, "Could be test"  
14:08:20 34 written after it, do you see that?---Yes.  
35  
14:08:22 36 What's "could be test" a reference to?---It's probably a  
14:08:27 37 reference to the fact that she's got some information and  
14:08:30 38 if it was actioned it would show that that information has  
14:08:39 39 been passed on to the police. So, for example, Karam may  
14:08:42 40 have given her some information that would have looked very  
14:08:46 41 interesting to police and then just monitored what the  
14:08:49 42 police did with that information. So it could be a test as  
14:08:52 43 to whether she was talking to police.  
44  
14:08:55 45 To see if she was exposed, I follow?---Make sense?  
46  
14:08:59 47 Yes, I follow that. Then jumping through this as quickly

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:09:03 1 as I can. On 17 January 2007 you have an entry in your log  
 14:09:11 2 that outlines a number of issues that she has in relation  
 14:09:14 3 to her health. Under the heading on 17 December under  
 14:09:21 4 "welfare"? Sorry, did I say December? 17 January.  
 14:09:34 5 January, we're into 2007?---So it's January?  
 6  
 14:09:39 7 17/1/07. You had a meeting between two officers - two  
 14:09:46 8 handlers and Ms Gobbo?---Yes. On 17 January there's a  
 14:09:55 9 meeting between - yeah, two handlers and Ms Gobbo, that's  
 14:09:58 10 right.  
 11  
 14:09:59 12 She was at that stage to see the psychologist in the next  
 14:10:02 13 few weeks. "Happy to do so even if sceptical of the  
 14:10:07 14 results" is what she says, all right? Can you see that,  
 14:10:17 15 I'm just quickly skimming through the - I think it says  
 14:10:22 16 what it says, Mr White, so I'll leave you with that. Can I  
 14:10:26 17 take you forward to 22 January of 07?---Yes.  
 18  
 14:10:38 19 There's a reference there in the first entry of the 22nd  
 14:10:43 20 that she told the handler that she was self-depressed and  
 14:10:47 21 unemployed and she was told to see the psychologist and she  
 14:10:50 22 just didn't respond, do you see that?---Yes.  
 23  
 14:10:53 24 And then you got an update - is this entry on the 22nd, the  
 14:10:59 25 second entry, in your diary? Can you go to 22 January in  
 14:11:04 26 your diary, please?---Yes.  
 27  
 14:11:11 28 Do you have an entry in your diary in relation to her  
 14:11:16 29 health or how she's healthier now than she was? You got an  
 14:11:41 30 update from Mr Smith and Mr Black?---On the 22nd of  
 14:11:52 31 December 06?  
 32  
 14:11:53 33 No, no. 22 January 07?---Oh.  
 34  
 14:11:56 35 It's probably me.  
 36  
 14:11:59 37 MR WINNEKE: Page 94.  
 14:12:00 38  
 14:12:01 39 MR CHETTLE: Page 94 of your diary according to  
 14:12:04 40 Mr Winneke?---Yes, there's an update from those two  
 14:12:18 41 handlers.  
 42  
 14:12:19 43 What does your diary entry say?---"Met last week with two  
 14:12:23 44 handlers. Human source health, 30 kilos lost. Less than  
 14:12:34 45 30 kilos the last time. Back from Bali holiday. Seeing  
 14:12:37 46 specialist re teeth gnashing. Relaxed and positive re  
 14:12:40 47 getting people out of her life. Ringing psych. re

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:12:44 1 appointment this week. Trying not to ring her handlers on  
14:12:50 2 the weekend."  
3  
14:12:51 4 I tender the 22 January 07 diary entries.  
14:12:56 5  
14:12:57 6 #EXHIBIT RC414A - (Confidential) Diary entries Sandy White  
14:13:03 7 22/01/07.  
14:13:03 8  
14:13:05 9 #EXHIBIT RC414B - (Redacted version.)  
10  
14:13:13 11 On 24 January do you have two entries in your diary, one  
14:13:20 12 relating to a meeting with Mr O'Brien?---Yes.  
13  
14:13:32 14 What's your entry about Mr O'Brien?---The meeting - this is  
14:13:52 15 24 January 07.  
16  
14:13:54 17 That he is prepared to meet with her, isn't it?---The  
14:14:02 18 material I've got in my diary relates to another source and  
14:14:06 19 Jim O'Brien.  
20  
14:14:07 21 That's not what I was talking about. Page 102 I'm told it  
14:14:16 22 is, Mr White. Mr Green advised you that Jim O'Brien was  
14:14:25 23 prepared to meet HS, do you see that?---Yes, I do.  
24  
14:14:32 25 What's it say in your diary, would you read the entry  
14:14:35 26 first?---"Jim O'Brien prepared to meet source and express  
14:14:40 27 thanks for assistance. Will time same re exit strategy."  
28  
14:14:45 29 Is that the start of what turns out to be a meeting at a  
14:14:48 30 golf club where she was presented with a pen?---Yes.  
31  
14:14:51 32 Then on the same day do you go and report on that exit  
14:14:54 33 strategy to Mr Biggin?---Yes.  
34  
14:14:58 35 According to your diary?---Yes.  
36  
14:15:00 37 Does it say any more than what I've read?---It just says,  
14:15:03 38 "Update re Ms Gobbo exit strategy".  
39  
14:15:07 40 All right. I'll tender that 24 January entry,  
14:15:11 41 Commissioner.  
42  
14:15:12 43 #EXHIBIT RC415A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:15:16 44 dated 24/01/07.  
14:15:16 45  
14:15:17 46 #EXHIBIT RC415B - (Redacted version.)  
47

.02/09/19

5322

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:15:22 1 Thank you. Throughout the course of perhaps 2007 did  
14:15:28 2 Ms Gobbo report regular socialising with people like Karam,  
14:15:35 3 Mannella and others at regular dinner appointments?---Yes.  
4  
14:15:42 5 You would record those in your diary from time to  
14:15:46 6 time?---Yes.  
7  
14:15:48 8 If you have a look at 1 February 07 in your diary. Do you  
14:16:03 9 have a record in your diary of her being out with Karam,  
14:16:13 10 Karl Khoder and Joe Mannella and another man?---Yes.  
11  
14:16:22 12 Having drinks, drinking. Is there any more in your entry  
14:16:28 13 than what I've read out?---One of the other individuals  
14:16:43 14 there stated that he thought there was a source close to  
14:16:47 15 Horthy who went to the Queensland Magic Millions, which is a  
14:16:51 16 horse race. No, that's it.  
17  
14:16:57 18 Then on 9 December - sorry, 9 February, 9/2/07 in your  
14:17:04 19 diary, do you have another social engagement listed where  
14:17:08 20 she was at a restaurant called Silks.  
21  
14:17:13 22 COMMISSIONER: Mr Chettle, we still have to be in closed  
14:17:18 23 hearing at the moment, is that right?  
14:17:20 24  
14:17:20 25 MR CHETTLE: Yes. With his role, Commissioner, I think we  
14:17:28 26 do. It's just too easy to - - -  
27  
14:17:30 28 COMMISSIONER: Sure. That's all right.  
14:17:31 29  
14:17:31 30 MR CHETTLE: Do you have the 9 February entry in your  
14:17:46 31 diary? Have you got it?---Yes.  
32  
14:17:50 33 What does it record in relation to what happened in that  
14:17:52 34 meeting?---"Human source met with Juri Tolj and Jacque  
14:18:02 35 El-Hage last night. Dinner with Juric. Red carpet  
14:18:09 36 treatment at Silks". Then on the next page there's some  
14:18:14 37 information about - - -  
38  
14:18:15 39 What happened at that - - - ?---About who Mr Tolj is. Do  
40 you want me to read that?  
41  
14:18:25 42 No. Is there a reference to what Mr Tolj did at that  
14:18:28 43 dinner with Mr El-Hage?---I've got a note here he's a  
14:18:32 44 wealthy brick maker who buys cannabis - actually, no, that  
14:18:36 45 would be cocaine - from El-Hage.  
46  
14:18:45 47 Where did you get this information in your diary

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:18:47 1 from?---This is an update from Officer Green, it's an  
14:18:59 2 update from him.  
3  
14:19:00 4 I won't take you any further to that. It'll be in his  
14:19:06 5 contact report. On 20 February - if we can just put this  
14:19:37 6 in context. Go to the source management log is probably  
14:19:40 7 the quickest way of doing this, Mr White?---I have that.  
8  
14:19:47 9 On the 19th was there intelligence that Karam had a  
14:19:51 10 container worth \$300 million of cocaine that had gone  
14:19:56 11 missing?---Yes.  
12  
14:19:57 13 And that he wanted Ms Gobbo to attend a dinner meeting with  
14:20:00 14 himself and Horty Mokbel to legitimise it if they were  
14:20:04 15 under surveillance?---Yes.  
16  
14:20:08 17 Then on the 20th did she meet with Horty, Karam and  
14:20:13 18 Mannella for dinner?---Yes.  
19  
14:20:16 20 And she gave information about another importation that's  
14:20:20 21 going to occur?---That's right.  
22  
14:20:23 23 And leading up to, did you then on the 21st update  
14:20:28 24 Mr Biggin in relation to that information?---Yes, I did.  
25  
14:20:34 26 That update to Mr Biggin is in your diary for the 21st of  
14:20:41 27 February?---Yes, it is.  
28  
14:20:43 29 On 22 February did she report to you, or report to  
14:20:53 30 Mr Anderson that she went out with Joe Mannella who wanted  
14:20:58 31 her to assist in the importation of millions of dollars  
14:21:00 32 from South America to Australia?---Yes.  
33  
14:21:09 34 And then if I take you to 23 February, did that extend to  
14:21:18 35 Mr Mannella wanting her to travel to South America with him  
14:21:21 36 and bring the cash back for him? Do you see that  
14:21:33 37 entry?---Yes.  
38  
14:21:34 39 On that same day did you update Superintendent Biggin about  
14:21:38 40 the exit strategy as revealed by your diary? I'll take you  
14:21:50 41 to your diary, 23/02?---Yes, I did update him in relation  
14:21:58 42 to the exit strategy.  
43  
14:21:59 44 Can you read your diary entry, please?---"Ms Gobbo update  
14:22:05 45 re exit strategy. Agreed have to continue relationship  
14:22:08 46 because of duty of care. Intel will keep flowing because  
14:22:12 47 of lifestyle. Manage how, if possible, to disseminate".

.02/09/19

5324

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
14:22:17 2 Did you then meet - after meeting Mr Biggin did you then  
14:22:21 3 meet with Mr Anderson and Mr Green about her  
14:22:24 4 management?---Yes, I did.  
5  
14:22:25 6 What was the upshot of that meeting?---I updated the two  
14:22:31 7 handlers about the Superintendent's views and it was agreed  
14:22:34 8 there'd be no tasking. "Gathered intel, no dissemination  
14:22:38 9 unless safe. Advised source not to meet with targets for  
14:22:43 10 VicPol. Meetings, social or otherwise, at her discretion.  
14:22:48 11 Agreed cannot change lifestyle as source should stop  
14:22:55 12 trying".  
14:22:55 13  
14:22:55 14 That same day did you get a call from the psychologist in  
14:22:59 15 relation to how to deal with her?---Yes, I did.  
16  
14:23:02 17 If you turn to the next page, she was described as  
14:23:07 18 dominant, aggressive with a fragile ego?---Yes.  
19  
14:23:12 20 Part of the strategy you had, you'd indicated, was to  
14:23:15 21 change handlers for workload issues but did it become  
14:23:19 22 apparent to you that some handlers had a better  
14:23:22 23 relationship with her than others?---Yes.  
24  
14:23:27 25 Mr Wolf she didn't like when he got involved with  
14:23:30 26 her?---No.  
27  
14:23:30 28 And she had an attachment with Mr Green?---Yes.  
29  
14:23:36 30 All right. That diary entry for 23 February I'll tender,  
14:23:42 31 Commissioner.  
14:23:43 32  
14:23:44 33 #EXHIBIT RC416A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:23:52 34 dated 23/02/07.  
14:23:52 35  
14:23:52 36 #EXHIBIT RC416B - (Redacted version.)  
37  
14:23:58 38 On 1 March 07, and I'll take you briefly to the log for one  
14:24:07 39 line, it reads that "there was Joe Ferola funeral today.  
14:24:13 40 Ms Gobbo doing the eulogy". Who was Joe Ferola, do you  
14:24:21 41 know?---I can't remember. He was - no, I can't remember  
14:24:28 42 exactly.  
43  
14:24:29 44 Not a member of the Police Force anyway?---No.  
45  
14:24:38 46 On 5 March you did another monthly source review outlining  
14:24:46 47 the position in relation to why she had to be managed by

.02/09/19

5325

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:24:50 1 the SDU?---Yes.  
2  
14:24:56 3 One of the issues, if you go to the recommendation where  
14:25:00 4 you point out continual management is essential, "Source to  
14:25:07 5 be advised that handlers will focus on ensuring that the  
14:25:11 6 court discovery issues, re the Milad committal, are managed  
14:25:16 7 to ensure her protection", right?---Yes.  
8  
14:25:21 9 Do you have any recollection about how those court - what  
14:25:25 10 that refers to, the court discovery issues and protecting  
14:25:30 11 her?---Not specifically, but it was something that we had  
14:25:35 12 to monitor so we had to maintain contact with her in case  
14:25:40 13 she was disclosed in court hearings, she'd have to be  
14:25:44 14 notified immediately.  
15  
14:25:46 16 Were there contingency plans put in place for her  
14:25:51 17 extraction and protection if suddenly she were to be  
14:25:57 18 exposed?---There was nothing formal beyond the fact that  
14:26:00 19 she had to be immediately notified and there would have to  
14:26:04 20 be a serious discussion about her protection measures.  
21  
14:26:10 22 On 15 March of that year - I'll take you to the source  
14:26:24 23 management log. She wanted a [REDACTED] - that's  
14:26:28 24 not what I want to take you to - and that was approved.  
14:26:31 25 But immediately following that did Mr Anderson report to  
14:26:35 26 you that Ms Gobbo wanted to be paid for dinners and things  
14:26:40 27 of that sort with potential targets?---She was told that we  
14:26:47 28 can't pay expenses for times that she goes out with persons  
14:26:50 29 of interest, especially if we do not task her to do so.  
14:26:56 30 She was also told if she wants expenses paid she needs to  
14:27:00 31 tell us at that time and it was also - she was to be told  
14:27:05 32 it was too early for any reward payment.  
33  
14:27:11 34 Part of the issues that Mr Winneke raised with you were  
14:27:16 35 disclosure issues or - disclosure issues to the courts as  
14:27:21 36 to her role and you were asked some questions about that,  
14:27:24 37 do you remember?---Yes.  
38  
14:27:27 39 Can I take you to 16 March, to your diary for that day. Do  
14:27:35 40 you have an entry about a meeting with Dale Flynn and the  
14:27:38 41 disclosure issues that might arise in an upcoming  
14:28:16 42 committal? Have you got 16 March there?---On 16 March?  
43  
14:28:19 44 Yes. It's after a report from Mr Anderson. There's then a  
14:28:24 45 discussion about the Milad Mokbel committal and then,  
14:28:30 46 according to your diary, you met with Detective Sergeant  
14:28:33 47 Flynn, Purana, re Ms Gobbo. 16/3/07. It's 16 March.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:29:04 1 It'll be towards the end. Page 243, Mr White?---243.  
2  
14:29:19 3 According to Mr Winneke?---That's actually on 19 March.  
4  
14:29:35 5 Is it? Okay. Is that 233?---243.  
6  
14:29:43 7 243 is that - that's a later meeting. Go back to 233 I'm  
14:29:48 8 told?---I don't see anything on 233.  
9  
14:30:06 10 All right?---Of that nature.  
11  
14:30:08 12 Well, okay. Can you look at the log for a moment. I don't  
14:30:13 13 know whether you've got this in the log or not but if you  
14:30:17 14 look at the second entry for 16 March 07, which according  
14:30:21 15 to my note is a diary entry but I might be wrong?---Yes.  
16  
14:30:28 17 Do you see, "Meeting with Dale Flynn"?---Yes.  
18  
14:30:33 19 According to the note that comes from your diary, doesn't  
14:30:37 20 it?---Yes.  
21  
14:30:38 22 But we can't find it?---Not on that day.  
23  
14:30:42 24 All right. Well, did you meet with Dale Flynn to discuss  
14:30:46 25 the Milad Mokbel committal and how to protect her?---Yes.  
26  
14:30:56 27 You spelled out a number of options, I won't have to read  
14:31:00 28 them, they're set out in the - this is all set out in the  
14:31:04 29 source management log for at that date, is it not?---That's  
14:31:06 30 right.  
31  
14:31:07 32 But in particular I want to take you to the resolution, the  
14:31:10 33 agreement. After you spoke to Flynn about the possibility  
14:31:13 34 of her exposure or involvement in ██████████'s arrest did  
14:31:18 35 you come to some agreement?---Yes.  
36  
14:31:20 37 What was that?---"Mr Anderson was to speak to Ms Gobbo re  
14:31:27 38 resolution, outline the issues and options available. If  
14:31:31 39 all material deleted under PII if contested human source  
14:31:34 40 involvement will be revealed to magistrate. Confidential  
14:31:37 41 affidavit? Same problem. Adjourned for further  
14:31:42 42 consideration and discussion with source".  
43  
14:31:46 44 At any stage was there any intention on behalf of the SDU  
14:31:52 45 to conceal from a court what had actually happened if  
14:32:01 46 subpoenas occurred?---No.  
47

.02/09/19

5327

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:32:02 1 All right. I'll go forward then.  
2  
14:32:06 3 MR WINNEKE: Just before you do. Where are you reading  
14:32:08 4 from?  
14:32:09 5  
14:32:10 6 MR CHETTLE: I'm reading from a note that he's made on 16  
14:32:18 7 March 07, which purports to be in his diary.  
8  
14:32:25 9 MR WINNEKE: What page?  
14:32:26 10  
14:32:26 11 MR CHETTLE: I don't have a page reference.  
12  
14:32:29 13 MR WINNEKE: Can you ask Mr White what page it is?  
14:32:32 14  
14:32:33 15 MR CHETTLE: What I want you to find is what we've just  
14:32:37 16 read, can you find it in the diary, please? It may be that  
14:32:48 17 you've put the wrong date down but it seems unlikely  
14:32:51 18 because the - - - ?---I definitely must have put the wrong  
14:32:58 19 date down because it doesn't appear on 16 March.  
20  
14:33:02 21 In your diary. In answer to your question, Mr Winneke, I'm  
14:33:07 22 reading from a note I have but it's not from what he's got.  
14:33:11 23 All right. Whatever date it was, did that conversation  
14:33:14 24 that you've just outlined with Flynn occur? I mean do you  
14:33:21 25 have a recollection of it or do you accept it occurred or  
14:33:24 26 what is the position?---So this is - I see why I've made  
14:33:36 27 this mistake. This is on p.225 of my diary. It looks like  
14:33:48 28 it says 16 March but it must be 13 March.  
29  
14:33:51 30 Okay. Page 225 of your diary, that's the conversation with  
14:33:54 31 Flynn and - - -  
32  
14:33:57 33 MR WINNEKE: Commissioner, I call for the diary. I do not  
14:34:00 34 have this entry. I don't have the page. I don't have the  
14:34:02 35 diary.  
14:34:04 36  
14:34:04 37 MR CHETTLE: I'm trying to get it now for you, Mr Winneke.  
38  
14:34:08 39 MR WINNEKE: Thank you.  
14:34:08 40  
14:34:10 41 MR CHETTLE: Is it page 225 of your diary for 13  
14:34:15 42 March - - -  
43  
14:34:15 44 MR WINNEKE: I'd like to have the original diaries in  
14:34:19 45 court, frankly.  
46  
14:34:20 47 COMMISSIONER: Are they in the hearing room?

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:34:22 1  
14:34:22 2 MR HOLT: No, they're not, Commissioner.  
14:34:24 3  
14:34:24 4 MR CHETTLE: I don't have the original, of course. Do you  
14:34:26 5 have a photocopy of the diary there, Mr White?---Yes.  
6  
14:34:29 7 Is it 13 March at p.225?---26 and 27.  
8  
14:34:35 9 MR WINNEKE: I've got to say, Commissioner, this is  
14:34:38 10 intolerable. We have been asking for relevant entries of  
14:34:41 11 Mr White's diaries now for quite some time. I'm told that  
14:34:45 12 there's scanned copies available to my learned friend's  
14:34:49 13 instructors. I don't have them.  
14  
14:34:51 15 COMMISSIONER: It's not satisfactory at all. Mr Holt?  
14:34:53 16  
14:34:54 17 MR HOLT: We have a list that was provided to us by our  
14:34:58 18 learned friends, our learned friend Mr Chettle, which were  
14:35:00 19 provided then in their entirety, completely unredacted,  
14:35:03 20 including even names of human sources and so on to the  
14:35:06 21 Commission Thursday before last. If we're going beyond  
14:35:10 22 those then I regret that we can't assist immediately but  
14:35:13 23 we'll assist the moment we're given those dates. At  
14:35:17 24 present we've handed over every date range we've been asked  
14:35:23 25 for to date.  
26  
14:35:24 27 COMMISSIONER: All right. Do you have some documents there  
14:35:26 28 that we can photocopy now?  
14:35:28 29  
14:35:28 30 MR CHETTLE: No, I haven't, Commissioner. I have my own  
14:35:31 31 notes and instructions. I can't give you those. Mr White,  
14:35:36 32 and I don't have them, Mr White, the diaries, Mr White was  
14:35:40 33 of the view he had this conversation with Mr Flynn on 16  
14:35:45 34 March. He made a typo on my instructions. We've now  
14:35:49 35 located it on 13 March and that can be photocopied and  
14:35:54 36 provided to the Commission I'm sure. You've got your diary  
14:35:55 37 copy there, haven't you, Mr White?  
14:35:55 38  
14:35:56 39 MR HOLT: 13 March has already been provided so that should  
14:35:59 40 be available.  
41  
14:36:00 42 COMMISSIONER: Can we look at the Commission's diary notes  
14:36:03 43 for 13 March 07, pp.226-7. Are they there? Do we have  
14:36:05 44 those?  
14:36:09 45  
14:36:11 46 MR CHETTLE: Pages 226 and 227 of his diary, Commissioner.  
14:36:21 47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:36:21 1 MR HOLT: It should be VPL.0100.0096.0468, it should be the  
14:36:29 2 first page of that relevant portion, Commissioner, and then  
14:36:31 3 you should be able to scroll through I'm told.  
4  
14:36:35 5 MR WINNEKE: If we've got it, Commissioner, I apologise.  
14:36:38 6 The problem is it's difficult to find these things.  
14:36:41 7 They're in files. We're looking to see if we've got it.  
8  
14:36:47 9 COMMISSIONER: Yes, let me know if we don't have it. Yes,  
14:36:50 10 all right, Mr Chettle.  
14:36:52 11  
14:36:57 12 MR CHETTLE: I'll tender the diary entry for 13 March 07  
14:37:01 13 relating to Dale Flynn, Commissioner.  
14:37:08 14  
14:37:09 15 #EXHIBIT RC417A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:37:11 16 dated 13/03/07.  
14:37:11 17  
14:37:12 18 #EXHIBIT RC417B - (Redacted version.)  
19  
14:37:23 20 COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is there a development, Mr Winneke?  
21  
14:37:25 22 MR WINNEKE: No, I was just withdrawing that potential  
14:37:29 23 apology. We don't have it.  
24  
14:37:31 25 COMMISSIONER: We don't have it?  
26  
14:37:33 27 MR WINNEKE: No.  
28  
14:37:33 29 COMMISSIONER: We definitely don't have it?  
14:37:35 30  
14:37:35 31 MR WINNEKE: No.  
32  
14:37:36 33 COMMISSIONER: All right. We need to get it.  
14:37:38 34  
14:37:38 35 MR HOLT: We'll make those arrangements now, Commissioner,  
14:37:41 36 and update everyone as quickly as possible.  
14:37:44 37  
14:37:44 38 MR CHETTLE: If need be the page that he has in front of  
14:37:46 39 him can be photocopied, Commissioner, I'm sure.  
40  
14:37:49 41 COMMISSIONER: As long as there aren't others as well,  
14:37:51 42 that's the worry, of course.  
14:37:54 43  
14:38:04 44 MR CHETTLE: I'll test the waters. On 19 March 07 do you  
14:38:08 45 have a diary entry about updating Detective Inspector Hardy  
14:38:13 46 in relation to her issues with the Milad Mokbel committal?  
14:38:18 47

.02/09/19

5330

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:38:18 1 MR HOLT: Commissioner, may I just approach my learned  
14:38:21 2 friend? I might be able to resolve the issue.  
14:38:29 3  
14:38:29 4 WITNESS: Yes.  
14:38:50 5  
14:38:51 6 MR HOLT: It appears to be there, Commissioner, I apologise  
14:38:53 7 for the - - -  
8  
14:38:53 9 COMMISSIONER: Thanks for the assistance, Mr Holt.  
14:38:55 10  
14:38:55 11 MR HOLT: No difficulty.  
12  
14:39:00 13 COMMISSIONER: The apology is reinstated.  
14:39:04 14  
14:39:05 15 MR CHETTLE: Have you got 19 March, Mr White?---Yes.  
16  
14:39:08 17 Did you brief Detective Inspector Hardy about these issues  
14:39:10 18 on that day?---Yes.  
19  
14:39:13 20 And then on the same date does your diary record that you  
14:39:17 21 met with Detective Sergeant Flynn about the Milad  
14:39:21 22 committal?---Yes.  
23  
14:39:23 24 What page reference of your diary is that, please?---243.  
25  
14:39:33 26 What does it say?---"Meet with Detective Sergeant Flynn re  
14:39:40 27 3838 notes. Agree hand over only notes that relate to  
14:39:43 28 Milad Mokbel arrest. Not [REDACTED] Have not been  
14:39:54 29 specifically asked re [REDACTED] notes. Plea best option".  
30  
14:39:59 31 Because there was no request for [REDACTED] notes they  
14:40:02 32 weren't going to be provided. If they had have been  
14:40:06 33 requested they would have been, is that the way it  
14:40:08 34 works?---Yes.  
35  
14:40:09 36 I'll tender the diary entry for - the two entries for 19  
14:40:14 37 March 07, Commissioner.  
38  
14:40:16 39 #EXHIBIT RC418A - (Confidential) Diary entries Sandy White  
14:40:18 40 dated 19/03/07.  
14:40:18 41  
14:40:19 42 #EXHIBIT RC418B - (Redacted version.)  
43  
14:40:31 44 On 2 April 2007, jumping forward, can I take you to firstly  
14:40:39 45 the source management log. Have you got that?---Yes.  
46  
14:41:02 47 Did you get a report from Mr Anderson, after having had

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:41:06 1 four phone calls with Ms Gobbo? I'm sorry, that's not you,  
14:41:13 2 is it? I take that back. You've gone on leave the day  
14:41:19 3 before - no, you go on leave on the 4th. So the 2nd you're  
14:41:23 4 still there; is that right?---Yes.  
5  
14:41:25 6 Mr Richards is going to take over on the 4th while you're  
14:41:30 7 away on leave, but as of 2 April that's still you I  
14:41:34 8 gather?---Yes.  
9  
14:41:35 10 Were you told that day by Mr Anderson that Karam is still  
14:41:40 11 trying to bring in a container utilising Ms Gobbo as the  
14:41:44 12 conduit; that on Tuesday evening Karam will text her to  
14:41:49 13 text Horthy Mokbel to set up a meeting, dinner. Is that the  
14:41:56 14 - - - ?---Yes. This information comes from a contact  
14:42:00 15 report who - Mr Richards has made this entry I think.  
16  
14:42:08 17 Okay. Even though you were the man who was there - he's  
14:42:16 18 made the entry for the second of the subsequent times, is  
14:42:21 19 that the way it works?---Yes.  
20  
14:42:22 21 I'll ask him about that, not you. Just to quickly note  
14:42:26 22 that, your diary confirms that you were on leave until 16  
14:42:30 23 April 07?---That's right.  
24  
14:42:38 25 On 27 April 07 - - - ?---Actually, sorry, just checking my  
14:42:47 26 diary here. I was on leave from 4 April through to 30  
14:42:58 27 April.  
28  
14:43:00 29 That answers the question then. If you look at the entry  
14:43:03 30 for 27 April 07 in the source management log, the first  
14:43:11 31 entry for that date. Different people refer to Ms Gobbo by  
14:43:18 32 different initials, don't they?---Yes.  
33  
14:43:23 34 And the use of the term RS is not one that you use, it's  
14:43:28 35 one that Mr Richards uses, isn't it?---That's right.  
36  
14:43:43 37 I'll skip that and go to 1 May. You're back by  
14:43:47 38 then?---Yes.  
39  
14:43:48 40 According to your diary you get an update in relation to  
14:43:53 41 Ms Gobbo on that day?---That's correct, from Mr Anderson.  
42  
14:44:00 43 What does your diary record?---"Meet with Mr Anderson re  
14:44:10 44 3838 strategy re ongoing deployment, wind down. Objectives  
14:44:16 45 achieved. Emotional stability. Thanks by Purana. Two  
14:44:24 46 meets. One thanks, two wind down. Deactivation not  
14:44:31 47 possible due to ongoing necessary contact. Psychologist

.02/09/19

5332

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:44:37 1 value opinion" and then a meeting approved for 2 May with  
14:44:42 2 Detective Inspector O'Brien.  
3  
14:44:44 4 Right. So I'll tender the diary entry for 1 May,  
14:44:47 5 Commissioner.  
14:44:48 6  
14:44:49 7 #EXHIBIT RC419A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:44:51 8 dated 01/05/19.  
14:44:51 9  
14:44:51 10 #EXHIBIT RC419B - (Redacted version.)  
11  
14:44:54 12 As that diary reports, was there in fact a dinner with Mr -  
14:44:59 13 the one we referred to before with Mr O'Brien where the pen  
14:45:02 14 was delivered?---Yes.  
15  
14:45:06 16 At that dinner was Ms Gobbo, Mr Anderson, Mr Green,  
14:45:11 17 yourself and Detective Inspector O'Brien; is that  
14:45:15 18 right?---Yes.  
19  
14:45:22 20 Was that meeting recorded to your knowledge?---I think it  
14:45:27 21 was, yes.  
22  
14:45:28 23 But was it such that you couldn't hear anything because of  
14:45:32 24 the quality of the tape?---I'm not sure, Mr Chettle. I  
14:45:36 25 haven't tried to listen to that one.  
26  
14:45:38 27 All right, okay. The following day did you have -  
14:45:43 28 according to your diary did you have yet another  
14:45:46 29 conversation with Superintendent Biggin?---Yes.  
30  
14:45:49 31 Would you read that diary entry, please?---"Meet with  
14:45:53 32 Superintendent. Ms Gobbo update. Agreed cannot yet  
14:46:00 33 deactivate but wind down. No tasking, no intel. Advised  
14:46:06 34 re Brouwer knowledge of human source identity by DC  
14:46:13 35 Overland. Monthly report", and then there's a reference to  
14:46:22 36 a working group that we were on.  
37  
14:46:24 38 Just go to the entry about Mr Brouwer. What does that  
14:46:31 39 mean, that Brouwer's been told something by Overland, is  
14:46:37 40 that the way that meeting reads?---I think this was  
14:46:40 41 Mr Biggin telling me that Deputy Commissioner Overland had  
14:46:48 42 told him that Brouwer knew who the source was.  
43  
14:46:53 44 I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
45  
14:46:56 46 COMMISSIONER: What date was that?  
14:46:59 47

.02/09/19

5333

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:46:59 1 MR CHETTLE: 3 May 07.  
14:47:00 2  
14:47:01 3 #EXHIBIT RC420A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:47:06 4 dated 03/05/07.  
14:47:06 5  
14:47:07 6 #EXHIBIT RC420B - (Redacted version.)  
7  
14:47:10 8 On 4 May, the following day, did you meet with the  
14:47:12 9 psychologist and make an entry in your diary there about  
14:47:17 10 that?---Yes.  
11  
14:47:23 12 What is that entry?---That was at 10 zero something in the  
14:47:32 13 morning. "Strategies re exit plan, strategies re psych.  
14:47:39 14 counselling. Human source to be told haven't followed  
14:47:44 15 instructions, i.e. visit psych. Relationship going into  
14:47:54 16 damage control mode. Less contact, no tasking, no intel.  
14:47:55 17 Probably not suicide/self-harm risk. If contact with SDU  
14:48:03 18 stops, big hole in life. Source personality problems have  
14:48:08 19 been long-standing, not created by role as human source.  
14:48:13 20 Counselling should continue if human source wants."  
21  
14:48:17 22 Right. On the same day after that conversation did you  
14:48:20 23 meet with Mr Biggin and give him a sit rep. and psych. - re  
14:48:32 24 sit rep. and psych. meeting?---Yes.  
25  
14:48:34 26 I'll tender the diary entries for 4 May, Commissioner.  
14:48:37 27  
14:48:38 28 #EXHIBIT RC421A - (Confidential) Diary entries Sandy White  
14:48:40 29 dated 04/05/07.  
14:48:40 30  
14:48:41 31 #EXHIBIT RC421B - (Redacted version.)  
32  
14:48:45 33 On 10 May did your diary record a meeting with Detective  
14:48:50 34 Inspector Gavan Ryan?---Yes, it does.  
35  
14:49:27 36 What's it say, Mr White?---"Meet with DDI Ryan Task Force  
14:49:34 37 St Kilda Road. Briefing by GR", which is Gavin Ryan, "re  
14:49:45 38 op. Update re human source status 3838. Outline of exit  
14:49:50 39 strategy. Advise GR objective is to terminate relationship  
14:49:56 40 without bitterness and recriminations. Advise GR re 3838  
14:50:02 41 statements to handler", a reference to Mr Anderson, "re  
14:50:08 42 Carl Williams' statement. Possibly excessive concern.  
14:50:12 43 Advise GR human source has been told of content of  
14:50:17 44 statement. GR confirms same is accurate and human source  
14:50:21 45 should not have that knowledge. GR prepared to tell human  
14:50:26 46 source content of statement and possibly show same. Needs  
14:50:30 47 DC Overland approval because of OPI involvement. Discuss

.02/09/19

5334

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:50:36 1 OPI knowledge of human source ID. Discuss possibility of  
14:50:40 2 human source being subpoenaed before compulsory hearings  
14:50:45 3 and impact on VicPol relationship. Would be terminal and  
14:50:51 4 no chance of getting info required. Advise best if human  
14:50:56 5 source could be asked re Dale and Hodsons, et cetera, by  
14:51:03 6 SDU. GR to seek approval from Deputy Commissioner."  
7  
14:51:08 8 Did you then update Mr Anderson after that meeting?---Yes.  
9  
14:51:11 10 What does your diary record you told him?---"Update re  
14:51:16 11 Gavan Ryan discussion. Agreed human source not to be told  
14:51:19 12 content of statement. Simply advise that I spoke to Gavan  
14:51:25 13 Ryan, statement no problem. Gavan Ryan prepared to meet  
14:51:29 14 with source any time or place for good faith. Need to  
14:51:34 15 delay exit strategy pending DC approval for confirmation  
14:51:39 16 source re Dale, et cetera."  
17  
14:51:41 18 I tender that diary entry for 10 May, Commissioner.  
14:51:46 19  
14:51:47 20 #EXHIBIT RC422A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:51:50 21 dated 10/05/07.  
14:51:50 22  
14:51:51 23 #EXHIBIT RC422B - (Redacted version.)  
24  
14:51:54 25 On 16 May did Mr Ryan get back to you about that very  
14:51:58 26 topic, according to your log? Do you see that  
14:52:14 27 entry?---Yes, I do. From Detective Inspector Ryan, Petra,  
14:52:17 28 "Assistant Commissioner Overland has approved the SDU  
14:52:20 29 speaking to human source re her knowledge of Hodson  
14:52:26 30 murders".  
31  
14:52:28 32 Subsequent to that did you, that's why you and other  
14:52:32 33 handlers met with her, to debrief her in relation to what  
14:52:35 34 she knew about Paul Dale on 21 May 07?---Yes.  
35  
14:52:40 36 Following that meeting, which is obviously recorded, on 22  
14:52:46 37 May did you contact Mr Ryan in relation to what had  
14:52:51 38 occurred the previous night?---Yes.  
39  
14:52:54 40 And what was the effect of that?---Ryan was to brief Deputy  
14:53:07 41 Commissioner Overland.  
42  
14:53:08 43 About what you'd told Ryan?---Yes. I've got a note in my  
14:53:13 44 diary that Mr Richards is to be available to brief same if  
14:53:19 45 required.  
46  
14:53:20 47 All right. So then on 25 May of 2007 did you meet with

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:53:30 1 Superintendent Biggin and DC, Deputy Commissioner  
14:53:36 2 Overland?---25 May?  
3  
14:53:56 4 25/5/07. Have a look at the log for that day. From what  
14:54:05 5 you said before - - - ?---I did.  
6  
14:54:07 7 Was it you or Mr Richards?---It was me at 14:00. I met  
14:54:12 8 with Deputy Commissioner Overland and Superintendent  
14:54:18 9 Biggin.  
10  
14:54:18 11 What's your diary entry read in relation to that  
14:54:20 12 meeting?---"Update re human source involved in IR44/Paul  
14:54:27 13 Dale. Outline exit strategy SDU. Outline human source  
14:54:32 14 viability re Adam Ahmed, Dave Waters, Paul Dale. SDU  
14:54:39 15 objective end relationship without bitter recriminations  
14:54:44 16 either way. Human source objectives, Mokbels out of life.  
14:54:50 17 Agreed human source viable, ongoing SDU management. Simon  
14:54:55 18 Overland to monitor OPI re request for source to be  
14:55:01 19 subpoenaed for compulsory hearing. Agreed not necessary as  
14:55:05 20 human source willing to assist."  
21  
14:55:24 22 On the same day as that did you receive information that a  
14:55:29 23 man called Mannella had employed a man called Morgan and a  
14:55:36 24 man called Johnson to attempt to kill a man called  
14:55:41 25 Clait?---Yes.  
26  
14:55:42 27 To put that in context, this is all happening at the same  
14:55:46 28 time. Were Morgan and Johnson arrested after an aggravated  
14:55:51 29 burglary in Craigieburn, they went to the wrong place  
14:55:55 30 looking for Anton Clait?---That's right.  
31  
14:55:57 32 This was all information Ms Gobbo was able to provide by  
14:56:02 33 sitting down and having dinner with these people who had  
14:56:06 34 organised for this to occur?---Yes.  
35  
14:56:08 36 Because of the attempt to kill Mr Clait, were steps taken  
14:56:16 37 to protect him as a result of that information being  
14:56:19 38 received?---Yes, I believe the AFP were informed and they  
14:56:27 39 went and spoke to Mr Clait.  
40  
14:56:29 41 Have a look at the your entry for the same date, 25 May,  
14:56:33 42 and the log - the last entry I think for the 25th on that  
14:56:38 43 day?---That's right. So Detective Sergeant Clanchy of  
14:56:47 44 Homicide was cleared by us and he was to inform the AFP re  
14:56:50 45 warning Clait.  
46  
14:56:52 47 To cut the story short, that's in fact what happened, he

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

14:56:56 1 was looked after to make sure he wasn't killed?---Yes, he  
14:56:59 2 was told.  
3  
14:57:00 4 Can I tender the diary entry for 25 May, Commissioner  
14:57:05 5  
14:57:05 6 #EXHIBIT RC423A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:57:08 7 dated 25/05/07.  
14:57:08 8  
14:57:08 9 #EXHIBIT RC423B - (Redacted version.)  
10  
14:57:11 11 If there wasn't enough happening at the one time, Mr White,  
14:57:14 12 can I take you to your diary for 28 May, please. Do you  
14:57:26 13 have a diary entry about John Higgs' involvement in  
14:57:29 14 anticipated criminal activity? 28/5/19. It's a typo,  
14:57:53 15 28/5/07?---Yes, there's an entry there.  
16  
14:58:14 17 What's it say?---It says, "Joe Mannella has told source  
14:58:25 18 that Higgs is to arrange the discharge of a jury. Will  
14:58:30 19 follow a jury member, threaten or pay. Even asked what  
14:58:35 20 door jury exits. Told source Higgs will follow jury member  
14:58:40 21 and shake them".  
22  
14:58:44 23 At that time Karam, Sergi and Mannella were standing trial  
14:58:50 24 for an importation in the Supreme Court, were  
14:58:53 25 they?---That's right.  
26  
14:58:55 27 Again, as a result of the information you received and the  
14:58:59 28 intelligence you received were steps taken to ensure that  
14:59:02 29 the jury was not interfered with by Mr Higgs?---Yes.  
30  
14:59:09 31 Without giving away any State secrets, did that involve  
14:59:14 32 other police becoming involved?---Yes.  
33  
14:59:19 34 I better tender that diary entry, Commissioner, for 28 May.  
14:59:25 35  
14:59:26 36 #EXHIBIT RC424A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
14:59:29 37 dated 28/05/07.  
14:59:29 38  
14:59:30 39 #EXHIBIT RC424B - (Redacted version.)  
40  
14:59:41 41 Officer Green was transferred out of the SDU for a period  
14:59:51 42 of three months on temporary promotion duties, was he  
14:59:55 43 not?---Yes.  
44  
14:59:56 45 And it's around about June of 07 that that occurred?---Yes.  
46  
15:00:01 47 Where did he go?---The Drug Task Force.

.02/09/19

5337

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
15:00:09 2 Is that, for a start off, a unit that members of, for  
15:00:13 3 example, covert members can safely get an upgrade in  
15:00:17 4 because of the nature of the work that they'll be engaged  
15:00:21 5 in will not expose their previous job as a source handler?  
15:00:30 6 Do you follow what I'm trying to say inelegantly?---I  
15:00:33 7 think. It can be. It's got a big - I think at that time  
15:00:39 8 it might have had the biggest number of staff out of any of  
15:00:42 9 the squads and Mr Anderson had been trying to get promoted,  
15:00:46 10 sorry, not Mr Anderson, Mr Green.  
11  
15:00:50 12 So on 5 June, this is the day - on 5 June 07 Ms Gobbo met  
15:00:57 13 Mr Anderson and Mr Fox and she provided what turned out to  
15:01:09 14 be the importation documents for tomatoes from Italy.  
15:01:18 15 Would you look at your diary for 5 June?---Yes, that's  
15:01:22 16 right.  
17  
15:01:26 18 It's the same day as Tony Mokbel got arrested with that  
15:01:30 19 wonderful hair piece in Greece?---That's right.  
20  
15:01:35 21 I suppose I shouldn't talk about hair pieces. If we go to  
15:01:43 22 your entry on 5 June, information was supplied - Ms Gobbo  
15:01:50 23 was given some documents in relation to the import from  
15:01:53 24 Mannella when he went to court. This is all tied up again  
15:01:58 25 with the Anton Clait issue, and she provided Mr Fox with  
15:02:05 26 copies of the documents she had got from Mannella, in  
15:02:09 27 summary form?---That's right.  
28  
15:02:12 29 Did you make a direction on 12 June that intelligence in  
15:02:17 30 relation to that - what would happen with intelligence in  
15:02:20 31 relation to that container?---Yes, I did. I directed that  
15:02:30 32 it go to Mr Green, who was then performing [REDACTED]  
15:02:34 33 [REDACTED] role at the Drug Task Force.  
34  
15:02:35 35 One of the effects - I mean I understand the sterile  
15:02:40 36 corridor principle, but one of the effects is that he  
15:02:44 37 already knew Ms Gobbo's identity as a source?---Yes.  
38  
15:02:57 39 On 18 June did you note in your diary information that  
15:03:01 40 Ms Gobbo had received while she was out in a restaurant  
15:03:05 41 with Karam, Higgs, a man with the unfortunate name of Baldy  
15:03:11 42 Bob, Sergi and a solicitor?---Yes.  
43  
15:03:15 44 What's your entry read in relation to that in your  
15:03:19 45 diary?---"Human source went to Waterfront", which is the  
15:03:29 46 restaurant. "Invite RK", which is Rob Karam. "Present  
15:03:38 47 Sergi, Baldy Bob, Rob Karam, Higgs, a solicitor" - I'm not

.02/09/19

5338

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:03:42 1 sure if I can say that name.  
2  
15:03:45 3 I don't think she has a pseudonym but her first name  
15:03:49 4 Amy?---Yes. Amy arrived and left with Higgs at 10 pm.  
15:03:55 5 Higgs and Karam were going to meet at Grove Café at  
15:03:58 6 midnight. Karam contacted at docks. Call at 11.45 pm.  
15:04:08 7 Meeting between Karam to contact 7 pm at docks. Container  
15:04:13 8 docking in Sydney, then Melbourne. Karam has to find out  
15:04:17 9 where container is so it can be stolen. Container has  
15:04:21 10 possibly changed ships. Same people involved in current  
15:04:25 11 trial, same as import. Karam and source to meet tonight.  
15:04:32 12 Karam worried container is off because Mannella is a F-wit.  
15:04:41 13 "Freight forward", and then I don't know what that means,  
15:04:54 14 "Rang consignee out of phone book. Karam worried consignee  
15:05:03 15 may alert Customs. Thinking about offering consignee \$1  
15:05:07 16 million. Tomatoes, not paste. Rob Karam contact in  
15:05:09 17 Melbourne 99.9 per cent sure can get off docks. If it  
15:05:14 18 moves they'll dump it. It may be stolen in Sydney".  
19  
15:05:18 20 All right. That's the extent of your entry for that  
15:05:25 21 day?---Yes.  
22  
15:05:26 23 I'll tender that diary entry for 20 June, Commissioner.  
15:05:31 24  
15:05:31 25 #EXHIBIT RC425A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
15:05:34 26 dated 20/06/07.  
15:05:34 27  
15:05:34 28 #EXHIBIT RC425B - (Redacted version.)  
29  
15:05:37 30 Does your diary record a number of meetings thereafter with  
15:05:40 31 yourself and some people from Customs?---Yes.  
32  
15:05:45 33 Can I take you to the first of those, please, for 19  
15:05:48 34 June?---Yes.  
35  
15:05:59 36 Did you have a meeting with a man called Tony  
15:06:02 37 Stevens?---Yes.  
38  
15:06:02 39 Who was he?---He was the head of Customs in Melbourne.  
40  
15:06:11 41 What's your diary entry say?---"Meet with Tony Stevens at  
15:06:18 42 Customs re Agamas", which was the name of the Drug Task  
15:06:22 43 Force operation into I think, into John Higgs I think.  
44  
15:06:25 45 Just pausing you there. When Mr Green went to the Task  
15:06:31 46 Force Agamas was already on foot in relation to targeting  
15:06:34 47 Higgs as a drug importer?---Yes, that's right.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

1  
15:06:37 2 All right. So you go and see Mr Stevens who's the Customs  
15:06:41 3 man in charge of that operation?---Yes.  
4  
15:06:43 5 And what happened - go back to your diary entry,  
15:06:46 6 please?---The next entry is, "Containers, whipped tomatoes,  
15:06:51 7 tiles on MSC ships equals suspicion. Found dumped  
15:06:56 8 container Donnybrook from Italy. Had tomatoes. Discussed  
15:07:02 9 import paperwork, bill of lading, manifest. Consignee  
15:07:11 10 would get arrival notice if not expecting container. Could  
15:07:16 11 notify Customs, freight forwarder or Customs broker. Have  
15:07:20 12 had innocent agent consignees. Tony Stevens advised VicPol  
15:07:29 13 has source. May be able to get container number but not  
15:07:32 14 sure if worth risk to source".  
15  
15:07:35 16 Is that it?---Yes.  
17  
15:07:37 18 Just pausing there and deciphering it. The effect of what  
15:07:40 19 you were told in part was there'd been a previous import  
15:07:44 20 involving tomato cans where drugs had been smuggled?---Yes.  
21  
15:07:54 22 And that a container had been dumped somewhere in an outer  
15:07:58 23 Melbourne area?---That's right, that had come Italy that  
15:08:01 24 had tomatoes.  
25  
15:08:03 26 Even though you at that stage had from Ms Gobbo the  
15:08:07 27 consignment number, the ship name and things of that sort,  
15:08:11 28 you don't pass those details on to Customs?---No, this was  
15:08:14 29 more Customs telling us about how some of the systems  
15:08:18 30 worked with consignees and arrival notices and what they've  
15:08:22 31 got flagged as suspicious containers.  
32  
15:08:25 33 They already had some interest in the tomato cans is what  
15:08:34 34 you're saying?---Yes.  
35  
15:08:36 36 Following that meeting with Tony Stevens did you go and  
15:08:39 37 meet Superintendent Biggin?---Yes.  
38  
15:08:43 39 What does your diary record as far as dealing with him is  
15:08:46 40 concerned?---I updated Mr Biggin in relation to Operation  
15:08:50 41 Agamas.  
42  
15:08:50 43 Which I presume means telling him what Tony Stevens had  
15:08:55 44 told you?---Yes.  
45  
15:08:56 46 I'll tender the 19 June diary note, Commissioner.  
15:09:01 47

.02/09/19

5340

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:09:02 1 #EXHIBIT RC426A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
 15:09:04 2 dated 19/06/07.  
 15:09:04 3  
 15:09:05 4 #EXHIBIT RC426B - (Redacted version.)  
 15:09:10 5  
 15:09:14 6 On the following day does your diary record Mr Green having  
 15:09:14 7 a meeting, to have a meeting with the AFP?---Yes.  
 15:09:17 8  
 15:09:21 9 What does your diary entry say in relation to that?---I  
 15:09:21 10 received a call from Mr Green, "Meeting with ACC and AFP  
 15:09:29 11 this afternoon. Have met with ACC already. Interested".  
 15:09:35 12  
 15:09:35 13 Stopping there?---"Question whether details re" - - -  
 15:09:40 14  
 15:09:40 15 Sorry, Mr White, just stopping there. ACC is the Crime  
 15:09:45 16 Commission?---Yes.  
 15:09:46 17  
 15:09:48 18 Does that meeting indicate that Mr Green has discussed with  
 15:09:48 19 them the tomatoes and their interest in them?---Yes.  
 15:09:52 20  
 15:09:52 21 Okay, keep going?---"Question re whether details re past  
 15:09:59 22 container can be passed on." This is Mr Green asking me.  
 15:10:04 23  
 15:10:04 24 That is - - - ?---"Refused. Nil value and could only  
 15:10:09 25 compromise source."  
 15:10:11 26  
 15:10:11 27 Stopping there. You saw this morning a couple of entries  
 15:10:14 28 that related to containers of cigarettes, \$2.5 million,  
 15:10:19 29 those things. Are they the past containers that he's  
 15:10:22 30 asking about?---Yes, and there was quite a few.  
 15:10:26 31  
 15:10:26 32 Keep going, thank you. So you said no?---No. And that's  
 15:10:37 33 it for that entry.  
 15:10:38 34  
 15:10:38 35 Is there a reference about [REDACTED] and his interest or  
 15:10:43 36 lack of interest in VicPol?---There's another update I  
 15:10:46 37 received from Mr Green.  
 15:10:47 38  
 15:10:47 39 Yes?---Later in the evening, "Update re AFP/ACC meeting.  
 15:10:56 40 Poor treatment of VicPol by [REDACTED]. Not interested  
 15:11:00 41 in partnership. Only interested in bagging VicPol. Highly  
 15:11:05 42 unprofessional".  
 15:11:08 43  
 15:11:08 44 That's the extent of the entry?---Yes.  
 15:11:11 45  
 15:11:11 46 I tender the diary entry for 20 June, Commissioner.  
 15:11:17 47

.02/09/19

5341

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:11:18 1 #EXHIBIT RC427A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
15:11:21 2 dated 20/06/07.  
15:11:21 3  
15:11:21 4 #EXHIBIT RC427B - (Redacted version.)  
5  
15:11:25 6 On the next day, 21 June, do you have another meeting, this  
15:11:28 7 time with Mr Green and with Mr Stevens?---Yes.  
8  
15:11:39 9 What's your diary entry say in relation to that  
15:11:44 10 meeting?---"Discuss strategy re hide human source  
15:11:46 11 involvement in container discovery. Agreed. AFP not to be  
15:11:52 12 told human source involved (possible leak in Melbourne  
15:11:57 13 office). Prefer that AFP believe Customs identify  
15:12:03 14 container existence via good work. Customs have numerous  
15:12:11 15 alert plans which ID container. Provide a container  
15:12:12 16 number, freight forward and consignee details. Will not be  
15:12:18 17 passed on. Evidentiary issues. Likelihood targets will  
15:12:24 18 dump container high." That's it.  
19  
15:12:31 20 Just so I understand that. Were the details of the  
15:12:38 21 consignment note passed on according to that diary  
15:12:42 22 entry?---Yes.  
23  
15:12:43 24 To who?---Tony Stevens.  
25  
15:12:50 26 To Customs but not AFP?---No.  
27  
15:12:52 28 The idea was, in summary form, that AFP would be told when  
15:12:55 29 the importation was caught but they'd think that Customs  
15:12:58 30 had found it all by themselves?---Yes.  
31  
15:13:01 32 And that there were plenty of red flags to indicate they  
15:13:05 33 probably could have found it anyway?---Yes, that's right.  
34  
15:13:09 35 Okay. Again, do you have more on that entry for 21 June?  
15:13:16 36 Do you have subsequent advice of a meeting between Mr Fox  
15:13:19 37 and Customs in that same diary entry?---Yes.  
38  
15:13:38 39 What's that?---So 18:15, "I received an update by" - I  
15:13:52 40 think this is Fox but I think it should be Green.  
41  
15:13:59 42 It says Fox but you don't think it was him, is that what  
15:14:02 43 you mean?---No.  
44  
15:14:03 45 All right?---It says, "Meeting with Customs" and Mr Fox  
15:14:07 46 would not have been meeting with Customs, but Mr Green was.  
47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:14:12 1 Right?---"Update re AFP position. Customs have identified  
15:14:17 2 container by own means. Container on ship monicker. AFP  
15:14:22 3 working on Amatruda and D'Amico. Strategy re protection of  
15:14:37 4 source when container found. Customs interviewed freight  
15:14:40 5 forwarder, human source work it out with Karam. Call from  
15:14:48 6 consignee to freight forwarder. Karam has organised  
15:14:51 7 another container. Met with Higgs and Italians from  
15:14:55 8 Griffith."  
9  
15:14:59 10 There's a bit in that. Customs have been able to identify  
15:15:06 11 the container by their own flags is what you're  
15:15:09 12 told?---Yes.  
13  
15:15:10 14 And at the same time you're told that Mr Karam and Mr Higgs  
15:15:14 15 are doing another import with some people from  
15:15:17 16 Griffith?---Yes.  
17  
15:15:20 18 I'll tender that diary entry of 21 June 07, Commissioner.  
15:15:25 19  
15:15:29 20 #EXHIBIT RC428A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
15:15:33 21 dated 20/06/07.  
15:15:33 22  
15:15:33 23 #EXHIBIT RC428B - (Redacted version.)  
24  
15:15:38 25 As an aside, on 22 June did you receive information, from  
15:15:43 26 Mr Fox this time, that Mr Higgs had a computer hacker who  
15:15:47 27 could access any computer server, including police  
15:15:52 28 servers?---Yes, that's right.  
29  
15:15:53 30 And did that cause you to take some steps in relation to  
15:15:57 31 the security of the DSU documents?---Yes, we did.  
32  
15:16:04 33 Do you recall what that was? I don't know if your diary  
15:16:11 34 helps you or not in that respect?---I can't recall what  
15:16:16 35 that was.  
36  
15:16:18 37 But there was concern about the integrity of the computer  
15:16:22 38 records, and can I put it in this form: as a result it was  
15:16:27 39 clear that the DSU records were [REDACTED] and  
15:16:32 40 people couldn't get into them?---Yes. Actually I can see,  
15:16:35 41 looking at the log, what we did.  
42  
15:16:37 43 What was that?---All reference to Ms Gobbo was removed from  
15:16:46 44 one of the [REDACTED] that was [REDACTED] the Victoria  
15:16:49 45 Police system.  
46  
15:16:50 47 Right. So there was the [REDACTED] computer that was part

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:16:55 1 of the computers that could be accessed through the  
15:16:58 2 servers, the police server?---Yes, that's right.  
3  
15:17:16 4 On that same date, having got that information did you have  
15:17:16 5 a meeting with Mr Green and again with Mr Stevens from  
15:17:16 6 Customs about the strategy for finding the container? Can  
15:17:32 7 I take you to the source management log, the last entry for  
15:17:36 8 22 June?---I've got a reference here, "Meeting with  
15:17:47 9 Mr Green of Task Force and Tony Stevens Customs re strategy  
15:17:53 10 for finding container which will not compromise human  
15:17:57 11 source".  
15:17:57 12  
15:17:58 13 Is that in your diary or the log?---That's in the log.  
15:18:00 14  
15:18:01 15 Do you recall what the strategy was that would not  
15:18:04 16 compromise the source?---No, no. I just recall that there  
15:18:09 17 was discussions about the flags that Customs run on their  
15:18:17 18 systems to identify suspicious containers.  
15:18:21 19  
15:18:21 20 On 25 June of that year does the log record that there was  
15:18:29 21 an audit by Mr Biggin as the Local Source Registrar?---Yes.  
15:18:34 22  
15:18:34 23 What's that involve?---That may have been the monthly audit  
15:18:40 24 by the Local Source Registrar which would have been  
15:18:45 25 Mr Biggin checking all the source files held by the Source  
15:18:49 26 Unit.  
15:18:49 27  
15:18:49 28 Did that occur on a monthly basis?---Yes, it did.  
15:18:54 29  
15:18:57 30 COMMISSIONER: Did you want to tender any of these?  
15:18:59 31  
15:19:00 32 MR CHETTLE: I thought - - -  
15:19:02 33  
15:19:02 34 COMMISSIONER: The last one we've got is 21 June 07.  
15:19:06 35  
15:19:06 36 MR CHETTLE: I'll tender 22 June as well, Commissioner,  
15:19:09 37 that's a separate one.  
15:19:11 38  
15:19:11 39 #EXHIBIT RC429A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
40 22/06/07.  
15:19:14 41  
15:19:14 42 #EXHIBIT RC429B - (Redacted version.)  
15:19:18 43  
15:19:18 44 COMMISSIONER: We might just take a break now. I'm sure  
15:19:40 45 the witness will be pleased to have that.  
15:19:45 46  
15:19:45 47 (Short adjournment.)

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:36:39 1  
15:36:39 2 COMMISSIONER: Yes Mr Chettle. In the home run for the  
15:36:42 3 day.  
15:36:42 4  
15:36:43 5 MR CHETTLE: Yes. Mr White, still sticking with this  
15:36:46 6 tomato cans. On 28 June did you have a discussion with  
15:36:54 7 Mr Stevens or meet Mr Stevens about how the container was  
15:36:58 8 going to be inspected? Are you there?  
15:37:06 9  
15:37:06 10 COMMISSIONER: Mr White? I think he's muted?---Yes. Yes,  
15:37:09 11 sorry.  
15:37:10 12  
15:37:11 13 MR CHETTLE: Okay, did you hear the question?---Yes, I did,  
15:37:13 14 Mr Chettle.  
15:37:14 15  
15:37:14 16 Have you got your diary?---Yes.  
15:37:15 17  
15:37:16 18 28th was the day the thing was searched and they found four  
15:37:20 19 and a half tonnes of ecstasy that were imported,  
15:37:26 20 right?---Yes.  
15:37:26 21  
15:37:26 22 Prior to that being located you had a meeting with  
15:37:30 23 Mr Stevens?---Yes.  
15:37:32 24  
15:37:34 25 Again, do you recall what that was about, or does your  
15:37:37 26 diary help you with what that was about?---My diary does  
15:37:50 27 assist, yes.  
15:37:51 28  
15:37:51 29 What's the diary entry say, you would be so  
15:37:55 30 kind?---"Container will be pulled off ship and taken  
15:37:59 31 straight to x-ray. Computer records will not show same."  
15:38:07 32  
15:38:09 33 That relates - yes, go on, I interrupted you?---There is a  
15:38:13 34 bit more but did you want me to explain that?  
15:38:15 35  
15:38:16 36 I was going to put the following proposition: Ms Gobbo had  
15:38:19 37 told you that Karam had boasted that he had the ability to  
15:38:22 38 track the movement of containers through computer  
15:38:25 39 records?---That's right.  
15:38:26 40  
15:38:26 41 And if the thing moved, he'd dump it because he didn't want  
15:38:31 42 to get caught?---That's right.  
15:38:33 43  
15:38:33 44 This was to avoid putting it through the, using the  
15:38:37 45 computer records to show the movement of the container to  
15:38:39 46 x-ray so that Karam wouldn't dump it?---Yes.  
15:38:44 47

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:38:45 1 Have I got that right?---Yes, you have.  
15:38:48 2  
15:38:48 3 What's the rest of the diary entry?---"Balance risk of  
15:38:54 4 person monitoring computer compared to usual inspection."  
15:38:59 5  
15:38:59 6 That's on the same topic?---Yes. "Discuss scenarios re  
15:39:05 7 post container being discovered, dumped, for arrests.  
15:39:10 8 Customs can assist re [REDACTED]"  
15:39:13 9  
15:39:13 10 The idea is not let on it has been sprung and hope that you  
15:39:18 11 can arrest the people when they come to pick it up?---Yes.  
15:39:20 12  
15:39:21 13 Or steal it as the case may be. Now did you on the next  
15:39:26 14 day - sorry, I'll tender the diary entry for the 28th,  
15:39:29 15 Commissioner.  
15:39:29 16  
15:39:30 17 #EXHIBIT RC430A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
18 28/9/07.  
15:39:34 19  
15:39:35 20 #EXHIBIT RC430B - (Redacted version.)  
15:39:37 21  
15:39:38 22 Then on the next day did you report to Mr Biggin about what  
15:39:41 23 had been going on?---So later that day I updated the  
15:39:50 24 Inspector who said he would speak to Superintendent Biggin  
15:39:54 25 re same.  
15:39:54 26  
15:39:55 27 Which Inspector did you speak to?---I've just got "called  
15:40:00 28 to DDI to update". I think that was probably Rob Hardy.  
15:40:06 29  
15:40:06 30 The next day did you go straight to the horse's mouth as it  
15:40:11 31 were?---Yes, at 9.05 I updated Superintendent Biggin.  
15:40:16 32  
15:40:17 33 What was he to do, if anything?---"Superintendent is going  
15:40:22 34 to meeting with AFP and ACC. Aware of issues re time and  
15:40:32 35 protecting human source. Agreed AFP will not be told  
15:40:36 36 existence of human source. Tony Biggin to speak to  
15:40:42 37 Superintendent JB", which would be Jack Blayney, "Re same".  
15:40:52 38  
15:40:52 39 On that topic of Mr Blayney, you know Mr Blayney?---Yes.  
15:40:57 40  
15:40:57 41 You met with him on occasions?---Yes.  
15:40:59 42  
15:41:00 43 At any time during your dealings with Mr Blayney did he let  
15:41:06 44 you know that he had an involvement with her, with Ms Gobbo  
15:41:08 45 in the past when she was a human source?---No, not that I  
15:41:11 46 recall.  
15:41:11 47

.02/09/19

5346

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:41:12 1 Or that he described her as a loose cannon, words to that  
15:41:15 2 effect?---No.  
15:41:16 3  
15:41:16 4 I go back to this tomato tins. Did I tender 29th June,  
15:41:22 5 Commissioner?  
15:41:22 6  
15:41:22 7 COMMISSIONER: No, you haven't yet.  
15:41:24 8  
15:41:24 9 MR CHETTLE: I will now.  
15:41:26 10  
15:41:26 11 #EXHIBIT RC431A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
12 29/06/07.  
15:41:30 13  
15:41:30 14 #EXHIBIT RC431B - (Redacted version.)  
15:41:33 15  
15:41:33 16 In fact on that same day you have another meeting with him  
15:41:36 17 later in the day about the meeting that he had with the AFP  
15:41:39 18 in the same - - - ?---Yes.  
15:41:41 19  
15:41:41 20 What does that diary note read?---"AFP not interested in  
15:41:47 21 joint agreement. 4.5 tonne pills biggest seizure ever in  
15:41:54 22 world and then discussed holiday for source."  
15:41:58 23  
15:41:59 24 That can be part of Exhibit 431, it's the same date or  
15:42:06 25 diary entry, Commissioner. Again, perhaps in the same  
15:42:14 26 entry, was there a message from Mr Green in his role at  
15:42:19 27 Drug Task Force about the problems getting the container  
15:42:22 28 ready for collection?---Yes.  
15:42:23 29  
15:42:23 30 That's in the same diary note I take it?---Yes.  
15:42:26 31  
15:42:28 32 That's there. And it turned out the reason there was a  
15:42:33 33 delay in getting the container ready for collection is they  
15:42:37 34 were busy taking publicity shots?---Yes, that's correct.  
15:42:43 35  
15:42:43 36 On your entry for 31 June 07, that's an entry about  
15:42:52 37 dissemination from Mr Green? Have you got that?---Yes, I  
15:43:03 38 have.  
15:43:03 39  
15:43:03 40 What does it say?---Page 153.  
15:43:06 41  
15:43:06 42 What does it say?---"AFP taken publicity shots!! Maybe  
15:43:15 43 that's why it took 30 hours to get container back."  
15:43:19 44  
15:43:19 45 I don't think I need to tender that, it's on the  
15:43:23 46 transcript. Can I then take you to 1 July in your diary.  
15:43:36 47 Did Ms Gobbo go out again to the Waterfront restaurant with

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:43:40 1 Mr Karam, Mr Sergi and Mr Higgs, according to your  
15:43:47 2 diary?---"Going to dinner with Karam and Higgs at the  
15:44:15 3 Waterfront", yes.  
15:44:16 4  
15:44:17 5 What's the rest of the entry read?---So there's a meet,  
15:44:27 6 there's an entry at 18:00 which is before the meeting, it's  
15:44:31 7 an update from Detective Fox.  
15:44:35 8  
15:44:35 9 Yes?---"Karam met Manella at gaol today. Going to dinner  
15:44:39 10 with Karam and Higgs at Waterfront. Has told Karam she's  
15:44:47 11 finished closing address for trial." There's a reference  
15:44:51 12 there talking about the Milad committal and "AFP working on  
15:45:00 13 Italians, Barbaro", et cetera, and then the next entry is  
15:45:04 14 20:45. It's another update from Officer Fox, "Significant  
15:45:11 15 meeting tonight", from Officer Green. This is Green to Fox  
15:45:17 16 and then Fox to me. "Higgs, Karam, Baldy Rob and a fellow  
15:45:27 17 called Bayani at the meeting. Karam's phone off by ACC.  
15:45:34 18 Will be at Lygon Street now. Pat Barbaro and Sam Zirilli  
15:45:41 19 from Griffith" and then there's a reference to the  
15:45:45 20 publicity shots.  
15:45:46 21  
15:45:47 22 Was there an entry about Mr Karam talking about his contact  
15:45:51 23 on the docks?---Yes, there's another update from Officer  
15:45:58 24 Fox at 23:35.  
15:46:00 25  
15:46:01 26 What's that say?---"Karam", this is what the source has  
15:46:07 27 told Officer Fox, "From Karam I've been told everything is  
15:46:11 28 officially okay. He referred to his contact on the docks.  
15:46:15 29 Baldy Rob, Sergi, Higgs. Karam left to see someone in  
15:46:21 30 Brunswick. Karam spoke about surveillance. Doesn't think  
15:46:25 31 anything on him. Being real careful and the source paid  
15:46:31 32 for dinner".  
15:46:31 33  
15:46:31 34 Ms Gobbo paid for the dinner?---Yes.  
15:46:34 35  
15:46:34 36 I'll tender the diary entries for 1 July, Commissioner.  
15:46:38 37  
15:46:39 38 #EXHIBIT RC432A - (Confidential) Dairy entry Sandy White.  
39 01/07/07.  
15:46:43 40  
15:46:44 41 #EXHIBIT RC432B - (Redacted version.)  
15:46:47 42  
15:46:47 43 Then for the record briefly, according to your diary did  
15:46:52 44 you go on leave between 4 July and 15 July that  
15:47:01 45 year?---Yes, I did.  
15:47:02 46  
15:47:03 47 Whilst you were away Mr Richards took over again in the

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:47:08 1 role of controller?---Yes.  
15:47:11 2  
15:47:22 3 Just for clarity, you'll see that there's an entry on 12  
15:47:26 4 July in the source management log about Mr Overland?---Yes.  
15:47:38 5  
15:47:38 6 "Inquiries being made by DC Overland re prohibiting certain  
15:47:44 7 questions of source at OPI that would reveal her role as a  
15:47:48 8 source." That's an entry not made by you but by  
15:47:53 9 Mr Richards?---Yes.  
15:47:54 10  
15:47:55 11 You come back on the 17th as controller?---That's right.  
15:48:02 12  
15:48:22 13 On 18 July do you have a meeting with Mr O'Brien?---Yes.  
15:48:29 14  
15:48:34 15 Go back to the 17th if you would. Do you have a note in  
15:48:41 16 your diary for 17 July about DC Overland having discussions  
15:48:47 17 with Mr Fitzgerald or people at OPI?---I do.  
15:48:58 18  
15:48:58 19 What's that say on 17 July?---It's 17 July at 12:45.  
15:49:06 20  
15:49:06 21 What does it say, Mr White?---"Meet with Richards, Smith  
15:49:13 22 and Fox re 3838. To meet tonight. Issues re OPI subpoena.  
15:49:24 23 Human source concerned she will ask questions that could  
15:49:27 24 compromise her. Have spoken to Gavan Ryan who spoke to  
15:49:32 25 Simon Overland. Agreed that source would not be asked  
15:49:35 26 questions about what policemen she has spoken to.  
15:49:39 27 Fitzgerald has been told human source is a human source."  
15:49:43 28  
15:49:43 29 What did that information come from?---I'm not sure if this  
15:49:49 30 is something that Mr Richards has advised me or something  
15:49:53 31 that I was aware of before I went on leave.  
15:49:57 32  
15:49:59 33 Then the following day did you meet - I'll tender the diary  
15:50:02 34 entry for 17 July, Commissioner.  
15:50:04 35  
15:50:04 36 #EXHIBIT RC433A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
15:50:09 37 17/07/07.  
15:50:09 38  
15:50:09 39 #EXHIBIT RC433B - (Redacted version.)  
15:50:12 40  
15:50:12 41 The next day do you have a meeting with Detective Inspector  
15:50:16 42 O'Brien?---Yes.  
15:50:26 43  
15:50:27 44 What's the entry read?---It's p.168, I can't read the time  
15:50:40 45 because of the hole in the page. It says, "Meet with JOB  
15:50:44 46 re 3838 issues. Discussed possibility of being witness.  
15:50:50 47 Advised against same. JOB suggested if inevitable that

.02/09/19

5349

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:50:56 1 human source will be compromised, then should utilise as a  
15:51:00 2 witness while we can. Advised I don't believe source will  
15:51:05 3 necessarily be compromised and value as a witness needs to  
15:51:08 4 be weighed against political fire/legal fraternity, i.e.  
15:51:16 5 will it impact on [REDACTED] conviction and others. Agreed  
15:51:31 6 need legal advice re fall out. Value as witness limited to  
15:51:37 7 Rob Karam. Tony Mokbel material limited and will make  
15:51:42 8 little difference".

15:51:45 9  
15:51:46 10 So that's Mr O'Brien telling you that you should use her as  
15:51:50 11 a witness if she's going to be compromised?---Yes.

15:51:53 12  
15:51:53 13 And you saying that you didn't think that was a good  
15:51:56 14 idea?---No.

15:51:57 15  
15:51:58 16 Who raised the issue of getting legal advice, was it you or  
15:52:02 17 Mr O'Brien, about using her?---I can't recall.

15:52:07 18  
15:52:09 19 All right. Does the entry finish there or does it keep  
15:52:13 20 going?---Yes, yes.

15:52:14 21  
15:52:19 22 Was there any reference to Mr Ryan speaking about her  
15:52:22 23 appearance at the OPI?---Yes, that's the next entry, which  
15:52:28 24 was a separate meeting.

15:52:29 25  
15:52:29 26 Is that a meeting you had with Mr Ryan, the next  
15:52:32 27 one?---Yes.

15:52:33 28  
15:52:33 29 So after Mr O'Brien you spoke to Mr Ryan and what's your  
15:52:38 30 diary entry say for that?---This was a, "Meet with Gavan  
15:52:44 31 Ryan re 3838 OPI examination. Dot points. Will be present  
15:52:50 32 in court", that's a reference to Gavan Ryan being present  
15:52:54 33 in court. "Will contact SDU if source compromised or at  
15:52:59 34 serious risk. Okay to tell human source Chairman and  
15:53:04 35 examiner's names. Advise okay with Chair name last night  
15:53:11 36 prior to meet." That's the extent of that.

15:53:15 37  
15:53:15 38 That's the 18 July diary entries plural, I'll tender those,  
15:53:19 39 Commissioner.

15:53:19 40  
15:53:21 41 #EXHIBIT RC434A - (Confidential) Diary entries Sandy White  
15:53:30 42 18/07/07.

15:53:30 43  
15:53:30 44 #EXHIBIT RC434B - (Redacted version.)  
15:53:36 45

15:53:36 46 On the issue of Mr Blayney who you mentioned before, on 24  
15:53:40 47 July did you have a meeting at the Crime Department?---I

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:53:54 1 just need to get to another folder for my diary?---Yes, I  
15:54:28 2 did.  
15:54:28 3  
15:54:28 4 What's your diary entry say for that meeting, 24  
15:54:33 5 July?---16:25, "Crime Department meet with Superintendent  
15:54:36 6 Tony Biggin. Detective Inspectors Jim O'Brien and Gavan  
15:54:42 7 Ryan. Senior Sergeant O'Connell. Superintendents Jack  
15:54:47 8 Blayney and Graham Brown. Update re 3838. Agreed value of  
15:54:54 9 source, as source is outweighed by repercussions and risks  
15:54:58 10 to same. Agreed to continue deployment with no taskings.  
15:55:03 11 Intelligence received to be assessed on individual basis  
15:55:07 12 and risk determination prior to any dissemination. Agreed  
15:55:12 13 Tony Biggin, myself and JB" - - -  
15:55:19 14  
15:55:19 15 Mr Wolf?---Which I think is Jim O'Brien.  
16  
15:55:22 17 Jim O'Brien, not - - - ?---No, sorry, that would be Jack  
15:55:25 18 Blayney.  
19  
15:55:25 20 Jack Blayney?---"To brief Deputy Commissioner Overland re  
15:55:29 21 issues." That's it.  
15:55:36 22  
15:55:37 23 I'll tender that as the next exhibit, Commissioner.  
15:55:40 24  
15:55:41 25 #EXHIBIT RC435A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White.  
26 24/07/07.  
15:55:44 27  
15:55:44 28 #EXHIBIT RC435B - (Redacted version.)  
15:55:46 29  
15:55:47 30 On 6 August does your diary record that you had a meeting  
15:55:51 31 with, among others, Mr Blayney and Overland?---Yes.  
15:55:58 32  
15:55:58 33 Again, what's your diary say in relation to that  
15:56:02 34 meeting?---It's an entry at 11.10, "Meet with DC Overland,  
15:56:09 35 Superintendent Biggin, Blayney and DDI Ryan re 3838  
15:56:13 36 management update. Three options: Deactivate, ongoing  
15:56:18 37 management with no tasking or witness. Agreed witness not  
15:56:22 38 an option as source will be compromised. Deactivation not  
15:56:26 39 an option by virtue of fact that ongoing communication  
15:56:30 40 would be required re court issues re Mokbel trials. Agreed  
15:56:34 41 human source to be managed with no tasking and any intel to  
15:56:38 42 be risk assessed with Superintendent Tony Biggin prior to  
15:56:42 43 dissemination or actioning. Discussed utilising human  
15:56:47 44 source to speak to targets re Op Petra and Op Briars.  
15:56:53 45 [REDACTED] Agreed  
15:56:57 46 any strategy to be risk assessed prior to implementation.  
15:57:02 47 Updated with threat to human source and Jason Kelly.

.02/09/19

5351

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:57:07 1 Operation Purana to initiate proactive investigation into  
15:57:11 2 Tony Bayeh".  
15:57:12 3  
15:57:12 4 That was in relation to the threats?---Yes.  
15:57:15 5  
15:57:17 6 That meeting where you have Overland and Blayney - when you  
15:57:23 7 say agreed, does that mean it was the agreement of  
15:57:28 8 everybody or how does the direction flow as to what's going  
15:57:32 9 to happen occur?---So that last sentence, "Agree source be  
15:57:38 10 managed with no tasking", that would have been the general  
15:57:41 11 agreement of the group. I was the least senior there so  
15:57:45 12 they would have been directing me as to what the ongoing  
15:57:48 13 management of her would be.  
15:57:49 14  
15:57:50 15 Right, okay. I'll tender that diary entry, Commissioner.  
15:57:54 16  
15:57:55 17 #EXHIBIT RC436A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
18 06/08/07.  
15:57:58 19  
15:57:59 20 #EXHIBIT RC436B - (Redacted version.)  
15:58:01 21  
15:58:05 22 On 23 August of that year, 07, other than to - is there an  
15:58:16 23 entry in the source management log, a Detective Sergeant  
15:58:20 24 Fisher, picking up what's already in an email that's been  
15:58:26 25 tendered to the Commission about Nicola Gobbo catching up  
15:58:31 26 with Karam a lot, do you remember that?---I do vaguely  
15:58:35 27 remember that. There's an entry in the diary.  
15:58:39 28  
15:58:39 29 I don't need to go through what you were told by Detective  
15:58:43 30 Sergeant Fisher, it's already in evidence. But what flows  
15:58:46 31 after that, what was going to happen and who did you report  
15:58:52 32 to? Do you see there's an update from Fisher which  
15:58:59 33 reproduces his letter or his email and then there's a  
15:59:03 34 discussion about TIs, intercepts?---Are you talking about  
15:59:15 35 22 August?  
15:59:17 36  
15:59:17 37 23rd, Mr White. Perhaps if you go to the source management  
15:59:22 38 log first, that might make it quicker?---I only have one  
15:59:28 39 entry in the source management log, 23 July.  
15:59:31 40  
15:59:32 41 No, 23 August?---Sorry.  
15:59:35 42  
15:59:36 43 It's probably my fault?---Okay, I see that.  
15:59:40 44  
15:59:41 45 See the update from Fisher about Gobbo catching with up  
15:59:45 46 Karam and then there was - you were advised by Purana that  
15:59:50 47 the ACC wished to put her phones off, do you see

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

15:59:54 1 that?---Yes, that's right.  
15:59:55 2  
15:59:55 3 Is this your note?---Yes, it would have been.  
16:00:01 4  
16:00:02 5 Having been advised that, what does your diary record you  
16:00:06 6 did?---I spoke to Superintendent Biggin and Detective  
16:00:59 7 Inspector Ryan regarding the possibility that the ACC may  
16:01:04 8 want to apply for a telephone intercept in regard to  
16:01:07 9 Ms Gobbo. And I was told, "Highly unlikely the ACC will  
16:01:14 10 get telephone intercept up for the human source, so no  
16:01:17 11 point at this time to inform them that the source is a  
16:01:21 12 source".  
16:01:21 13  
16:01:21 14 That's the direction you got?---Yes.  
16:01:23 15  
16:01:24 16 I tender the diary entry for 23 August 07, Commissioner.  
16:01:28 17  
16:01:29 18 #EXHIBIT RC437A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
19 23/08/07.  
16:01:36 20  
16:01:36 21 #EXHIBIT RC437B - (Redacted version.)  
22  
16:02:32 23 I want to take you to 19 December of 07, please. Do you  
16:02:50 24 have a recording in your diary in relation to an update  
16:02:55 25 about Mr Karam going to Sydney with Mr Higgs?---Yes, I do.  
16:03:47 26  
16:03:48 27 And what's that diary entry for 19 December say?---This is  
16:03:52 28 an update from Officer Smith. "Spoken to Drug Task Force  
16:04:02 29 investigators re Higgs. He has been followed to  
16:04:06 30 Tullamarine airport and they're making inquiries re whether  
16:04:11 31 he caught a flight. Have also spoken to human source who  
16:04:14 32 confirmed that Karam will be busy with John Higgs on  
16:04:18 33 Monday, indicating that the two suspects will be  
16:04:21 34 participating in an import collection. Human source got  
16:04:25 35 intel from Karam who had been talking about the big ecstasy  
16:04:29 36 import that went wrong. He told her exactly how many pills  
16:04:33 37 were in it and the fact that the police haven't declared it  
16:04:37 38 yet. Another policeman made inquiries with ACC and  
16:04:42 39 confirmed that AFP have active op re Karam. Human source  
16:04:47 40 has stated Karam knows because he found an LD and left it  
16:04:55 41 in place. Karam recently came back from overseas and  
16:05:00 42 purposely placed notes in documents to confuse Customs and  
16:05:05 43 AFP. Karam travelling to Sydney this weekend with [REDACTED]  
16:05:10 44 [REDACTED] re import. Said he will be very busy on Monday. Issues  
16:05:16 45 intel may have to be released to AFP and/or Customs. Will  
16:05:21 46 potentially compromise source".  
16:05:23 47

.02/09/19

5353

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:05:24 1 Did you have a conversation then with Superintendent  
16:05:28 2 Biggin?---Yes, the next day I did.  
16:05:30 3  
16:05:32 4 Did you speak to him on the 19th, before he rings you the  
16:05:36 5 next day?---No.  
16:05:49 6  
16:05:51 7 Can you go to the source management log for the 19th and  
16:05:57 8 after the reference to Karam going to Sydney and meeting  
16:06:00 9 with Higgs is there a notation of you speaking to Biggin  
16:06:03 10 about the problem?---Yes, there is.  
16:06:10 11  
16:06:10 12 What's - - - ?---The log says, "Spoke to Superintendent  
16:06:13 13 Tony Biggin re problem with disseminating intelligence and  
16:06:17 14 concern that AFP should be advised, but will not protect  
16:06:21 15 info or source".  
16:06:23 16  
16:06:25 17 Should that be in your diary? I'm just trying to  
16:06:29 18 understand why it's not there?---I notice there's an entry  
16:06:31 19 in my diary the very next day, and I was on a rest day that  
16:06:38 20 day so it was the first entry for the day.  
16:06:40 21  
16:06:41 22 What do you note?---Saying subject seems to be discussed  
16:06:44 23 with Superintendent Biggin.  
16:06:45 24  
16:06:45 25 On 20 December what's your diary say about  
16:06:50 26 Mr Biggin?---"Called Superintendent Biggin, this is at  
16:06:54 27 09:15. Update re 3838 intel. Concern re disseminating  
16:07:00 28 intel to Drug Task Force if it is then on forwarded to AFP.  
16:07:05 29 May result in compromisation of human source at subsequent  
16:07:08 30 court hearings if AFP documents are not properly protected.  
16:07:13 31 Tony Biggin to consider same and discuss with Drug Task  
16:07:18 32 Force management. Advise Smith on leave and Snare acting  
16:07:23 33 as Officer-in-charge".  
16:07:24 34  
16:07:26 35 Did someone meet with Smith?---I had a call from  
16:07:31 36 Superintendent Biggin at 17:00. "Met with DDI Smith this  
16:07:37 37 afternoon and provided intel of Higgs and Karam up to  
16:07:41 38 something Monday. Smith stated that [REDACTED]  
16:07:48 39 who also stated something was happening on Monday. Smith  
16:07:53 40 asked if it was an import, not confirmed by Tony Biggin.  
16:07:57 41 Smith to advise ACC re corroboration of intel".  
16:08:02 42  
16:08:06 43 Commissioner, those entries for 19 December and 20 December  
16:08:09 44 can be one exhibit I expect.  
16:08:14 45  
16:08:15 46 #EXHIBIT RC438A - (Confidential) Diary entries Sandy White  
47 19-20/12/07.

.02/09/19

5354

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA



This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:08:17 1  
16:08:18 2 #EXHIBIT RC438B - (Redacted version.)  
16:08:20 3  
16:08:21 4 Can we go forward to 23 January 2008.  
16:08:29 5  
16:08:29 6 COMMISSIONER: 21 January, was it?  
16:08:34 7  
16:08:35 8 MR CHETTLE: 23/01/08, Commissioner?---I have 23 January.  
16:08:56 9  
16:08:56 10 Do you have a diary entry there in relation to a meeting  
16:09:00 11 with Superintendent Biggin?---Yes.  
16:09:12 12  
16:09:13 13 Can you read that, please?---"Meet with Superintendent Tony  
16:09:20 14 Biggin. Advised that Mick Gatto claims to have  
16:09:23 15 intelligence from Purana member. Update re Mick Gatto  
16:09:30 16 investigation plan. Update re Op Agamas strategy.  
16:09:35 17 Discussed on journ strategy" - - -  
16:09:44 18  
16:09:44 19 Ongoing?---"Ongoing strategy re 3838 and change of  
16:09:49 20 registration number. Agreed human source relationship will  
16:09:52 21 continue whilst Mokbel trial and disclosure issues remain.  
16:09:56 22 On conclusion of Mokbel trials human source will be  
16:09:59 23 deactivated."  
16:10:00 24  
16:10:00 25 Operation Agamas is the one you talked about before with  
16:10:04 26 Customs and the tomato cans?---Yes, and I think  
16:10:08 27 specifically it was about John Higgs.  
16:10:11 28  
16:10:12 29 I'll tender that as an exhibit, Commissioner.  
16:10:15 30  
16:10:16 31 #EXHIBIT RC439A - (Confidential) Diary entry Sandy White  
32 23/01/08.  
16:10:19 33  
16:10:20 34 #EXHIBIT RC439B - (Redacted version.)  
16:10:23 35  
16:10:23 36 That's the end of that source management log for that  
16:10:26 37 number. She gets changed to a new number, does she  
16:10:30 38 not?---Yes.  
16:10:30 39  
16:10:31 40 Before I move to that in the morning, can I go to a few  
16:10:36 41 other documents now. Exhibit 276, if that could be brought  
16:10:44 42 up. It's the review of best practice, the review and  
16:10:55 43 develop best practice, human source management policy.  
16:11:16 44 Can't find it? All right, I don't need to. On the front  
16:11:20 45 cover of that document, Mr White, it's a document that you  
16:11:24 46 wrote, isn't it, the review and development of best  
16:11:27 47 practice?---Yes.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:11:28 1  
16:11:28 2 There's a quotation on the front that you have that reads,  
16:11:36 3 "Informers are the great corruptors. They've shown their  
16:11:39 4 moral standing by the mere act of informing", do you recall  
16:11:40 5 that quotation?---Yes.

16:11:40 6  
16:11:42 7 So far as informers go, is it - well, I withdraw that.  
16:11:49 8 Mr Winneke took you through a number of examples to  
16:11:51 9 demonstrate to you that Ms Gobbo was less than truthful  
16:11:54 10 with you in relation to a number of matters, do you recall  
16:11:57 11 that?---Yes, I do.

16:11:58 12  
16:11:59 13 And in particular who she was acting for, how much she  
16:12:03 14 charged, what she had in relation to telephones with Dale,  
16:12:06 15 things of that sort?---Yes.

16:12:07 16  
16:12:10 17 So far as informers are concerned, all your texts and all  
16:12:17 18 your writings warn investigators to be wary of the  
16:12:22 19 duplicitous native nature of informers, is that  
16:12:25 20 right?---Yes.

16:12:25 21  
16:12:26 22 So as far as Ms Gobbo is concerned, do you have a view  
16:12:29 23 about whether or not you kept that at the forefront of your  
16:12:32 24 mind when you dealt with her?---Yes, I do.

16:12:37 25  
16:12:37 26 And what's your current - - - ?---I think it's pretty  
16:12:40 27 obvious that I dropped my guard in relation to Ms Gobbo. I  
16:12:48 28 had an expectation with every other source who fell into  
16:12:51 29 the criminal category that they would not tell us the whole  
16:12:55 30 truth but I don't think I applied the same level of  
16:12:59 31 suspicion in relation to Ms Gobbo. I think her being a  
16:13:05 32 barrister probably impacted on my thinking about her and I  
16:13:11 33 don't think I treated her with as much suspicion. I know  
16:13:16 34 it's pretty clear I didn't treat her with the same level of  
16:13:19 35 suspicion as I would with any other informer.

16:13:22 36  
16:13:22 37 I think you agreed with Mr Winneke with hindsight she got  
16:13:26 38 under your guard as it were?---Definitely.

16:13:29 39  
16:13:29 40 One of the things you said in the very early part of your  
16:13:32 41 cross-examination by Mr Winneke was that you hadn't read  
16:13:34 42 the Comrie report. Remember giving that answer?---Yes, I  
16:13:38 43 do.

16:13:38 44  
16:13:39 45 And indeed, with that, I think you made clear to Mr Winneke  
16:13:45 46 at some stage that was an error, you had read the Comrie  
16:13:47 47 report?---That's right.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:13:48 1  
16:13:48 2 Indeed, did you prepare on 27 March of this year a  
16:13:54 3 commentary as it were on the Comrie report critical of the  
16:13:57 4 various paragraphs of it?---Yes.  
16:13:59 5  
16:14:00 6 And a copy of that has been provided to Mr Winneke,  
16:14:04 7 Commissioner. It obviously doesn't have a number but I was  
16:14:08 8 going to tender it rather than have him go through it. Is  
16:14:12 9 there a number available for it?  
16:14:16 10  
16:14:17 11 MR WINNEKE: We may have.  
16:14:20 12  
16:14:20 13 MR CHETTLE: It will have a Commission number, won't it?  
16:14:24 14 What I will tender, and the number can be given to it in  
16:14:27 15 due course, it's a document entitled, "White's initial  
16:14:34 16 consideration of the Comrie report, 27 March 19". And I'll  
16:14:41 17 seek to tender that as an exhibit, Commissioner.  
16:14:43 18  
16:14:45 19 #EXHIBIT RC440A - (Confidential) White's initial  
16:14:34 20 consideration of the Comrie report  
16:14:37 21 27/03/19.  
22  
16:14:45 23 #EXHIBIT RC440B - (Redacted version.)  
24  
16:14:58 25 It's up on the screen.  
16:15:00 26  
16:15:00 27 COMMISSIONER: We better give it an A and B.  
16:15:02 28  
16:15:03 29 MR CHETTLE: Yes, it has names. Another document that I  
16:15:17 30 want to, a couple I want to show you before we finish  
16:15:20 31 tonight. Can I take you to VPL.6025.0006.4174. Do you see  
16:15:44 32 that in front of you, Mr White?---Yes, I do.  
16:15:47 33  
16:15:51 34 I'm told this hasn't been reviewed either. In simple  
16:15:56 35 terms, on 29 August of 2010 did Detective Superintendent  
16:16:03 36 Paul Sheridan change the standing operating procedures in  
16:16:07 37 relation to what contact there would be with Witness F?---I  
16:16:16 38 know Detective Inspector John O'Connor did prepare this  
16:16:22 39 document.  
16:16:22 40  
16:16:23 41 Okay, he might have prepared it. If you turn to the second  
16:16:26 42 page, you'll see it was signed off by Sheridan?---Right, I  
16:16:30 43 think it was an amendment to the Standard Operating  
16:16:33 44 Procedures. It would have to be authorised by the  
16:16:35 45 Superintendent I think.  
16:16:36 46  
16:16:37 47 In simple terms, what occurred is that you'll see from the

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:16:41 1 first page, as a result of the legal agreement between  
16:16:44 2 Ms Gobbo and Victoria Police a number of steps were taken,  
16:16:49 3 including the person of contact in relation to any use of  
16:16:53 4 information from her was Detective Inspector O'Connor. Do  
16:16:58 5 you see that?---Yes, I do.  
16:16:59 6  
16:17:00 7 But you are to remain the point of reference for any advice  
16:17:06 8 pertaining to her previous relationship with Victoria  
16:17:10 9 Police?---Yes.  
16:17:10 10  
16:17:12 11 And the reasons for all that are set out in the document  
16:17:15 12 and I'll tender that document as an exhibit, Commissioner.  
16:17:19 13  
16:17:20 14 #EXHIBIT RC441A - (Confidential) VPL.6025.0006.4174  
15 29/08/10.  
16:17:23 16  
16:17:23 17 #EXHIBIT RC441B - (Redacted version.)  
16:17:25 18  
16:17:29 19 You said to Mr Winneke at one stage - you were asked about  
16:17:37 20 your speculation of the four different reasons as to why it  
16:17:40 21 was that the SDU was disbanded and you gave some answers  
16:17:45 22 that went along the lines of certain documents provided to  
16:17:48 23 you during the course of this Commission have thrown light  
16:17:51 24 on that particular issue?---Yes.  
16:17:54 25  
16:17:55 26 I'm not going to go through all those documents with you  
16:17:58 27 but do they relate to, in general, a series of emails  
16:18:02 28 involving Mr Pope, Mr Ashton, Mr Overland and  
16:18:10 29 Mr Fryer?---Yes, they do.  
16:18:11 30  
16:18:13 31 In relation to that, one of the documents that ultimately  
16:18:19 32 recommended the closure of the source management - the  
16:18:23 33 Source Unit was a covert intelligence report to which I've  
16:18:28 34 already referred you?---Yes.  
16:18:30 35  
16:18:30 36 And that covert intelligence report referred to a medical  
16:18:36 37 or a psychological assessment provided by a police  
16:18:42 38 psychologist, do you recall that?---Yes.  
16:18:45 39  
16:18:45 40 Can I have Exhibit VPL.0100.0098.0045, please. See that  
16:19:29 41 document, Mr White?---Yes.  
42  
16:19:42 43 Police psychologist, Covert Services Review and it is  
16:19:45 44 undated, Commissioner, but it's been provided to us as the  
16:19:50 45 document referred to in the Covert Services Report. So can  
16:19:54 46 I tender it as an exhibit, please.  
16:19:56 47

.02/09/19

5358

WHITE RE-XN - IN CAMERA

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:19:57 1 #EXHIBIT RC442A - (Confidential) VPL.0100.0098.0045.  
16:20:02 2  
16:20:03 3 #EXHIBIT RC442B - (Redacted version.)  
16:20:05 4  
16:20:16 5 As part of that Covert Services Review, there were a series  
16:20:20 6 of criticisms made of the unit by Superintendent Sheridan,  
16:20:28 7 do you remember those?---Yes.  
16:20:31 8  
16:20:31 9 Did you prepare a response to those criticisms for the  
16:20:36 10 purposes of assisting this Commission?---Yes.  
16:20:39 11  
16:20:39 12 Can I take you to Exhibit COM.0019.0005.001\_001 I think.  
16:20:56 13 It might be 0001\_0001. Is that a document you prepared in  
16:21:10 14 response to Mr Sheridan's criticisms of the SDU?---Yes.  
16:21:13 15  
16 16  
16:21:15 17 I'll tender that document as an exhibit, Commissioner.  
16:21:19 18  
16:21:20 19 COMMISSIONER: It's not dated?  
16:21:22 20  
16:21:22 21 MR CHETTLE: No, I don't believe it is. You prepared that  
16:21:25 22 earlier this year for the purposes of the Commission, did  
16:21:27 23 you?---Yes, that's right.  
16:21:28 24  
16:21:28 25 COMMISSIONER: 2019, anyway.  
16:21:29 26  
16:21:30 27 MR CHETTLE: Yes, it is.  
16:21:30 28  
16:21:31 29 #EXHIBIT RC443A - (Confidential) COM.0019.0005.0001\_0001  
30 prepared 2019.  
16:21:38 31  
16:21:39 32 #EXHIBIT RC443B - (Redacted version.)  
16:21:41 33  
16:21:41 34 I've taken you, probably exhaustively is the right word,  
16:21:45 35 through the source management log for 3838 and I'll be  
16:21:49 36 briefly taking you to the source management log for the new  
16:21:53 37 number. But can I take you firstly tonight to a passage of  
16:22:01 38 evidence that you gave to IBAC when you gave evidence there  
16:22:05 39 before Justice Kellam. All right?---Yes.  
16:22:12 40  
16:22:12 41 Can I have an Exhibit IBAC.0002.0002.0006. This is his own  
16:22:40 42 evidence, Commissioner, it's not one where there's a  
16:22:43 43 problem with using it from our point of view. Now, if you  
16:22:46 44 go forward to p.64 of that document, please, which I  
16:22:53 45 presume will be about 66 at the top. There you go. Next  
16:22:58 46 page, please. Sorry, it's the wrong day. I've been given  
16:23:11 47 the wrong number, Commissioner, I apologise. Do you have

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:23:15 1 the second day of the transcript? He was there for two  
16:23:19 2 days. It's p.64 and 5 of the second - my learned junior  
16:23:34 3 suggests we try 0007. Commissioner, this document is  
16:23:46 4 exhibited before you.  
16:23:47 5  
16:23:47 6 COMMISSIONER: It's already an exhibit.  
16:23:49 7  
16:23:49 8 MR CHETTLE: The transcript of both his days' evidence is  
16:23:54 9 an exhibit before you, as I understand it it's a  
16:23:59 10 confidential exhibit at this stage. I only want to go to a  
16:24:03 11 particular passage of it.  
16:24:07 12  
16:24:07 13 COMMISSIONER: I think we're making progress.  
16:24:12 14  
16:24:12 15 MR CHETTLE: Yes, thank you. The number of that is  
16:24:18 16 0006.0145 is the top of the page number. Can you see that  
16:24:21 17 document on the screen there?---Yes, I can.  
16:24:25 18  
16:24:28 19 Where it says your name for the first time, I just want to  
16:24:34 20 pick up on what you say there. "I would just like to make  
16:24:38 21 this point. I think it's very hard to make firm  
16:24:42 22 determinations about whether we behaved properly or not  
16:24:45 23 without listening to the full extent of the conversations  
16:24:48 24 we had with that individual. We always knew this was going  
16:24:52 25 to be problematic. She was the highest risk we've ever had  
16:24:54 26 and there was a lot of discussion at many different levels  
16:24:57 27 about this. I'm not completely confident that legal advice  
16:25:00 28 wasn't sought. My memory just isn't good enough to  
16:25:04 29 actually recall whether there had been more, that had been  
16:25:07 30 undertaken and I don't know whether maybe other officers in  
16:25:10 31 more senior positions than me did that themselves. Because  
16:25:14 32 we didn't operate as cowboys working on the edge of the  
16:25:18 33 law. Everything we did was reported to our senior  
16:25:21 34 officers, both within my management and within the  
16:25:23 35 Operation Purana management, even up to Assistant  
16:25:27 36 Commissioner level". Do you see that quote?---Yes.  
16:25:32 37  
16:25:32 38 Is that a quote that you would adhere to today?---Yes.  
16:25:35 39  
16:25:36 40 Down the bottom, if I can take you to the last paragraph of  
16:25:39 41 that page, "But in all instances we operated in what we  
16:25:42 42 thought was complete integrity and accountability. Hence  
16:25:46 43 that's why we ensured that every conversation was recorded.  
16:25:50 44 It has concerned me that in recent years this particular  
16:25:53 45 matter has been reviewed or re-investigated and up until  
16:25:56 46 this particular hearing neither myself nor any of the  
16:25:59 47 people involved at a practitioner level were consulted

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police, the AFP and the ACIC. These claims are not yet resolved.

16:26:02 1 about this. And there's been decisions made on the basis  
16:26:08 2 of what people perceive we did wrong and we've had no  
16:26:12 3 opportunity to defend ourselves and if we had acted wrong,  
16:26:15 4 that's not very good grammar, but if we had not done the  
16:26:19 5 correct thing in the management of this particular source  
16:26:21 6 then we should have been counselled or disciplined in  
16:26:24 7 accordance with police department systems". Do you see  
16:26:27 8 that?---Yes.  
16:26:28 9  
16:26:29 10 Do you have, does the Police Force maintain a document  
16:26:33 11 called a PDF or a PDA in relation to each of the police  
16:26:41 12 officers?---Yes, it's a personal development file.  
16:26:43 13  
16:26:44 14 Does that PDA record any matters of criticism or problems  
16:26:48 15 with Victoria Police officers?---Yes, it's a primary  
16:26:55 16 management tool for recording both the good work and the  
16:26:58 17 bad work of members under your command. It's called a  
16:27:03 18 personal development assessment I think.  
16:27:05 19  
16:27:05 20 Have you yourself ever been counselled or disciplined in  
16:27:08 21 accordance with that system?---No.  
16:27:11 22  
16:27:11 23 You go on to talk about the shutdown of the unit and then  
16:27:16 24 to finish with saying that, "Dedication and commitment to  
16:27:19 25 the people who were working with you in its most  
16:27:24 26 accountable manner with the highest integrity is beyond  
16:27:26 27 anything I've seen in the past". Do those statements still  
16:27:30 28 reflect your point of view?---Absolutely. This system is  
16:27:37 29 light years beyond what used to exist and we've tried to  
16:27:43 30 make it as accountable as we possibly could.  
16:27:46 31  
16:27:47 32 With that in mind, Mr White, have you contemplated whether  
16:27:51 33 or not if you knew what you knew now you'd still take her  
16:27:55 34 on or recommend that she'd be taken on as a source?---No,  
16:27:59 35 it would be highly unlikely knowing what I know now.  
16:28:03 36 Unless we had significant obviously legal support. Really  
16:28:13 37 on an operational basis I don't think anybody really would  
16:28:17 38 take this on again.  
16:28:18 39  
16:28:18 40 Would that be a convenient time, Commissioner?  
16:28:20 41  
16:28:20 42 COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Chettle. All right, we'll adjourn  
16:28:23 43 until 9.30 tomorrow morning.  
16:28:23 44  
16:28:52 45 <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)  
16:28:53 46  
16:28:53 47 ADJOURNED UNTIL TUESDAY 3 SEPTEMBER 2019