ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE MANAGEMENT OF POLICE INFORMANTS

Held in Melbourne, Victoria On Tuesday, 1 October 2019

Led by Commissioner: The Honourable Margaret McMurdo AC

Also Present

Counsel Assisting: Mr C. Winneke QC

Ms M. Tittensor

Counsel for Victoria Police Ms R. Enbom

Ms K. Argiropoulos

Counsel for State of Victoria Ms C. McCudden

Counsel for Nicola Gobbo Mr P. Collinson QC

Mr R. Nathwani

Counsel for DPP/SPP Ms K. O'Gorman

Counsel for CDPP Ms C. Fitzgerald

Counsel for Police Handlers Mr G. Chettle

Ms L. Thies

Counsel for AFP Ms I. Minnett

Good morning, Commissioner. 1 MR WINNEKE:

3 COMMISSIONER: Good morning. I understand the appearances are as they were yesterday save that we have Ms McCudden for the State of Victoria today and Ms Fitzgerald for the 5 6 Commonwealth DPP. Mr Chettle, I understand you had 09:37:39 7 something to raise?

> MR CHETTLE: Three matters, Commissioner. I apologise.

COMMISSIONER: No need to apologise. In open or closed? We're currently in closed hearing.

Commissioner, I don't know whether there's any MR CHETTLE: sensitivity about the matters Mr Winneke raised at the commencement of Friday the 20th about Ms Gobbo being in communication with the Commission on three occasions. in relation to that.

COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR CHETTLE: I think it can probably be in open hearing, because it was at this stage.

Yes, all right, if it can be. COMMISSIONER: So we're in open hearing at the moment.

MR CHETTLE: Commissioner, as you're aware the Commission's been in receipt of information from three conversations with Ms Gobbo which Mr Winneke's informs us are transcribed from tape-recordings. On behalf of my clients I'd be seeking access to those documents and I understand my instructing solicitor has written to the Commission and I've raised the matter with Mr Winneke. As of yesterday Mr Winneke, I think it would be fair to say, was in agreement with the proposition that anything the Commission informs itself of relevant to the determination of issues around my clients, we're entitled to address and look at. But what's occurred is counsel for Ms Gobbo have indicated that they object to the transcripts being provided to us.

COMMISSIONER: You're ahead of me there, I haven't heard that last step yet.

That's the only reason we're having a dispute MR CHETTLE: because I raised the issue with Victoria Police. subject to PII. We were able to resolve that matter,

.01/10/19 6857

2 09:37:27

09:37:26

09:37:29 4 09:37:35

09:37:42

8

10

09:37:44 9 09:37:44

09:37:46 11 09:37:50 12

09:37:53 13 09:37:53 14

09:37:55 **15** 09:37:58 **16** 09:38:01 17

09:38:05 18

19 09:38:06 20

09:38:07 21

09:38:08 22 23 09:38:11

24 09:38:12 25

09:38:14 26 09:38:17 27

09:38:17 28 09:38:20 **29** 09:38:24 30 09:38:29 **31**

09:38:34 32 09:38:37 33

09:38:39 34 09:38:44 35 09:38:47 36

09:38:49 37 09:38:54 38

09:38:59 **39** 09:39:02 40

41 09:39:09 42

09:39:14 43 09:39:15 44

09:39:15 45 09:39:17 46

09:39:18 47

Mr Winneke and I were in resolution. The principles are clear, and I'm not going to spend much time on this because I think Mr Winneke and I are in agreement, "Parties must be made aware of any information that a tribunal acquires for itself and have a fair opportunity to deal with it". obviously desirable I deal with this before my clients get here, the rest of my clients, so that I can deal with anything that might arise. So what I'm asking is a direction from you, Commissioner, that we be provided with those transcripts. If the case be, and I understand there's a dispute about this, if the case be that the information was provided confidentially or Ms Gobbo was of the view that it would not be disseminated, that is not to the point in my submission. Apparently there's some dispute about that but I don't know.

I don't know whether that's still in dispute COMMISSIONER: or not either. You're just a bit premature, Mr Chettle. think the Commission has to, that is me, needs to be informed further of what stage we're at all with this. understand what you're saying and I agree in principle with what you say, but I think we're at the stage at the moment where we're still sorting matters out and there may be matters that were said in those transcripts which have privacy considerations and so forth.

I would obviously give any undertakings that MR CHETTLE: would be required about confidentiality. I'm just interested whether it applies to - - -

COMMISSIONER: No, there were no confidentiality, as far as the Commission's concerned there was absolutely no confidentiality considerations overall but there might be matters of privacy and we're giving Ms Gobbo's lawyers some time to consider their position and that's where I understand we are at the moment, so that's why I say you're a bit premature.

MR CHETTLE: I'll leave that at this stage. But related to it is a second matter I raised with Mr Winneke. now what's been occurring is statements get released for a witness shortly before that witness is being called.

COMMISSIONER: Yes.

As I understand it there are a number of MR CHETTLE: statements provided now to the Commission and available

16 17 09:40:12

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

09:39:21

09:39:24

09:39:28 09:39:31 4

09:39:34

09:39:37

09:39:42 09:39:44

09:39:49 09:39:52 10

09:39:57 11 09:40:00 12

09:40:06 13

09:40:09 14

09:40:11 15

09:40:16 18 09:40:21 19 09:40:22 20

09:40:26 21 09:40:29 22 09:40:31 23

09:40:34 24 25 09:40:37

09:40:38 26

09:40:39 27 09:40:41 28

29 30

09:40:45 31 09:40:48 32 09:40:52 33

09:40:57 34 09:41:02 35 09:41:05 36

09:41:08 37 09:41:09 38

09:41:09 39 09:41:12 40

09:41:15 41 09:41:18 42

09:41:22 44 09:41:22 45

43

09:41:22 46 09:41:25 47

> .01/10/19 6858

that relate to people who are relevant to my clients, for example, Mr Overland. I use him as an example. and I have again discussed this. It's on exactly the same I'd seek to see this material before my clients give evidence so that we can address it. I'm sure the Commissioner doesn't want us to recall them to deal with I've still got three clients who haven't given evidence and can probably deal with issues that arise from any of the statements that relate to them. So what I'm asking, and again I think Mr Winneke will agree with me, once they've been PIIed I'd ask that they be provided to me on the same basis as all the other material has, rather than waiting until a couple of days before the witness is And I use Mr Overland, Mr Ashton as examples. They clearly are relevant to my clients' issues. the two matters I wanted to raise, Commissioner.

17 09:42:23 18

15

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

09:41:28

09:41:31

09:41:34 09:41:39 4

09:41:42

09:41:46

09:41:50 09:41:55

09:41:58

09:42:00 10

09:42:03 11 09:42:08 12

09:42:10 13

09:42:13 14

09:42:20 16

09:42:16

COMMISSIONER: Yes. Mr Winneke, did you want to say anything?

19 09:42:25 20 09:42:27 21

09:42:28 22 09:42:36 23

09:42:39 24

09:42:44 25

09:42:49 26 09:42:52 27

09:43:00 28

09:43:03 29

09:43:08 30 09:43:12 31

09:43:18 32

As I understand it there's No, Commissioner. MR WINNEKE: issues - I hear what Mr Chettle says about his clients and being entitled to have them, at least wishing to have them before his clients are called. Some of them have been I can say, Commissioner, that for the most called already. part they don't relate to his clients at all but that's by The issue I think that we've got at the moment is - well there are two. There are matters which - I understand my learned friend for Ms Gobbo says there's matters that oughtn't be put into the public domain because they're purely private matters and of no consequence. Secondly, the question is whether it's said that there was some sort of arrangement that they would never be Now I don't know whether that's maintained. published.

09:43:21 33 09:43:28 34 35

09:43:28 36

COMMISSIONER: Have they listened to the tape?

37 38

09:43:31

09:43:33

39

40

MR WINNEKE: I don't know whether that's put but I understand it may well be. So perhaps at least we ought to be put on notice whether that is in fact going to be pushed before we go any further.

09:43:36 41 42

> Yes, Mr Nathwani. COMMISSIONER: Yes.

09:43:38 44

Hopefully the Commission received an email MR NATHWANI: from those instructing me yesterday I think about half 5, 6 o'clock, setting out a response to an email sent by your

.01/10/19 6859

09:43:37 43

09:43:39 45 09:43:40 46

09:43:45 47

team at 8.30 on Saturday evening. 09:43:49 1 2 3 COMMISSIONER: If I have sent it it's collapsed into the 09:43:49 email chain and I haven't seen it. 09:43:53 4

> MR NATHWANI: We obviously received the Commission's email Saturday evening at half past eight setting out the Commission's position that didn't accept our initial view, which was that where the transcripts we received from this Commission indicate one, or can be read in a particular way, we didn't appreciate that there's obviously a difference between the transcript as it reads and the audio.

14 15

5

6

9

09:43:56

09:44:08 09:44:10 10

09:44:18

09:43:56

09:44:00 7

09:44:03 8

09:44:11 11

09:44:15 12 09:44:18 13

09:44:20 16

09:44:24 20

09:44:26 21

09:44:29 22

COMMISSIONER: It's not the transcript as agreed, it's the transcript - anyway, always the best evidence is the tape.

17 18

26

27

32

MR NATHWANI: I don't disagree.

19

COMMISSIONER: When this became an issue I asked to hear the tape because it was not my understanding of what happened there when I was present for that hearing, so I called for the tape. We've listened to the tape. had it typed.

09:44:32 23 09:44:35 24 25

> MR NATHWANI: I only got wind of the issue of the tape yesterday.

28 09:44:38 29

So you're welcome to listen to the tape. COMMISSIONER: The tape is the best evidence.

09:44:43 30 09:44:47 31

09:44:47 33

09:44:48 34

09:44:51 35

09:44:55 36

I understand that, I'm not disagreeing with MR NATHWANI: you, but you perhaps set it out best: this Commission gave us a deadline to deal with the factors before the material's released. That deadline doesn't expire until 3 October, which is the position, so with many respects to Mr Chettle whether or not everyone's in agreement with him will have to wait.

09:44:58 37 38 39

> COMMISSIONER: Mr Chettle will just have to settle for the moment, Mr Chettle.

09:45:04 41 09:45:06 42

09:45:06 43

09:45:09 44

09:44:59 40

MR NATHWANI: And as an aside, and I use Mr Chettle's phrase, "Mr Winneke agrees with me", I have perused all three of the transcripts and I think only one of his clients, Sandy White, is mentioned about four times and any issues that arise would have been dealt with actually on a

09:45:13 45 09:45:16 46

09:45:19 47

.01/10/19 6860

relevant basis. I can't see why he should get it at all. 09:45:24 1 2 3 COMMISSIONER: I think you're perfectly correct. 09:45:24 4 given you till 3 October so we'll leave it till then. 09:45:30 5 6 MR NATHWANI: Thank you. 7 8 COMMISSIONER: And you're most welcome to listen to the 9 tape in the meantime because I can understand your concerns 09:45:32 from the transcript, but because the transcript did not at 09:45:33 10 all reflect what I had understood had happened, I asked 09:45:37 11 09:45:40 12 that we listen to the tape, and we've listened to the tape, and it's - as we so often find in this Commission, the 09:45:44 13 transcript and the tape do not actually closely correlate 09:45:47 14 and sometimes the missing ands can be important. 09:45:50 **15** 09:45:53 **16** 09:45:54 17 MR NATHWANI: I don't disagree. The added complexion is I was given all of the correspondence between the 09:45:57 18 Commission's solicitors and those instructing me, which 09:46:00 19 puts it in a slightly different light, even if, which of 09:46:03 **20** course I accept the transcript, the audio is as it is. 09:46:06 21 22 23 Of course you can make submissions if you COMMISSIONER: 09:46:09 09:46:11 24 want to and the view I've expressed, although I've expressed it strongly, is of course a preliminary view and 09:46:15 25 you might be able to persuade me differently. 09:46:17 26 09:46:21 27 09:46:21 28 MR NATHWANI: I'm sure we'll try. 29 09:46:23 30 COMMISSIONER: All right then. So Mr Chettle, premature, 09:46:24 31 we'll just have to - - -09:46:24 32 09:46:25 33 MR CHETTLE: I wasn't aware of the correspondence, 09:46:27 **34** Commissioner, but I will - - -09:46:27 35 09:46:28 36 COMMISSIONER: We'll see what happens. 09:46:29 37 MR CHETTLE: Yes. 09:46:29 38 39 09:46:32 40 COMMISSIONER: All right. What about the other matter, the 09:46:35 41 Overland statements and so forth that have been requested? 42 09:46:40 43 MR WINNEKE: Commissioner, as far as I'm concerned if there are statements which the Commission has which have been the 09:46:44 44 09:46:49 45 subject of analysis for public interest immunity, then 09:46:54 46 insofar as they effect or concern Mr Chettle's clients, I

. 01/10/19 6861

don't see any problem with him having them. That's the

09:46:58 47

position as far as the Commission's concerned and that's 09:47:04 1 09:47:07 2 the position that I've always taken.

3

4

5

7

8

9

COMMISSIONER: So that's even before they've been PIIed and subject - - -

6

09:47:10

09:47:13

09:47:14

09:47:21

09:47:26

09:47:28 14

09:47:33 **15** 09:47:37 **16**

09:47:42 17

09:47:18

No, no, once they've been PIIed and that's the MR WINNEKE: agreement that we have with Victoria Police and there's no issue with that as far as I'm concerned.

10 09:47:23 11

The delay is the PII end, isn't it? COMMISSIONER:

12

13

20

28

29

MR WINNEKE: As I understand it - now it may well be that there's an arrangement that Mr Chettle has with Victoria Police concerning PII documents. That's a matter for him and Victoria Police. If that arrangement is that he's entitled to have them, insofar as the Commission's happy to provide them to him because they relate to his clients, again, I see no issue with him being provided with them.

09:47:47 18 09:47:52 **19**

> COMMISSIONER: Yes. Did you want to be heard, Ms Argiropoulos?

09:47:55 21 09:47:57 22

09:47:58 23

MS ARGIROPOULOS: Commissioner, there's no difficulty with statements being provided once they've been PII reviewed. I'll just need to make some inquiries about where that process is at with statements. I'm aware that they're

09:47:59 24 09:48:00 25

09:48:04 26 09:48:06 27

09:48:09 30 We're trying to speed this up. COMMISSIONER:

being - - -

09:48:11 31 09:48:12 32

09:48:14 33

09:48:16 34

09:48:19 35

MS ARGIROPOULOS: Yes. I'm aware that there have been a large number of statements produced by Victoria Police to the Commission in the last week, it's just I'm not across where things are at with PII. We've heard what's been said and we've got no difficulty with the PII reviewed version of any statements that have been provided to the Commission being provided to Mr Chettle.

09:48:22 36 09:48:27 37

> COMMISSIONER: Does that satisfy you, Mr Chettle?

09:48:31 38 39 09:48:33 40

> MR CHETTLE: Yes. The timing is not - I'm happy to wait for the PII review. The problem has been the practice has developed they're not distributed until the witness is So once they're PIIed if they can be about to be called. given to us if they're relevant, that will solve my problems.

09:48:36 41 42

09:48:36 43

09:48:41 44

09:48:45 45 09:48:45 46

09:48:46 47

.01/10/19 6862 09:48:46

1

8

09:48:48

09:48:53 **4** 09:48:54 **5**

09:48:56 **6** 09:49:01 **7**

09:49:07 **9** 09:49:11 **10**

09:49:04

09:49:14 11

09:49:19 **12 13**

09:49:21 **14** 09:49:25 **15**

09:49:30 **16** 09:49:32 **17**

09:49:34 18

19 09:49:35 **20**

21

09:49:37 **22 23**

09:49:40 **24** 09:49:42 **25** 09:49:48 **26**

09:49:52 **27** 09:49:56 **28** 09:50:00 **29**

09:50:04 **30** 09:50:07 **31**

09:50:15 **32**

09:50:20 **34** 09:50:24 **35** 09:50:27 **36**

09:50:31 **37** 09:50:35 **38**

39

09:50:37 **40** 09:50:41 **41** 09:50:45 **42**

09:50:49 43 09:50:49 44 09:50:51 45

09:50:54 46

09:51:00 47

COMMISSIONER: We can certainly do that, but I don't think that we're getting the PII reviewed statements much ahead.

MR CHETTLE: This arises, Commissioner, because we received a letter from those instructing those assisting you, "We confirm the statements of these persons will be circulated prior to them being called", if you want to see them earlier get in touch with Corrs. The practice has been we're not getting them until shortly before the witness is called. All I'm asking is once they're PIIed, if they relate to me, can I please have them?

COMMISSIONER: We're certainly happy to do that. As I say, from my experience the PIIing is happening at a very late stage and if we get it done even before the witness is called it's unusual. That's my understanding. Is that right, Mr Winneke?

MR WINNEKE: That seems to be - - -

COMMISSIONER: We're trying to improve it.

MR WINNEKE: It varies obviously. If there's - we cannot provide documents to other parties until the police have done their PII analysis. There's nothing we can do about it. Once that's done, and so long as that document relates to a particular party, obviously there is no issue with it being passed on to enable the parties to have it in good time prior to the witness being called. There's certainly no deliberate holding back of statements. We wait until they've been PIIed. Once it's done they can be passed on.

COMMISSIONER: What comes first is a preliminary PII from Victoria Police and then the Commission reviews it, then it goes back. So there's a bit of argy-bargy. I suppose after the preliminary PII we could release it to people but I don't know whether Victoria Police is happy with that.

MR WINNEKE: It's a matter for them. I mean the agreement the Commission has with Victoria Police, and it was an arrangement that was put in place early on to enable this process to get going as soon as possible, was that we would not understandably - the police have a right to claim public interest immunity pursuant to the legislation. Now they have said - they've provided the Commission with the documents, for the most part unredacted, although not

. 01/10/19 6863

always, but once it's been PIIed we are in a position to hand it on. So we've got to wait until that's done.

COMMISSIONER: Yes, but what I'm saying is the PII final process takes a while, so there's an initial PII claim by Victoria Police and then the Commission has input and it goes back and then according to the protocol it's then supposed to go off to the State and then if necessary be determined by me and then judicially reviewed.

Commissioner, I'm happy for them to go - once MR WINNEKE: the initial process has been done, if they make ambit claims we can then negotiate, but once the ambit claims have been made I'm happy for those documents to go on to other parties.

So is Victoria Police happy with that? COMMISSIONER:

MS ARGIROPOULOS: Yes, Commissioner. If I can just add briefly that insofar as witness statements for people that we act for, the process, as I understand it, is that those are subjected to PII review before they're produced to the So even though there might then be some Commission. negotiating in terms of the claims made, there's no difficulty with those statements as reviewed and subject to initial claims being provided to Mr Chettle. The situation is a bit different with witnesses we don't act for, such as Mr Overland, in that obviously that statement has been produced to the Commission. It's then been provided by the Commission staff to my instructors for PII review. just seek some urgent instructions about where things are at with that because that's obviously a priority task so that can be provided, but I understand that there's no difficulty otherwise with statements for our witnesses being provided to Mr Chettle as soon as they've been produced because they've already been subject to PII. should say the same would apply to Mr Nathwani and those acting for Ms Gobbo because the statements are produced in that way with the PII having already been initially done.

COMMISSIONER: All right.

MR NATHWANI: We made a request yesterday for the statements of Buick, Biggin and - I think they're the two. We're told that was awaiting clearance from Victoria Police. I think that's just been given so if we could have them we'd be grateful.

.01/10/19 6864

9 09:51:25 10

09:51:03

09:51:09

09:51:11

09:51:16 09:51:19 7

09:51:22

09:51:06

1 2

3 4

5

6

8

09:51:30 12 09:51:33 13 09:51:36 14

09:51:29 11

09:51:39 **15** 16

17 09:51:40 09:51:42 18

09:51:42 19 09:51:44 **20** 09:51:48 21 09:51:52 22

09:51:55 23 09:51:58 24 09:52:01 25 09:52:05 **26**

09:52:08 27 09:52:12 28 09:52:15 29 09:52:19 30

09:52:24 31 09:52:27 32 09:52:30 33

09:52:33 34 09:52:35 35 09:52:40 36

09:52:45 37 09:52:49 38 09:52:59 39

40 09:53:04 41

09:53:07 42 09:53:07 43

09:53:09 44 09:53:14 45

09:53:17 46

09:53:20 47

	1	
09:53:22	2	COMMISSIONER: So who's taking on responsibility for
09:53:24	3	providing these, Victoria Police or the Commission?
09:53:28	4	proving those, received to the commercial
09:53:29	5	MS ARGIROPOULOS: No, it's for the Commission.
	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09:53:31	7	MR WINNEKE: We provide them once we've been told that we
09:53:33	8	can provide them, insofar as they've been subject to
09:53:38	9	analysis for public interest immunity.
	10	
09:53:38	11	COMMISSIONER: The initial PII claim.
	12	
09:53:41	13	MR WINNEKE: The initial PII claim.
	14	
09:53:42	15	COMMISSIONER: At that point, once we've got the initial
09:53:45	16	PII claim, then they'll be distributed to the interested
09:53:49	17	parties.
	18	
09:53:50	19	MR WINNEKE: Yes.
	20	
09:53:51		COMMISSIONER: All right then.
09:53:51		
09:53:52		MR CHETTLE: Thank you, Commissioner.
	24	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
09:53:53		COMMISSIONER: Our solicitors will get on to that and if
09:53:55		there are any that are in that category now they'll be
09:54:00	2 <i>1</i> 28	provided.
09:54:01	_	MR WINNEKE: I should say that's what's been happening,
09:54:01		Commissioner. I hear some noises but that's what's been
09:54:06	31	happening.
09.54.11	32	napponting.
09:54:11	33	COMMISSIONER: All right then. We can now go back to
09:54:15		Mr Flynn.
	35	
	36	MR WINNEKE: Yes, thanks Commissioner.
	37	
09:54:15	38	COMMISSIONER: And we are in closed hearing again.
	39	
	40	
	41	MR WINNEKE: Yes, we are, Commissioner.
	42	
09:54:17	43	COMMISSIONER: With the orders apposite that were in place
09:54:21		yesterday's afternoon.
	45	
09:54:23	46	MR WINNEKE: Yes.
	47	

.01/10/19 6865

```
(IN CAMERA HEARING FOLLOWS)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
```

.01/10/19 6866