ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE MANAGEMENT OF POLICE INFORMANTS

Held in Melbourne, Victoria
On Thursday, 5 September 2019

Led by Commissioner: The Honourable Margaret McMurdo AC

Also Present

Counsel Assisting: Mr C. Winneke QC

Mr A. Woods

Ms M. Tittensor

Counsel for Victoria Police Mr S. Holt QC

Ms R. Enbom

Counsel for State of Victoria Mr C. McDermott

Counsel for Nicola Gobbo Mr P. Collinson QC

Mr R. Nathwani

Counsel for DPP/SPP Ms K. O'Gorman

Counsel for CDPP Ms R. Avis

Counsel for Police Handlers Mr G. Chettle

Ms L. Thies

Counsel for John Higgs Ms C. Dwyer

Counsel for Faruk Orman Ms S. Wallace

Counsel for Pasquale Barbaro Mr C. Wareham

Counsel for AFP Ms I. Minnett

UPON RESUMING IN OPEN HEARING:

MR HOLT: We were provided yesterday by those instructing you with a copy of the letter which had been sent by Mr Overland's legal representatives to the Commission so I'm in a position to deal with those issues if I may, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER: If you could, yes.

MR HOLT: Can I indicate at the outset just by way of context, the approach that Victoria Police has been taking to ex-members and members who are separately represented, which obviously includes Mr Overland, is to attempt to put them into no worse or better position than they would be otherwise in terms of access to material. There's an obviously inevitable tension which we've been conscious of between the need to ensure that witnesses have proper access to their own materials in order to be able to prepare statements that assist the Commission and on the other hand there not being any perception or reality of anyone being given a forensic advantage that might disadvantage the Commission in terms of the work that it So that's the approach that's been taken. wishes to do. With Mr Overland's material, there has been an amount of material provided to Mr Overland already. Can I deal with those things each in turn with as much particularity as I can.

COMMISSIONER: Just putting this in context, so we'd originally requested a statement from Mr Overland to be provided by 12 March.

Yes. MR HOLT:

COMMISSIONER: Then we extended that till 20 March and then There was a follow up in August and nothing much happened. on 20 August his lawyers, who are I understand a separate branch of your instructors, wrote to the Royal Commission and advised that they couldn't provide the statement on the latest requested date of 21 August and one of the reasons given was that they were awaiting material from Victoria Police.

Yes, I understand that, Commissioner. MR HOLT: obviously, there's an information barrier protocol which the Commission has in respect of that, in respect of those

.05/09/19 5624

10:55:19 10 10:55:19 11

10:55:05 10:55:05

10:55:05

10:55:07

10:55:10

10:55:15

10:55:18 10:55:18

10:55:18

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10:55:22 12 10:55:25 13 10:55:32 14 10:55:34 **15**

10:55:37 **16** 10:55:40 17 10:55:44 18 10:55:48 19

10:55:51 20 10:55:52 21 10:55:56 22

10:56:00 23 10:56:02 24 10:56:03 25

10:56:09 26 10:56:13 27 10:56:18 28

10:56:18 29 10:56:19 30 10:56:21 31 10:56:27 32

10:56:29 34 10:56:29 35 10:56:30 **36**

10:56:29 33

10:56:33 37 10:56:40 38 10:56:48 39 10:56:52 40 10:56:57 41

10:57:01 42 10:57:06 43

10:57:06 44 10:57:06 45 10:57:09 46

10:57:14 47

teams so they're dealt with at arm's length. The position is this, as it is with all people who are otherwise represented, is that requests are made and subject to those overarching considerations that I've indicated this morning they're complied with. A very broad request, a request for very broad categories of information was made to Victoria Police by those representing Mr Overland on 14 June.

Yes. COMMISSIONER:

MR HOLT: 2019.

COMMISSIONER: I think I read that out yesterday.

MR HOLT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER: And it was extremely broad.

MR HOLT: It was even broader I think than is indicated. There are in fact 20 categories, many we've in fact managed to deal with.

COMMISSIONER: Yes. It almost had the breadth of a Notice to Produce from the Royal Commission.

I may have commented that myself, Commissioner, MR HOLT: And obviously our priority is answering and at one point. continuing to answer the requests that the Commission has, which are (a) more important and (b) have the force of law. So that's the position. It doesn't mean we've sat on our hands in respect of Mr Overland. One of the critical issues, as you'd appreciate, for Mr Overland is emails. The process that's been gone through with him, as has been done otherwise with emails, is that all of his, his entire email inbox was made available to those representing him many weeks ago. They then reviewed those with a view to providing us with agreed search times terms, which we understand just by reading the correspondence they may have also discussed with the Royal Commission. That process was then done, has gone back to them and we've been provided with indications from them as to which emails are relevant. On the basis of advice and instructions given this morning, I understand that those emails are now we consider to be in a position to be produced to the Royal Commission. ought not wait for any statement of course. I think there may have been some misapprehensions about that at some point, but they are responsive to a Notice to Produce and

.05/09/19 5625

10:57:43 13 14

10:57:17

10:57:20

10:57:23

10:57:31

10:57:35

10:57:37

10:57:41

10:57:41 10:57:41 10

10:57:42

10:57:26

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

15 16 17

18 10:57:48

10:57:45

10:57:48 19 10:57:50 **20**

21 10:57:53

10:57:54 22 23

10:57:56 24 25 10:57:56 10:57:57 26

27 10:57:58 10:58:02 28 29 10:58:04

10:58:07 30 10:58:11 31 10:58:14 32 10:58:16 33

10:58:21 34 10:58:25 35 10:58:28 36

10:58:33 37 10:58:37 38 10:58:38 39

10:58:41 40 10:58:43 41 10:58:47 42

10:58:51 43 10:58:54 44

10:58:56 45 10:59:00 46

10:59:04 47

they will be provided. In addition, obviously Mr Overland's team, as the transcript has been reviewed, have been making specific requests for information. specific requests have been able to be complied with effectively in their entirety. There has been a complication recently which is that as the recent correspondence from Mr Overland's team indicates, we had indicated that material would be provided on 30 August 2019. As a result of technical issues associated as much as anything else with the burden of technical production, There are a very large those were provided yesterday. number of documents that have been provided to The reason for that is simply because Mr Overland's team. of the breadth of the requests that have been made, and in light of the fact that that seems to be holding up the provision of a statement or at least requests coming to the Commissioner, we took the view it was better just to give it to them so that there could be no further complaint about that.

Can I respond to a couple of the specific issues though because they're important. One of the requests is to be provided with the other people's diary entries. have not been providing our own witnesses with other We don't consider that to be a people's diary entries. proper approach and so we have declined to do that. plainly enough if the Royal Commission wishes us to take a different approach we will but we haven't done that.

COMMISSIONER: I don't see why there should be any different - -

Look, it's always a balance, Commissioner. MR HOLT:

COMMISSIONER: Yes.

On the one hand the Commissioner wants witnesses to have seen things that mean their statements are meaningful but that's where we've drawn the line with our own witnesses, and if the Commissioner wishes us to draw a different line we can do. In terms of the correspondence to and from the office of the Director of Public Prosecutions, we consider anything like that is likely to have been picked up in the material that's now recently been provided and we would expect all of that would already have been provided or will be provided by the email process to the Royal Commission upon the production that I've just

.05/09/19 5626

20 21 11:00:00

11:00:04 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

17

19

10:59:07

10:59:09

10:59:13

10:59:13

10:59:22

10:59:21

10:59:23 10:59:26

10:59:30

10:59:44

10:59:55 10:59:57 18

11:00:00

10:59:34 10

10:59:37 11

10:59:41 12

10:59:46 14

10:59:49 **15** 10:59:52 **16**

23 11:00:05 11:00:10 24 11:00:13 25 11:00:15 26

11:00:18 27 11:00:23 **28** 11:00:24 29

11:00:24 **30** 11:00:28 31 11:00:28 32

11:00:29

34 35

33

38 39

36 37 11:00:31

40 11:00:37 11:00:41 41 11:00:45 42

11:00:34

11:00:47 43 11:00:49 44 11:00:52 45

11:00:54 46

11:00:58 47

indicated. In terms of the personnel file, I'm instructed that a significant proportion of that has in fact already been provided on an earlier date and an invitation has been made to Mr Overland's team to make an appointment to view anything else that's in that personnel file, which is in fact the way in which personnel files will be dealt with, subject of course to any contrary notice or otherwise from the Commission to deal with them in a different way. Documents in respect to the civil case have been I'm instructed fully provided to Mr Overland's team and that was done some time ago. So it may just be that they haven't picked that up.

So a large quantity of material has just been given but I do not want that to be thought of as meaning that we have sat on our hands. Our response is so as to ensure that there is no delay. My expectation is that anything which is of relevance in that material has already been provided to the Royal Commission. We haven't always been perfect in that regard as the Commissioner will know, and so we're ensuring that double-checking is going on as that proceeds.

COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you. In that respect of course you're referring to the fact that material that was referred to in Mr Ashton's statement when he provided it to the Royal Commission, some of that material the Royal Commission didn't have.

MR HOLT: And should have had.

COMMISSIONER: And should have had.

MR HOLT: There were eight documents, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER: You've remedied that now.

MR HOLT: That's been remedied and I apologise that that occurred. It occurred in large measure because of the information barrier, as a result left hand legitimately not knowing what right hand was doing in respect of that and the way in which documents were coded. That problem has becomes obvious. We think we've fixed it for future and apologise that occurred, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

.05/09/19 5627

11:01:35 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

11:01:00 11:01:04

11:01:07

11:01:11

11:01:13

11:01:17

11:01:20

11:01:25

11:01:23

11:01:28 10

11:01:32 11

11:01:49 **19** 11:01:51 **20**

11:01:53 **21** 11:01:56 **22**

11:01:56 **23** 11:01:57 **24**

11:01:58 **25** 11:02:02 **26**

11:02:04 **27** 11:02:07 **28**

11:02:08 29

11:02:08 **30** 11:02:09 **31**

11:02:09 **32** 11:02:11 **33** 11:02:11 **34**

11:02:13 35

11:02:13 **36** 11:02:14 **37**

11:02:15 **38** 11:02:16 **39**

11:02:20 **40** 11:02:24 **41**

11:02:26 **42** 11:02:28 **43**

44

45 46

47

MR HOLT: They have been provided now.

3 COMMISSIONER: So any of the documents that you have 11:02:30 11:02:32 4 provided to Mr Overland will have already been provided to 5 the Royal Commission? 11:02:37

> That's my expectation, Commissioner, but in light of what occurred with Mr Ashton's matters we will ensure that that's the position.

COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

The obvious category that doesn't fit that is the emails because of the process I discussed but they will be I know those assisting you hate the phrase shortly, but shortly is intended to mean a decision has made to produce them and there is a technical process to go through and that will occur. It is subject to other things that are going through the system which are of great priority to the Commission, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR HOLT: As I say, we're more than happy to deal either with the Commission in open hearing or with those assisting the Commission in terms of the respect requests that are made by any other witnesses and are in a position to do so at any time.

COMMISSIONER: I greatly appreciate the information you've provided. I wanted that assistance simply because it was being given as the reason for the late production of the statement.

Commissioner, we well understand, acting for MR HOLT: people as we do, that people wish to have access to the material from a very long time ago that they want to see, and there's obviously a balance in all of that, but we are doing our best to assist.

COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.

MR HOLT: Thank you Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Winneke.

MR WINNEKE: Just a couple of comments. In terms of the

.05/09/19 5628

11:02:59 18 11:03:04 19

11:02:56 17

11:03:06 20 21

22

1

2

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

11:02:29 11:02:30

11:02:38

11:02:38

11:02:40

11:02:44

11:02:46

11:02:48 14

11:02:51 **15** 11:02:52 **16**

23 11:03:06 24

11:03:08 25 11:03:12 **26** 11:03:15 27

11:03:19 28 29 11:03:20 30

11:03:23 31

11:03:24 32 11:03:27 33 11:03:28 34

11:03:28 35 11:03:31 36

11:03:34 37 11:03:37 38 11:03:38 39

11:03:40 40

11:03:40 41 11:03:41 42

11:03:42 43 44

11:03:43 45

11:03:43 46

11:03:43 47

emails, it's not clear to us who is making the determination of relevance. It would be concerning if Mr Overland - -

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

11 12

13

15

16

17

19

11:03:45

11:03:50

11:03:53

11:03:54

11:03:55

11:03:57

11:04:02 11:04:05

11:04:05

11:04:12

11:04:14

11:04:16

11:04:19 11:04:21 18

11:04:25

11:04:28 **20**

11:04:31 21 11:04:36 22

11:04:40 23

11:04:42 **24**

11:04:46 25

11:04:50 26 11:04:53 27

11:04:55 28

11:04:58 29

11:05:00 30

11:05:03 31

11:05:07 32 11:05:09 33

11:05:14 **34** 11:05:16 35

11:05:19 36

11:05:20 37

11:05:24 38 11:05:27 39

11:05:30 40

11:05:32 41

11:05:33 42 11:05:33 43

11:05:33 44 11:05:34 45

11:05:37 46

11:05:41 47

11:04:09 10

11:04:16 14

COMMISSIONER: This would be the request from Mr Overland for, from Victoria Police for correspondence between he and the OPP during the relevant period.

Or any of the emails that Mr Overland has MR WINNEKE: received or been involved in.

COMMISSIONER: Is that right, it's all emails involving Mr Overland, is it? Do you know?

I think the vast majority is likely to be, Commissioner, from what I've seen. Can I assist with the process for emails because I understand the concern our learned friend has. Obviously each person like Mr Overland or Mr Ashton or any of the other senior staff in particular of Victoria Police have an extraordinarily large number of emails within their inbox, the vast majority of which will be irrelevant to these matters and highly confidential. Our experience is that there are two ways of attempting in some realistic and timely fashion to get those down to what The first is to run key word searches, which I know there's been communication with the Commission about what those key word searches should be. Plainly to look for specific date periods and times that matter or relationships or interactions that matter. And the second is to get the person themselves to assist in that process. Both of those things are being done. There will also inevitably be, Commissioner, I don't want to be shy about saying this, inevitably that process will not be perfect and things will then be picked up as statements are provided by witnesses and as statements are prepared. indeed as cross-examination on documents we're not aware of occurs, and we then go back and look at those things. it's a difficult process. We think that's the best way of working through it and if there are any other ways in which we can work through it better we're happy to deal with it in those ways, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes Mr Winneke.

MR WINNEKE: I follow that. Obviously we'll wait and see when we get the emails and we'd certainly hope to be provided with them very soon. The other concern is this:

.05/09/19 5629

1 11:05:44 11:05:49 2 3 11:05:54 11:05:56 4 5 11:05:56 11:05:58 6 11:05:58 7 11:06:00 8 9 11:06:06 11:06:08 10 11:06:12 11 11:06:18 12 of solicitors. 11:06:22 13 materials. 11:06:25 14 11:06:25 **15** 11:06:25 **16** COMMISSIONER: 11:06:27 17 11:06:35 **18** 11:06:37 19 11:06:39 20 11:06:40 21 MR WINNEKE: There has. communications. 11:06:42 22 11:06:42 23 11:06:42 24 COMMISSIONER: 11:06:45 25 those communications? 11:06:46 **26**

and this really arises because it appears that there are, that Corrs is acting for both Mr Overland and Victoria Police there's clearly communication.

COMMISSIONER: And indeed Mr Ashton.

MR WINNEKE: Yes, there are communications going between one arm of the firm and another, we're assuming there are appropriate barriers in place. The problem is we're not a party to those discussions as we ordinarily would be, probably, if Mr Overland was represented by a separate firm One assumes that there would be CCed communications if there was discussions about provision of

I don't know whether that, for example, has happened in Mr Chettle's case, Mr Chettle's clients' case, There's been some direct communication, hasn't there, between Victoria Police and them?

There's been copied in

Sorry, have you always been copied into

MR WINNEKE: We understand that we have been at times copied in on communications.

COMMISSIONER: At times I think might be - - -

MR WINNEKE: Insofar as the Commission's requests for If there's tension going on between two arms of materials. Corrs we don't know what's going on and whether there's issues arising as to what they should and shouldn't be provided with.

COMMISSIONER: Just assuming they had a completely different firm of solicitors acting, there could still be a request directly from them to Victoria Police for material, couldn't there?

MR WINNEKE: There could be.

11:07:18 45 COMMISSIONER: Without the Commission being informed about it.

> .05/09/19 5630

11:07:08 38

11:07:11 39

11:06:47 27

11:06:48 28

11:06:51 29 11:06:51 30

11:06:53 31

11:06:53 32 11:06:56 33

11:07:00 34

11:07:04 35

11:07:06 36 11:07:07 37

11:07:14 40 41

42

44

43

11:07:20 46

11:07:20 47

MR WINNEKE: That could be right. In any event it does concern us if there are issues arising as between these two arms. We're in the dark about it. Obviously we rely on Mr Holt.

COMMISSIONER: That's true, but we do have to - I mean the firm of solicitors has decided that it can do this and it understands its professional obligations and we have to assume they're being met until we find otherwise. suppose it's a timely reminder to them as to how careful they have to be to ensure that they do meet their professional obligations.

MR WINNEKE: I understand, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER: That's really all we can say I think.

MR WINNEKE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER: Yes.

Commissioner, I ought say one thing briefly about MR HOLT: that and it's this.

COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR HOLT: The Commission has been provided with the protocols which exist within the firm in order to manage Can I say, Commissioner, certainly in light of that issue. the inquiries that have been made in the last few days to deal with this issue, it in fact appears that less communication is going on than might otherwise go on precisely because everyone sees the need for such And the example of the way extraordinary care to be taken. in which we've dealt with other witnesses, not just those represented by Mr Chettle, is a classic one where there are requests, indeed many have been referred to in open hearings, we've been criticised at times for not responding to them, which are happening outside the ambit of the Commission. Can I indicate this, and I trust it will be accepted, were any issues of "tension" arising which gave rise to any issue of a sort that would lead to any change of those circumstances, we would ensure, Commissioner, that you were aware of them or that other arrangements were made.

COMMISSIONER: Yes, I appreciate that, Mr Holt. It's ten

.05/09/19 5631

16 11:08:02 17

11:07:55 11 11:07:59 12

11:08:00 13

11:08:01 14 11:08:02

18

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11:07:21 11:07:23

11:07:27

11:07:32

11:07:38 11:07:43

11:07:47 11:07:51 10

11:07:32

11:07:33

19 20 11:08:04

21 11:08:04 11:08:05 22

23 11:08:08

24 25

26

27

11:08:10 28 29 11:08:14 11:08:18 30

11:08:08

11:08:20 31 11:08:22 32 11:08:27 33

11:08:29 34 11:08:32 35 11:08:34 **36**

11:08:36 37 38

11:08:40 39 11:08:43 40 11:08:47 41

11:08:52 42

11:08:55 43 11:08:58 44

11:09:01 45 11:09:01 46

11:09:01 47

```
past 11, should we take the mid-morning break now perhaps
        1
11:09:06
                 rather than starting for a few minutes and stopping again?
11:09:14
        2
         3
                 It probably makes more sense so we'll take the mid-morning
                 break now.
                              Ten minutes, thanks.
         4
         5
11:10:29
                       (Short adjournment.)
        6
11:10:29
        7
11:10:29
                 COMMISSIONER: Yes, you're still on your oath, of course,
11:27:25 8
                 Mr O'Brien?---Yes, Commissioner.
        9
11:27:28
11:27:31 10
                 <JAMES MICHAEL O'BRIEN, recalled:</pre>
11:27:34 11
        12
11:27:36 13
                 COMMISSIONER:
                                We're in closed hearing again, aren't we?
        14
                 MS TITTENSOR:
        15
                                 Yes.
        16
                                 Yes.
       17
                 COMMISSIONER:
                                        We're in closed hearing with the
11:27:37
11:27:38 18
                 orders that were in place, when this witness was last
11:27:40 19
                 giving his evidence in place now.
        20
        21
                 (IN CAMERA HEARING FOLLOWS)
        22
        23
        24
        25
        26
        27
        28
        29
        30
        31
        32
        33
        34
        35
        36
        37
        38
        39
        40
        41
        42
        43
        44
```

.05/09/19 5632

45 46 47